



ภาคผนวก
ผลการคำนวณจากโปรแกรม

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่

Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

ตารางที่ 1 ผลการทดสอบความนิ่งของ $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ ที่ระดับ Level

Panel unit root test: Summary

Series: LNGDP

Date: 05/10/12 Time: 18:38

Sample: 1990 2009

Exogenous variables: Individual effects

Automatic selection of maximum lags

Automatic lag length selection based on AIC: 0 to 4

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Method	Statistic	Prob.**	Cross-sections	Obs
Null: Unit root (assumes common unit root process)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-2.54728	0.0054	22	388
Null: Unit root (assumes individual unit root process)				
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	0.62998	0.7356	22	388
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	41.3325	0.5866	22	388
PP - Fisher Chi-square	18.4561	0.9998	22	418

** Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality.

ตารางที่ 2 ผลการทดสอบความนิ่งของ $\ln(\text{road})_{it}$ ที่ระดับ Level

Panel unit root test: Summary

Series: LNROAD

Date: 05/10/12 Time: 18:41

Sample: 1990 2009

Exogenous variables: Individual effects

Automatic selection of maximum lags

Automatic lag length selection based on AIC: 0 to 4

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Method	Statistic	Prob.**	Cross-sections	Obs
Null: Unit root (assumes common unit root process)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-4.58617	0.0000	22	396
Null: Unit root (assumes individual unit root process)				
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-0.36298	0.3583	22	396
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	57.3261	0.0857	22	396
PP - Fisher Chi-square	51.8042	0.1956	22	418

** Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality.

ตารางที่ 3 ผลการทดสอบความนิ่งของ $\ln(\text{rail})_{it}$ ที่ระดับ Level

Panel unit root test: Summary

Series: LNRAIL

Date: 05/10/12 Time: 18:51

Sample: 1990 2009

Exogenous variables: Individual effects

Automatic selection of maximum lags
Automatic lag length selection based on AIC: 0 to 4
Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Method	Statistic	Prob.**	Cross-sections	Obs
Null: Unit root (assumes common unit root process)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-6.14432	0.0000	21	378
Null: Unit root (assumes individual unit root process)				
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-0.46503	0.3210	21	378
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	72.3141	0.0025	21	378
PP - Fisher Chi-square	73.1420	0.0021	21	399

** Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality.

ตารางที่ 4 ก ผลการทดสอบความนิ่งของ $\ln(\text{roadper})_{it}$ ที่ระดับ Level

Panel unit root test: Summary
Series: LNROADPER
Date: 08/15/12 Time: 23:03
Sample: 1990 2009
Exogenous variables: Individual effects
Automatic selection of maximum lags
Automatic lag length selection based on SIC: 0 to 4
Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Method	Statistic	Prob.**	Cross-sections	Obs
Null: Unit root (assumes common unit root process)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-2.48770	0.0064	22	409
Null: Unit root (assumes individual unit root process)				
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	0.27314	0.6076	22	409
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	50.0097	0.2470	22	409
PP - Fisher Chi-square	85.6723	0.0002	22	418

** Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality.

ตารางที่ 5 ก ผลการทดสอบความนิ่งของ $\ln(\text{railper})_{it}$ ที่ระดับ Level

Panel unit root test: Summary
Series: LNRAILPER
Date: 08/15/12 Time: 23:04
Sample: 1990 2009
Exogenous variables: Individual effects
Automatic selection of maximum lags
Automatic lag length selection based on SIC: 0 to 4
Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Method	Statistic	Prob.**	Cross-sections	Obs
Null: Unit root (assumes common unit root process)				

Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-2.35010	0.0094	22	404
Null: Unit root (assumes individual unit root process)				
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-1.90305	0.0285	22	404
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	63.3628	0.0294	22	404
PP - Fisher Chi-square	83.6659	0.0003	22	418

** Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality.

ตารางที่ 6 ก ผลการทดสอบความนิ่งของ $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ ที่ระดับ 1st Differential

Panel unit root test: Summary

Series: D(LNGDP)

Date: 07/31/12 Time: 15:27

Sample: 1990 2009

Exogenous variables: Individual effects

Automatic selection of maximum lags

Automatic lag length selection based on SIC: 0 to 2

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Method	Statistic	Prob.**	Cross-sections	Obs
Null: Unit root (assumes common unit root process)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-9.56916	0.0000	22	390
Null: Unit root (assumes individual unit root process)				
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-7.95241	0.0000	22	390
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	161.303	0.0000	22	390
PP - Fisher Chi-square	129.908	0.0000	22	396

** Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality.

ตารางที่ 7 ก ผลการทดสอบความนิ่งของ $\ln(\text{road})_{it}$ ที่ระดับ 1st Differential

Panel unit root test: Summary

Series: D(LNROAD)

Date: 05/10/12 Time: 18:43

Sample: 1990 2009

Exogenous variables: Individual effects

Automatic selection of maximum lags

Automatic lag length selection based on AIC: 0 to 3

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Method	Statistic	Prob.**	Cross-sections	Obs
Null: Unit root (assumes common unit root process)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-11.3254	0.0000	22	379
Null: Unit root (assumes individual unit root process)				
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-11.3477	0.0000	22	379
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	217.097	0.0000	22	379
PP - Fisher Chi-square	560.997	0.0000	22	396

** Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality.

ตารางที่ 8 ก ผลการทดสอบความนิ่งของ $\ln(rail)_{it}$ ที่ระดับ 1st Differential

Panel unit root test: Summary

Series: LNRAIL

Date: 05/10/12 Time: 18:51

Sample: 1990 2009

Exogenous variables: Individual effects

Automatic selection of maximum lags

Automatic lag length selection based on AIC: 0 to 4

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Method	Statistic	Prob.**	Cross-sections	Obs
Null: Unit root (assumes common unit root process)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-6.14432	0.0000	21	378
Null: Unit root (assumes individual unit root process)				
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-0.46503	0.3210	21	378
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	72.3141	0.0025	21	378
PP - Fisher Chi-square	73.1420	0.0021	21	399

** Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality.

ตารางที่ 9 ก ผลการทดสอบความนิ่งของ $\ln(roadper)_{it}$ ที่ระดับ 1st Differential

Panel unit root test: Summary

Series: D(LNROADPER)

Date: 08/15/12 Time: 23:16

Sample: 1990 2009

Exogenous variables: Individual effects

Automatic selection of maximum lags

Automatic lag length selection based on SIC: 0 to 3

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Method	Statistic	Prob.**	Cross-sections	Obs
Null: Unit root (assumes common unit root process)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-13.8311	0.0000	22	390
Null: Unit root (assumes individual unit root process)				
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-14.8152	0.0000	22	390
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	264.324	0.0000	22	390
PP - Fisher Chi-square	533.695	0.0000	22	396

** Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality.

ตารางที่ 10 ก ผลการทดสอบความนิ่งของ $\ln(railper)_{it}$ ที่ระดับ 1st Differential

Panel unit root test: Summary

Series: D(LNRAILPER)

Date: 08/15/12 Time: 23:22

Sample: 1990 2009

Exogenous variables: Individual effects

Automatic selection of maximum lags

Automatic lag length selection based on SIC: 0 to 3

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Method	Statistic	Prob.**	Cross-sections	Obs
Null: Unit root (assumes common unit root process)				
Levin, Lin & Chu t*	-13.2661	0.0000	22	383
Null: Unit root (assumes individual unit root process)				
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-15.2256	0.0000	22	383
ADF - Fisher Chi-square	280.331	0.0000	22	383
PP - Fisher Chi-square	862.108	0.0000	22	396

** Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality.

ตารางที่ 11 ก ผลการทดสอบพหุคูณโคอินทิเกรชันระหว่าง $\ln(GDP)_{it}$ กับ $\ln(road)_{it}$ ด้วยวิธี

Pedroni

Pedroni Residual Cointegration Test

Series: LNGDP LNROAD

Date: 07/13/12 Time: 10:56

Sample: 1990 2009

Included observations: 440

Cross-sections included: 22

Null Hypothesis: No cointegration

Trend assumption: Deterministic intercept and trend

Automatic lag length selection based on SIC with a max lag of 3

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Alternative hypothesis: common AR coefs. (within-dimension)

	Statistic	Prob.	Weighted Statistic	Prob.
Panel v-Statistic	4.431694	0.0000	12.05183	0.0000
Panel rho-Statistic	-0.803522	0.2108	-0.161832	0.4357
Panel PP-Statistic	-4.949228	0.0000	-1.604254	0.0543
Panel ADF-Statistic	-5.751378	0.0000	-3.263578	0.0006

