## Chapter 4

## Results

This chapter will present the discovery of the best strategy to buy eight stocks before XD dates. It indicates the numbers of day before the selling day that an investor should buy the stocks. The selling day is the last day before XD dates.

To detect the regime switching, the change point must satisfy the criteria mentioned in section 3.2. The existence of regime switching depends on the presence of the change point. Without the change point, there is no regime switching.

Major results presented in this study are as follows:
Result 1: Buying strategy
Result 2: Sensitivity analysis of random selection
Result 3: Sensitivity analysis of mutation rate
Result 4: Buying strategy with zero mutation rate
Result 5: Comparison of profit between different periods of XD dates
Result 6: Best buying strategies for each stock

### 4.1 Result 1: Buying strategies before XD dates in the whole year

Even though genetic algorithm suggests buying strategies for stocks, the buying signals may not satisfy the criteria for the detection of regime switching (see section 3.2). Six investment plans found no signal of regime switching, i.e. ADVANC 30 days, CPALL 40 days, IVL 40 days, PTT 30 days, PTT 50 days and SCC 30 days. Fortunately, in other 18 investment plans, the regime switching is found. Therefore, regime switching takes place in $75 \%$ of all investment plans.

More than half of regime switching takes place around 21-40 days before XD dates. In the case of XD dates in the whole year with mutation rate equals to 0.30 , around $38 \%$ of regime switching appears during $31-40$ days before XD dates and $33 \%$ appears during $21-30$ days before XD dates.

Table 2 Best strategy for buying stocks before XD dates in the whole year

| Stocks and investment plans | The best strategy (Lagged days to buy stocks) | Regime <br> switching <br> (lagged days <br> before XD) | Profit in the year that the best strategy is found (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 | $\{25,24,21\}$ | no signal | 12.5 |
| ADVANC40 | $\{40,39,38,37,36,35,30,26,25,13,5\}$ | 35 | 3.1 |
| ADVANC50 | $\{50,49,47,46,45,43,42,25,9,7,3\}$ | 42 | 5.18 |
| CPALL30 | $\{29,28,27,26,25,23,6,4,3,2\}$ | 25 | 3.28 |
| CPALL40 | $\{37,31,30,25,22,11,9,6,2,1\}$ | no signal | -3.26 |
| CPALL50 | $\{49,48,47,46,45,38,35,31,25,23,22,13\}$ | 45 | -0.24 |
| CPF30 | $\{30,29,28,27,26,25,20,7\}$ | 25 | 9.68 |
| CPF40 | $\{40,38,36,35,34,33,32,31,27,26,25,20,15\}$ | 31 | 5.43 |
| CPF50 | $\begin{gathered} \{49,48,47,46,44,43,42,41,40,39,38,35,31,28, \\ 26,19,15,7\} \end{gathered}$ | 38 | 8.65 |
| IVL30 | \{ $18,9,8,6,5,4,2,1\}$ | 4 | -1.84 |
| IVL40 | $\{40,24,19,17,16,10,9,8,4,2,1\}$ | no signal | -5.27 |
| IVL50 | $\{49,47,45,43,22,11,10,9,7,6,4,2,1\}$ | 6 | -6.22 |
| KBANK30 | $\{30,29,28,18,17,2,1\}$ | 28 | -6.19 |
| KBANK40 | $\{40,38,37,36,35,34,24,17\}$ | 34 | 6.67 |
| KBANK50 | $\begin{gathered} \{50,49,48,44,43,40,36,32,30,29,27,26,21,15, \\ 7,1\} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 26 | -6.46 |
| PTT30 | $\{22,21,19,14\}$ | no signal | 7.80 |
| PTT40 | $\{34,33,31,30,28,21,20,19,8,7,6,3,2\}$ | 30 | -3.70 |
| PTT50 | $\{36,34,33,31,23,22,21,17,16,14,9,8,7,4,2\}$ | no signal | -4.82 |
| SCC30 | $\{26,17,16,15,11\}$ | no signal | 3.36 |
| SCC40 | $\{37,36,35,31,27,16,15,14,13,12\}$ | 12 | 3.10 |
| SCC50 | $\begin{gathered} \{50,42,40,39,38,37,36,35,34,33,32,31,30,29, \\ 28,27,21,18,16,11,10\} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $27$ | 4.06 |
| TCAP30 | $\{30,29,28,27,25,23,7,5\}$ | 27 | 5.07 |
| TCAP40 | $\{40,39,38,37,36,34,33,32,29,27,20,19,15,9\}$ | 32 | 9.10 |
| TCAP50 | $\begin{gathered} \{50,49,45,44,43,42,41,40,38,35,32,31,29,27, \\ 14,6\} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 40 | 11.36 |