Alternative hypothesis: individual AR coefs. (between-dimension)

	Statistic	Prob.
Group rho-Statistic	1.626219	0.9480
Group PP-Statistic	-0.513403	0.3038
Group ADF-Statistic	-2.967242	0.0015

ตารางที่ 12 ก ผลการทดสอบพหุสมการโคอินทิเกรชันระหว่าง $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ กับ $\ln(\text{road})_{it}$ ด้วยวิธี Kao

Kao Residual Cointegration Test
 Series: LNGDP LNROAD
 Date: 07/13/12 Time: 11:00
 Sample: 1990 2009
 Included observations: 440
 Null Hypothesis: No cointegration
 Trend assumption: No deterministic trend
 Automatic lag length selection based on SIC with a max lag of 4
 Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

	t-Statistic	Prob.
ADF	-2.975916	0.0015
Residual variance	0.003535	
HAC variance	0.007317	

ตารางที่ 13 ก ผลการทดสอบพหุสมการโคอินทิเกรชันระหว่าง $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ กับ $\ln(\text{road})_{it}$ ด้วยวิธี

Johansen

Johansen Fisher Panel Cointegration Test

Series: LNGDP LNROAD
 Date: 07/13/12 Time: 11:01
 Sample: 1990 2009
 Included observations: 440
 Trend assumption: Linear deterministic trend
 Lags interval (in first differences): 1 1

Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Trace and Maximum Eigenvalue)

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Fisher Stat.* (from trace test)	Prob.	Fisher Stat.* (from max-eigen test)	Prob.
None	166.5	0.0000	134.7	0.0000
At most 1	108.9	0.0000	108.9	0.0000

* Probabilities are computed using asymptotic Chi-square distribution.

ตารางที่ 14 ก ผลการทดสอบพหุสมการโคอินทิเกรชันระหว่าง $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ กับ $\ln(\text{rail})_{it}$ ด้วยวิธี

Pedroni

Pedroni Residual Cointegration Test

Series: LNGDP LNRAIL
 Date: 08/15/12 Time: 23:29
 Sample: 1990 2009
 Included observations: 440
 Cross-sections included: 22
 Null Hypothesis: No cointegration
 Trend assumption: Deterministic intercept and trend
 Automatic lag length selection based on SIC with a max lag of 3
 Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Alternative hypothesis: common AR coefs. (within-dimension)

	Statistic	Prob.	Weighted Statistic	Prob.
Panel v-Statistic	1.135277	0.1281	8.741686	0.0000
Panel rho-Statistic	1.028944	0.8482	0.600920	0.7261
Panel PP-Statistic	-3.580822	0.0002	-1.248877	0.1059
Panel ADF-Statistic	-5.948788	0.0000	-0.588433	0.2781

Alternative hypothesis: individual AR coefs. (between-dimension)

	Statistic	Prob.
Group rho-Statistic	2.574744	0.9950
Group PP-Statistic	0.911928	0.8191
Group ADF-Statistic	0.161605	0.5642

ตารางที่ 15 ก ผลการทดสอบพหุคูณโคอินทิเกรชันระหว่าง $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ กับ $\ln(\text{rail})_{it}$ ด้วยวิธี Kao

Kao Residual Cointegration Test
 Series: LNGDP LNRAIL
 Date: 08/15/12 Time: 23:38
 Sample: 1990 2009
 Included observations: 440
 Null Hypothesis: No cointegration
 Trend assumption: No deterministic trend
 Automatic lag length selection based on SIC with a max lag of 4
 Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

	t-Statistic	Prob.
ADF	-1.226453	0.1100
Residual variance	0.003594	
HAC variance	0.008020	

ตารางที่ 16 ก ผลการทดสอบพหุคูณโคอินทิเกรชันระหว่าง $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ กับ $\ln(\text{rail})_{it}$ ด้วยวิธี

Johansen

Johansen Fisher Panel Cointegration Test
 Series: LNGDP LNRAIL
 Date: 08/15/12 Time: 23:40
 Sample: 1990 2009
 Included observations: 440
 Trend assumption: Linear deterministic trend
 Lags interval (in first differences): 1 1

Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Trace and Maximum Eigenvalue)

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Fisher Stat.* (from trace test)	Prob.	Fisher Stat.* (from max-eigen test)	Prob.
None	159.1	0.0000	130.3	0.0000
At most 1	108.0	0.0000	108.0	0.0000

* Probabilities are computed using asymptotic Chi-square distribution.

ตารางที่ 17 ก ผลการทดสอบพหุคูณโคอินทิเกรชันระหว่าง $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ กับ $\ln(\text{roadper})_{it}$ ด้วยวิธี

Pedroni

Pedroni Residual Cointegration Test

Series: LNGDP LNROADPER

Date: 08/15/12 Time: 23:55

Sample: 1990 2009

Included observations: 440

Cross-sections included: 22

Null Hypothesis: No cointegration

Trend assumption: No deterministic trend

Automatic lag length selection based on AIC with a max lag of 3

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Alternative hypothesis: common AR coefs. (within-dimension)

	Statistic	Prob.	Weighted Statistic	Prob.
Panel v-Statistic	0.104292	0.4585	-1.060073	0.8554
Panel rho-Statistic	-0.675158	0.2498	0.368678	0.6438
Panel PP-Statistic	-2.565796	0.0051	-0.601653	0.2737
Panel ADF-Statistic	-3.199437	0.0007	-0.979629	0.1636

Alternative hypothesis: individual AR coefs. (between-dimension)

	Statistic	Prob.
Group rho-Statistic	0.638641	0.7385
Group PP-Statistic	-1.375117	0.0845
Group ADF-Statistic	-2.931418	0.0017

ตารางที่ 18 ก ผลการทดสอบพหุคูณโคอินทิเกรชันระหว่าง $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ กับ $\ln(\text{roadper})_{it}$ ด้วยวิธี

Kao

Kao Residual Cointegration Test

Series: LNGDP LNROADPER

Date: 08/15/12 Time: 23:56

Sample: 1990 2009

Included observations: 440

Null Hypothesis: No cointegration

Trend assumption: No deterministic trend

Automatic lag length selection based on AIC with a max lag of 4

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

	t-Statistic	Prob.
ADF	-1.742008	0.0408
Residual variance	0.003568	
HAC variance	0.007663	

ตารางที่ 19 ก ผลการทดสอบพหุสมการโคอินทิเกรชันระหว่าง $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ กับ $\ln(\text{roadper})_{it}$ ด้วยวิธี

Johansen

Johansen Fisher Panel Cointegration Test

Series: LNGDP LNROADPER

Date: 08/15/12 Time: 23:57

Sample: 1990 2009

Included observations: 440

Trend assumption: Linear deterministic trend

Lags interval (in first differences): 1 1

Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Trace and Maximum Eigenvalue)

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Fisher Stat.* (from trace test)	Prob.	Fisher Stat.* (from max-eigen test)	Prob.
None	161.3	0.0000	133.6	0.0000
At most 1	105.1	0.0000	105.1	0.0000

* Probabilities are computed using asymptotic Chi-square distribution.

ตารางที่ 20 ก ผลการทดสอบพหุสมการโคอินทิเกรชันระหว่าง $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ กับ $\ln(\text{railper})_{it}$ ด้วยวิธี

Pedroni

Pedroni Residual Cointegration Test

Series: LNGDP LNRAILPER

Date: 08/16/12 Time: 00:07

Sample: 1990 2009

Included observations: 440

Cross-sections included: 22

Null Hypothesis: No cointegration

Trend assumption: No deterministic intercept or trend

Automatic lag length selection based on SIC with a max lag of 4

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Alternative hypothesis: common AR coefs. (within-dimension)

	Statistic	Prob.	Weighted Statistic	Prob.
Panel v-Statistic	-3.396803	0.9997	-3.524539	0.9998
Panel rho-Statistic	-1.994816	0.0230	-2.231848	0.0128
Panel PP-Statistic	-3.079955	0.0010	-3.250983	0.0006
Panel ADF-Statistic	-2.952457	0.0016	-3.104837	0.0010

Alternative hypothesis: individual AR coefs. (between-dimension)

	Statistic	Prob.
Group rho-Statistic	0.246301	0.5973
Group PP-Statistic	-4.087905	0.0000
Group ADF-Statistic	-2.470125	0.0068

ตารางที่ 21 ก ผลการทดสอบพหุสมการโคอินทิเกรชันระหว่าง $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ กับ $\ln(\text{railper})_{it}$ ด้วยวิธี