Source: Calculation using Genetic Algorithm written in Matlab

Table 3 Concentration of regime switching before XD dates in the whole year

| Days of regime switching (days) | Frequency (times) | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-10$ | 2 | 11.11 |
| $11-20$ | 1 | 5.56 |
| $21-30$ | 6 | 33.33 |
| $31-40$ | 7 | 38.89 |
| $41-50$ | 2 | 11.11 |
| Total | 18 | 100.00 |

Note: Mutation rate $=0.30$
In the out-of-sample test, the average profit is $5.35 \%$. Genetic algorithm does not guarantee the positive profit when applying the suggested strategies to other XD rounds. The average of minimum profit is minus $6.89 \%$. The investment plan that yields the highest profit is TCAP 50 days ( $11.38 \%$ per investment). The second top gainer is IVL 50 days ( $9.46 \%$ per investment) and TCAP 40 days ( $9.17 \%$ per investment).

Table 4 Profit of the best strategy (whole year) when applied to out-of-sample observations

| Stocks and <br> investment plans | Max(\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 | 15.38 | -5.58 | 2.68 | 5.80 |
| ADVANC40 | 9.82 | -7.24 | 2.55 | 5.02 |
| ADVANC50 | 13.59 | -6.51 | 3.73 | 5.34 |
| CPALL30 | 10.77 | -6.79 | 2.77 | 6.14 |
| CPALL40 | 10.09 | -3.23 | 3.72 | 4.51 |
| CPALL50 | 17.74 | -3.32 | 6.38 | 7.68 |
| CPF30 | 21.18 | -8.87 | 6.15 | 9.90 |
| CPF40 | 24.61 | -12.26 | 8.08 | 11.31 |
| CPF50 | 27.58 | -10.64 | 8.76 | 12.07 |
| IVL30 | 8.04 | -1.45 | 3.29 | 6.71 |
| IVL40 | 8.60 | -1.48 | 3.56 | 7.12 |
| IVL50 | 14.84 | 4.08 | 9.46 | 7.61 |
| KBANK30 | 10.50 | -6.37 | 2.15 | 4.84 |
| KBANK40 | 17.43 | -8.15 | 3.84 | 8.24 |
| KBANK50 | 14.81 | -5.77 | 4.54 | 6.92 |
| PTT30 | 17.51 | -3.85 | 3.89 | 7.10 |
| PTT40 | 18.25 | -2.09 | 3.56 | 5.30 |
| PTT50 | 18.43 | -1.56 | 3.71 | 5.40 |
| SCC30 | 19.99 | -5.73 | 5.41 | 7.82 |

Table 4 (continued)

| Stocks and <br> investment plans | Max(\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCC40 | 24.16 | -8.80 | 6.28 | 9.24 |
| SCC50 | 28.58 | -12.61 | 6.37 | 11.05 |
| TCAP30 | 34.92 | -14.32 | 6.85 | 14.15 |
| TCAP40 | 42.15 | -16.83 | 9.17 | 17.49 |
| TCAP50 | 49.12 | -15.99 | 11.38 | 19.52 |
| Average of all | 19.92 | -6.89 | 5.35 | 8.60 |

Source: Calculation using Genetic Algorithm written in Matlab
Next, the results show the highest profit that an investor can gain when the lowest price is known before the selling day. These numbers will be the benchmark of the performance of the strategies suggested by genetic algorithm.