Kao

Kao Residual Cointegration Test
 Series: LNGDP LNRAILPER
 Date: 08/16/12 Time: 00:15
 Sample: 1990 2009
 Included observations: 440
 Null Hypothesis: No cointegration
 Trend assumption: No deterministic trend
 Automatic lag length selection based on AIC with a max lag of 4
 Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Parzen kernel

	t-Statistic	Prob.
ADF	-1.347090	0.0890
Residual variance	0.003601	
HAC variance	0.009792	

ตารางที่ 22 ก ผลการทดสอบพหุสมการโคอินทิเกรชันระหว่าง $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ กับ $\ln(\text{railper})_{it}$ ด้วยวิธี

Johansen

Johansen Fisher Panel Cointegration Test
 Series: LNGDP LNRAILPER
 Date: 08/16/12 Time: 00:08
 Sample: 1990 2009
 Included observations: 440
 Trend assumption: Linear deterministic trend
 Lags interval (in first differences): 1 1

Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Trace and Maximum Eigenvalue)

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Fisher Stat.* (from trace test)	Prob.	Fisher Stat.* (from max-eigen test)	Prob.
None	141.7	0.0000	109.0	0.0000
At most 1	113.0	0.0000	113.0	0.0000

* Probabilities are computed using asymptotic Chi-square distribution.

ตารางที่ 23 ก ผลการทดสอบความเป็นเหตุเป็นผล กรณี $\ln(\text{road})_{it}$ เป็นตัวแปรเหตุ

Dependent Variable: D(LNGDP)
 Method: Panel Least Squares
 Date: 08/16/12 Time: 02:27
 Sample (adjusted): 1994 2009
 Periods included: 16
 Cross-sections included: 22
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 352

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
D(LNROAD(-1))	-0.050573	0.036389	-1.389786	0.1656
D(LNROAD(-2))	-0.030737	0.032410	-0.948367	0.3437
D(LNROAD(-3))	-0.047375	0.031668	-1.496007	0.1356

D(LNGDP(-1))	0.252409	0.057033	4.425631	0.0000
D(LNGDP(-2))	0.157959	0.045670	3.458734	0.0006
D(LNGDP(-3))	-0.081424	0.038692	-2.104395	0.0361
ECT1(-1)	-0.076770	0.013867	-5.536018	0.0000
C	0.020179	0.002183	9.241920	0.0000

Effects Specification

Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

R-squared	0.419703	Mean dependent var	0.027841
Adjusted R-squared	0.369399	S.D. dependent var	0.036668
S.E. of regression	0.029118	Akaike info criterion	-4.156105
Sum squared resid	0.273864	Schwarz criterion	-3.837794
Log likelihood	760.4744	Hannan-Quinn criter.	-4.029432
F-statistic	8.343269	Durbin-Watson stat	1.807270
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

Wald Test:

Equation: Untitled

Test Statistic	Value	df	Probability
F-statistic	7.351837	(3, 323)	0.0001
Chi-square	22.05551	3	0.0001

Null Hypothesis: C(1)=0, C(2)=0, C(3)=0

Null Hypothesis Summary:

Normalized Restriction (= 0)	Value	Std. Err.
C(1)	-0.050573	0.036389
C(2)	0.252409	0.057033
C(3)	-0.030737	0.032410

Restrictions are linear in coefficients.

ตารางที่ 24 ก ผลการทดสอบความเป็นเหตุเป็นผล กรณี $\ln(\text{roadper})_{it}$ เป็นตัวแปรเหตุ

Dependent Variable: D(LNGDP)

Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section random effects)

Date: 09/04/12 Time: 18:02

Sample (adjusted): 1993 2009

Periods included: 17

Cross-sections included: 22

Total panel (balanced) observations: 374

Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
D(LNROADPER(-1))	0.033317	0.030601	1.088750	0.2770
D(LNROADPER(-2))	0.058474	0.030078	1.944088	0.0526
D(LNGDP(-1))	0.504064	0.039336	12.81424	0.0000
D(LNGDP(-2))	0.003078	0.037117	0.082940	0.9339
ECT(-1)	-0.009617	0.002235	-4.302104	0.0000
C	0.011876	0.001801	6.593932	0.0000

Effects Specification			
		S.D.	Rho
Cross-section random		0.000000	0.0000
Idiosyncratic random		0.030973	1.0000
Weighted Statistics			
R-squared	0.448401	Mean dependent var	0.025415
Adjusted R-squared	0.440906	S.D. dependent var	0.042829
S.E. of regression	0.032024	Sum squared resid	0.377405
F-statistic	59.83020	Durbin-Watson stat	2.066501
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		
Unweighted Statistics			
R-squared	0.448401	Mean dependent var	0.025415
Sum squared resid	0.377405	Durbin-Watson stat	2.066501
Wald Test: Equation: Untitled			
Test Statistic	Value	df	Probability
F-statistic	2.550678	(2, 368)	0.0794
Chi-square	5.101355	2	0.0780
Null Hypothesis: C(1)=0, C(2)=0 Null Hypothesis Summary:			
Normalized Restriction (= 0)	Value	Std. Err.	
C(1)	0.033317	0.030601	
C(2)	0.058474	0.030078	

Restrictions are linear in coefficients.

ตารางที่ 25 ก ผลการทดสอบความเป็นเหตุเป็นผล กรณี $\ln(\text{railper})_{it}$ เป็นตัวแปรเหตุ

Dependent Variable: D(LNGDP)

Method: Panel Least Squares

Date: 08/16/12 Time: 03:38

Sample (adjusted): 1994 2009

Periods included: 16

Cross-sections included: 22

Total panel (balanced) observations: 352

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
D(LNRAILPER(-1))	0.022033	0.036332	0.606436	0.5447
D(LNRAILPER(-2))	-0.013685	0.037940	-0.360714	0.7185
D(LNRAILPER(-3))	-0.021889	0.037278	-0.587182	0.5575
D(LNGDP(-1))	0.250676	0.058221	4.305630	0.0000
D(LNGDP(-2))	0.157690	0.046424	3.396740	0.0008
D(LNGDP(-3))	-0.108071	0.038438	-2.811595	0.0052
ECT(-1)	-0.047178	0.011174	-4.222324	0.0000

C	0.019174	0.002092	9.165158	0.0000
Effects Specification				
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)				
R-squared	0.403078	Mean dependent var	0.027841	
Adjusted R-squared	0.351333	S.D. dependent var	0.036668	
S.E. of regression	0.029532	Akaike info criterion	-4.127859	
Sum squared resid	0.281710	Schwarz criterion	-3.809549	
Log likelihood	755.5032	Hannan-Quinn criter.	-4.001187	
F-statistic	7.789631	Durbin-Watson stat	1.781465	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			
Wald Test:				
Equation: Untitled				
Test Statistic	Value	df	Probability	
F-statistic	0.335495	(3, 323)	0.7997	
Chi-square	1.006484	3	0.7997	
Null Hypothesis: C(1)=0, C(2)=0, C(3)=0				
Null Hypothesis Summary:				
Normalized Restriction (= 0)	Value	Std. Err.		
C(1)	0.022033	0.036332		
C(2)	-0.013685	0.037940		
C(3)	-0.021889	0.037278		

Restrictions are linear in coefficients.

ตารางที่ 26 ก ผลการทดสอบความเป็นเหตุเป็นผล กรณี $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ เป็นตัวแปรเหตุ

Dependent Variable: D(LNROAD)

Method: Panel Least Squares

Date: 08/16/12 Time: 03:56

Sample (adjusted): 1994 2009

Periods included: 16

Cross-sections included: 22

Total panel (balanced) observations: 352

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
D(LNGDP(-1))	-0.100265	0.085755	-1.169210	0.2432
D(LNROAD(-1))	-0.088471	0.054714	-1.616959	0.1069
D(LNGDP(-2))	-0.015528	0.068668	-0.226131	0.8212
D(LNROAD(-2))	-0.010029	0.048732	-0.205808	0.8371
D(LNGDP(-3))	0.014214	0.058178	0.244316	0.8071
D(LNROAD(-3))	0.028553	0.047615	0.599658	0.5492
ECT(-1)	0.046300	0.020851	2.220497	0.0271
C	0.014142	0.003283	4.307663	0.0000

Effects Specification

Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

R-squared	0.201320	Mean dependent var	0.010568
Adjusted R-squared	0.132085	S.D. dependent var	0.046996
S.E. of regression	0.043782	Akaike info criterion	-3.340390
Sum squared resid	0.619149	Schwarz criterion	-3.022080
Log likelihood	616.9087	Hannan-Quinn criter.	-3.213718
F-statistic	2.907768	Durbin-Watson stat	2.061736
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000003		

Wald Test:
Equation: Untitled

Test Statistic	Value	df	Probability
F-statistic	1.645284	(3, 323)	0.1788
Chi-square	4.935851	3	0.1766

Null Hypothesis: C(1)=0, C(2)=0, C(3)=0

Null Hypothesis Summary:

Normalized Restriction (= 0)	Value	Std. Err.
C(1)	-0.100265	0.085755
C(2)	-0.088471	0.054714
C(3)	-0.015528	0.068668

Restrictions are linear in coefficients.