Table 5 Profit when buying at the known lowest price (whole year)

| Stocks and <br> investment <br> plans | Max(\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 | 17.26 | 1.44 | 6.78 | 4.27 |
| ADVANC40 | 17.26 | 1.44 | 8.79 | 5.34 |
| ADVANC50 | 26.15 | 1.44 | 10.69 | 6.93 |
| CPALL30 | 20.00 | -0.95 | 8.61 | 7.32 |
| CPALL40 | 23.96 | 2.70 | 11.38 | 7.70 |
| CPALL50 | 28.57 | 2.70 | 13.81 | 9.99 |
| CPF30 | 26.79 | 2.48 | 11.86 | 8.98 |
| CPF40 | 35.40 | 3.70 | 15.85 | 11.42 |
| CPF50 | 48.04 | 3.83 | 18.14 | 14.69 |
| IVL30 | 23.61 | 3.92 | 13.77 | 13.92 |
| IVL40 | 30.88 | 8.16 | 19.52 | 16.06 |
| IVL50 | 48.33 | 30.06 | 39.20 | 12.92 |
| KBANK30 | 23.46 | 2.34 | 8.72 | 5.78 |
| KBANK40 | 23.46 | -2.80 | 11.94 | 8.45 |
| KBANK50 | 26.57 | 2.34 | 16.10 | 7.15 |
| PTT30 | 22.27 | 0.00 | 8.81 | 6.81 |
| PTT40 | 24.79 | 4.39 | 11.45 | 6.33 |
| PTT50 | 24.79 | 4.85 | 12.64 | 5.85 |
| SCC30 | 32.76 | 1.79 | 11.04 | 9.05 |
| SCC40 | 43.01 | 1.79 | 13.27 | 11.78 |
| SCC50 | 48.47 | 1.79 | 14.93 | 12.93 |

Table 5 (continued)

| Stocks and <br> investment | Max(\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| plans |  |  | 13.92 | 14.89 |
| TCAP30 | 48.25 | -4.37 | 19.31 | 19.00 |
| TCAP40 | 60.24 | -4.37 | 21.35 | 21.49 |
| TCAP50 | 69.43 | -4.37 | 14.25 | 10.38 |
| Average of all | 33.07 | 2.68 |  |  |
| stocks |  |  |  |  |

Note: The average value of all stocks is the mathematical mean. The study cannot calculate the geometric mean because of some negative values in the data
Source: Calculation during the period of 2005-2011, excluding the period that the best strategy is discovered in order to match the time periods of the out-of-sample test

In comparison with the highest profit, strategies that are suggested by genetic algorithm gain around $37.52 \%$ of the highest profit (table 6). TCAP50 is the most efficient investment plan when it yields around 53\% from the potential profit. CPF30 and CPF40 are the second and third top investment plans that extract the most from the potential profit, around $50-51 \%$.

Table 6 Comparison of the profit from genetic algorithm and the real data
(whole year)

| Stocks and investment plans | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average profit } \\ \text { from genetic } \\ \text { algorithm in the } \\ \text { out-of-sample } \\ \text { tests (\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average potential profit from real data when buying at the lowest price (\%) | Difference <br> (percentage points) | Performance <br> of genetic algorithm* <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 | 2.68 | 6.78 | -4.10 | 39.53 |
| ADVANC40 | 2.55 | 8.79 | -6.24 | 29.01 |
| ADVANC50 | 3.73 | 10.69 | -6.96 | 34.89 |
| CPALL30 | 2.77 | 8.61 | -5.84 | 32.17 |
| CPALL40 | 3.72 | 11.38 | -7.66 | 32.69 |
| CPALL50 | 6.38 | 13.81 | -7.43 | 46.20 |
| CPF30 | 6.15 | 11.86 | -5.71 | 51.85 |
| CPF40 | 8.08 | 15.85 | -7.77 | 50.98 |
| CPF50 | 8.76 | 18.14 | -9.38 | 48.29 |
| IVL30 | 3.29 | 13.77 | -10.48 | 23.89 |
| IVL40 | 3.56 | - 19.52 | -15.96 | 18.24 |
| IVL50 | 9.46 | 39.20 | -29.74 | 24.13 |
| KBANK30 | 2.15 | 8.72 | -6.57 | 24.66 |

Table 6 (continued)

| Stocks and investment plans | Average profit from genetic algorithm in the out-of-sample tests (\%) | Average potential profit from real data when buying at the lowest price (\%) | Difference <br> (percentage <br> points) | Performance <br> of genetic <br> algorithm* <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KBANK40 | 3.84 | 11.94 | -8.10 | 32.16 |
| KBANK50 | 4.54 | 16.10 | -11.56 | 28.20 |
| PTT30 | 3.89 | 8.81 | -4.92 | 44.15 |
| PTT40 | 3.56 | 11.45 | -7.89 | 31.09 |
| PTT50 | 3.71 | 12.64 | -8.93 | 29.35 |
| SCC30 | 5.41 | 11.04 | -5.63 | 49.00 |
| SCC40 | 6.28 | 13.27 | -6.99 | 47.32 |
| SCC50 | 6.37 | 14.93 | -8.56 | 42.67 |
| TCAP30 | 6.85 | 13.92 | -7.07 | 49.21 |
| TCAP40 | 9.17 | 19.31 | -10.14 | 47.49 |
| TCAP50 | 11.38 | 21.35 | -9.97 | 53.30 |
| Average of all stocks | 5.35 | 14.25 | -8.90 | 37.52 |

* The performance is the ratio of average out-of-sample profit to average potential profit.

Source: Calculation during the period of 2005-2011

### 4.2 Result 2: Sensitivity analysis of random selection

To ensure the robustness of randomization, i.e. initialization of parents, crossing over rate and mutated positions, made by genetic algorithm program, this study performs the sensitivity analysis of the random selection. In the 30 dayinvestment plan, the possibility of investment strategy is $2^{30}$ which are around one billion variations. In the 40 day-investment plan, the strategy expands to $2^{40}$ which are around one trillion variations. In the 50-day investment plan, the numbers of strategy reach around one thousand trillion variations. Compared to those huge numbers, the iteration of 4,000 rounds by the program may not find the global maximum profit.

However, the results of the robustness check in case of 30-day investment plan for IVL reveal that the standard error is small. The number is 0.22 when the mean value is 1.02 . The standard error is just around $20 \%$ of the mean value. Moreover, the minimum profit is 0.69 whose the deviation from mean is around 2 times of the standard deviation.

Therefore, it is apparent that the search algorithm by genetic algorithm yields a robust solution even though the numbers of generated series are very small relatively to all possible outputs.

Table 7 Sensitivity analysis of random selection (running the program 10 times) for IVL 30 days before XD dates in May 2011


Table 7 (continued)

| Profit (\%) | 0.95 | 1.07 | 1.34 | 1.16 | 0.76 | 1.09 | 1.07 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 1.31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistics | Max | 1.34 | Min | 0.69 | Average | 1.02 | Standard <br> deviation | 0.22 |  |  |

Note: The selling day is the last day before XD dates.

### 4.3 Result 3: Sensitivity analysis of mutation rate

So far, the study uses the mutation rate of 0.30 . This is the maximum rate that should be used by genetic algorithm according to Pitakaso (2011). Sudtasan and Suriya (2012) used the mutation rate of 0.50 which is controversial that it may be too much. However, the study reluctant to use a low mutation rate because it believes that the strategy needs high mutation to ensure that all the off-springs from the same parents will be different; they will help to search for the global maximum in a broader area than the off-springs that are quite similar.

Surprisingly, the zero mutation rate performs better than positive rates. For all trials of the investment plans, IVL50 in May 2011, CPF50 in August 2006, and CPF50 in April 2011 using variations of mutation rates from zero to 0.50 , the results found that the zero rate yields the highest profit.

This result shocks a little bit to the author and make the author revise the outputs of the study by using the zero mutation rate in the genetic algorithm. Therefore, from this point on, the study concerns that the zero mutation rate is superior to positive rates. It will show the results that use zero mutation rate.

Table 8 Sensitivity analysis of mutation rates for IVL 50 days before XD dates in May 2011

| Days before <br> selling day* | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 49 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 48 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 47 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 46 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 8 (continued)

| Days before selling day* | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.15 | M 0.20 | tation r | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 43 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| - 42 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 8 (continued)

| Days before <br> selling day* | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Profit (\%) | 27.20 | 17.49 | 13.74 | 10.10 | 11.78 | 10.38 | 10.15 | 11.62 | 10.75 | 10.25 | 9.54 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Standard |  | 5.2 |  |

Note: The selling day is the last day before XD dates.

Table 9 Sensitivity analysis of mutation rates for CPF 50 days before XD dates
in August 2006

| Days before selling day* | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.15 | Mutation rate |  |  | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 42 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 41 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 40 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 39 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 38 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 37 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Table 9 (continued)

| Days before selling day* | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | Mutation $0.25$ | rate <br> 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Profit (\%) | 0.00 | -0.46 | -1.15 | -1.27 | -1.25 | -1.47 | -1.31 | -1.29 | -1.57 | -1.68 | -1.31 |
| Statistics | Max | 0.00 | Min | -1.68 |  | verage | -1.16 |  | dard tion |  |  |

Note: The selling day is the last day before XD dates.

Table 10 Sensitivity analysis of mutation rates for CPF 50 days before XD dates in April 2011


Table 10 (continued)

| Days before <br> selling day* | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Profit (\%) | 38.7 | 33.3 | 30.8 | 30.9 | 28.2 | 27.4 | 27.6 | 27.9 | 28.4 | 27.2 | 27.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Standard |  |  |  |
| Statistics | Max | 38.7 | Min | 27.2 | Average | 29.8 | deviation |  | 3.5 |  |  |

Note: The selling day is the last day before XD dates.

### 4.4 Result 4: Buying strategy with zero mutation rate

This section revises the study in section 4.1 by using zero mutation rate. The first impression of the result is that the regime switching disappears. Twelve out of 24 investment plans show no signal of regime switching.

Among 12 chances of the switching, around one-third of them appear during $41-50$ days before XD dates (around $33 \%$ ). However, the period of the switching scatters from 1 to 50 days. It is better said that regime switching is specific to each stocks. It may not be able to generalize the period of regime switching for all stocks.

Table 11 Best strategy for buying stocks before XD dates with zero mutation rate using zero mutation rate (whole year)

| Stocks and |  | Regime | Profit in the |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| investment | The best strategy | switching | year that the |
| plans | (Lagged days to buy stocks) | (lagged days | best strategy is |
| ADVANC30 |  | before XD) | found (\%) |
| ADVANC40 | $\{26\}$ | no signal | 7.02 |
|  | $\{38,36\}$ | no signal | 5.14 |

Table 11 (continued)


Source: Calculation using Genetic Algorithm written in Matlab

Table 12 Concentration of regime switching before XD dates in the whole year in case of zero mutation rate

| Days of regime switching (days) | Frequency (times) | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-10$ | 1 | 8.33 |
| $11-20$ | 2 | 16.67 |
| $21-30$ | 2 | 16.67 |
| $31-40$ | 3 | 25.00 |
| $41-50$ | 4 | 33.33 |
| Total | 12 | 100.00 |

Note: Mutation rate = zero

For the out-of-sample test, the strategies yield $7.53 \%$ of the profit on average (table 13). This profit is higher than the usage of mutation rate of 0.30 whose profit is around $5.35 \%$ on average. The average of maximum profit of no mutation is also higher around 10 percentage points. However, the average of minimum profit is worse, around 4 percentage points lower.

Table 13 Profit of the best strategy (whole year) with zero mutation rate when applied to out-of-sample observations

| Stocks and <br> investment plans | Max(\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 | 11.35 | -8.44 | 3.45 | 6.03 |
| ADVANC40 | 12.5 | -7.84 | 2.78 | 6.51 |
| ADVANC50 | 24.63 | -10.48 | 6.46 | 8.52 |
| CPALL30 | 17.56 | -9.60 | 4.82 | 8.20 |
| CPALL40 | 23.96 | -7.96 | 6.46 | 10.76 |
| CPALL50 | 25.00 | -0.58 | 9.78 | 10.70 |
| CPF30 | 25.30 | -11.30 | 7.79 | 11.48 |
| CPF40 | 31.51 | -16.47 | 10.97 | 13.70 |
| CPF50 | 40.41 | -7.14 | 12.39 | 16.15 |
| IVL30 | 5.76 | -1.40 | 2.18 | 5.06 |
| IVL40 | 25.35 | -13.75 | 5.80 | 27.65 |
| IVL50 | -13.70 | 15.04 | 40.64 |  |
| KBANK30 | 14.21 | -12.28 | 3.61 | 7.63 |
| KBANK40 | 20.16 | -9.96 | 5.94 | 10.49 |
| KBANK50 | 22.95 | -9.45 | 8.39 | 9.