ตารางที่ 27 ก ผลการทดสอบความเป็นเหตุเป็นผล กรณี $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ เป็นตัวแปรเหตุ

Dependent Variable: D(LNROADPER)

Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section random effects)

Date: 09/04/12 Time: 18:07

Sample (adjusted): 1994 2009

Periods included: 16

Cross-sections included: 22

Total panel (balanced) observations: 352

Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
D(LNGDP(-1))	0.031200	0.083598	0.373218	0.7092
D(LNGDP(-2))	-0.000876	0.068446	-0.012795	0.9898
D(LNGDP(-3))	0.073536	0.054407	1.351575	0.1774
D(LNROADPER(-1))	0.031241	0.049634	0.629415	0.5295
D(LNROADPER(-2))	0.107328	0.043786	2.451211	0.0147
D(LNROADPER(-3))	0.130281	0.043485	2.995979	0.0029
ECT(-1)	-0.003564	0.003364	-1.059255	0.2902

C	0.000118	0.002926	0.040317	0.9679
Effects Specification				
			S.D.	Rho
Cross-section random			0.000000	0.0000
Idiosyncratic random			0.044147	1.0000
Weighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.063621	Mean dependent var		0.004081
Adjusted R-squared	0.044566	S.D. dependent var		0.047282
S.E. of regression	0.046217	Sum squared resid		0.734783
F-statistic	3.338926	Durbin-Watson stat		2.085187
Prob(F-statistic)	0.001865			
Unweighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.063621	Mean dependent var		0.004081
Sum squared resid	0.734783	Durbin-Watson stat		2.085187

Wald Test:
Equation: Untitled

Test Statistic	Value	df	Probability
F-statistic	1.410052	(3, 344)	0.2396
Chi-square	4.230155	3	0.2377

Null Hypothesis: C(1)=0, C(2)=0, C(3)=0
Null Hypothesis Summary:

Normalized Restriction (= 0)	Value	Std. Err.
C(1)	0.031200	0.083598
C(2)	-0.000876	0.068446
C(3)	0.073536	0.054407

Restrictions are linear in coefficients.

ตารางที่ 28 ก ผลการทดสอบความเป็นเหตุเป็นผล กรณี $\ln(\text{GDP})_{it}$ เป็นตัวแปรเหตุ

Dependent Variable: D(LNRAILPER)

Method: Panel Least Squares

Date: 08/16/12 Time: 04:32

Sample (adjusted): 1992 2009

Periods included: 18

Cross-sections included: 22

Total panel (balanced) observations: 396

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
D(LNGDP(-1))	0.016987	0.045754	0.371269	0.7106
D(LNRAILPER(-1))	-0.293306	0.051889	-5.652579	0.0000

ECT(-1)	-0.001613	0.013547	-0.119034	0.9053
C	-0.006680	0.002597	-2.571875	0.0105

Effects Specification

Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

R-squared	0.106937	Mean dependent var	-0.004451
Adjusted R-squared	0.049165	S.D. dependent var	0.047586
S.E. of regression	0.046402	Akaike info criterion	-3.241908
Sum squared resid	0.798809	Schwarz criterion	-2.990556
Log likelihood	666.8978	Hannan-Quinn criter.	-3.142330
F-statistic	1.851007	Durbin-Watson stat	2.107171
Prob(F-statistic)	0.009475		

Wald Test:
Equation: Untitled

Test Statistic	Value	df	Probability
t-statistic	0.371269	371	0.7106
F-statistic	0.137840	(1, 371)	0.7106
Chi-square	0.137840	1	0.7104

Null Hypothesis: C(1)=0
Null Hypothesis Summary:

Normalized Restriction (= 0)	Value	Std. Err.
C(1)	0.016987	0.045754

Restrictions are linear in coefficients.

ตารางที่ 29 ก ผลการประมาณค่าความถัมพันธ์ ด้วยวิธี OLS

Dependent Variable: LNGDP
Method: Panel Least Squares
Date: 08/16/12 Time: 05:38
Sample: 1990 2009
Periods included: 20
Cross-sections included: 22
Total panel (balanced) observations: 440

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LNROAD	0.961021	0.053304	18.02890	0.0000
C	-2.068018	0.643754	-3.212436	0.0014

Effects Specification

Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

R-squared	0.975772	Mean dependent var	9.537375
Adjusted R-squared	0.974493	S.D. dependent var	0.978969

S.E. of regression	0.156349	Akaike info criterion	-0.822591
Sum squared resid	10.19361	Schwarz criterion	-0.608964
Log likelihood	203.9700	Hannan-Quinn criter.	-0.738315
F-statistic	763.3716	Durbin-Watson stat	0.225068
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

ตารางที่ 30 ก ผลการประมาณค่าความสัมพันธ์ ด้วยวิธี OLS

Dependent Variable: LNGDP
Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section random effects)
Date: 09/04/12 Time: 18:12
Sample: 1990 2009
Periods included: 20
Cross-sections included: 22
Total panel (balanced) observations: 440
Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LNRAIL	0.136936	0.101023	1.355496	0.1760
C	8.343410	0.906396	9.205039	0.0000

Effects Specification

	S.D.	Rho
Cross-section random	1.001493	0.9587
Idiosyncratic random	0.207772	0.0413

Weighted Statistics

R-squared	0.004174	Mean dependent var	0.441963
Adjusted R-squared	0.001900	S.D. dependent var	0.208060
S.E. of regression	0.207862	Sum squared resid	18.92449
F-statistic	1.835782	Durbin-Watson stat	0.083689
Prob(F-statistic)	0.176145		

Unweighted Statistics

R-squared	-0.030161	Mean dependent var	9.537375
Sum squared resid	433.4187	Durbin-Watson stat	0.003654

ตารางที่ 31 ก ผลการประมาณค่าความสัมพันธ์ ด้วยวิธี OLS

Dependent Variable: LNGDP
Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section random effects)
Date: 09/04/12 Time: 18:13
Sample: 1990 2009
Periods included: 20
Cross-sections included: 22
Total panel (balanced) observations: 440
Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LNROADPER	0.740140	0.062513	11.83969	0.0000

C	8.100396	0.197727	40.96749	0.0000
Effects Specification				
			S.D.	Rho
Cross-section random			0.731000	0.9408
Idiosyncratic random			0.183342	0.0592
Weighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.242866	Mean dependent var	0.534042	
Adjusted R-squared	0.241137	S.D. dependent var	0.210226	
S.E. of regression	0.183133	Sum squared resid	14.68959	
F-statistic	140.4970	Durbin-Watson stat	0.137394	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			
Unweighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.456915	Mean dependent var	9.537375	
Sum squared resid	228.4917	Durbin-Watson stat	0.008833	

ตารางที่ 32 ก ผลการประมาณค่าความสัมพันธ์ ด้วยวิธี OLS

Dependent Variable: LNGDP
 Method: Panel Least Squares
 Date: 10/01/12 Time: 20:49
 Sample: 1990 2009
 Periods included: 20
 Cross-sections included: 22
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 440

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LNRAILPER	-0.916750	0.128391	-7.140300	0.0000
C	8.239731	0.181977	45.27888	0.0000

Effects Specification				
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)				
R-squared	0.961583	Mean dependent var	9.537375	
Adjusted R-squared	0.959556	S.D. dependent var	0.978969	
S.E. of regression	0.196877	Akaike info criterion	-0.361620	
Sum squared resid	16.16312	Schwarz criterion	-0.147993	
Log likelihood	102.5564	Hannan-Quinn criter.	-0.277344	
F-statistic	474.4359	Durbin-Watson stat	0.148162	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

ประวัติผู้เขียน

ชื่อ-สกุล

นายปราชญา รัตตมงคล

วัน เดือน ปี เกิด

1 มิถุนายน 2528

ประวัติการศึกษา

สำเร็จการศึกษามัธยมศึกษาตอนปลาย โรงเรียนยุพราชวิทยาลัย
เชียงใหม่ และ Northwest High School, Ohio USA.สำเร็จการศึกษาปริญญาตรีเศรษฐศาสตรบัณฑิต (เกียรตินิยม
อันดับหนึ่ง) มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่ ปีการศึกษา 2552

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่

Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved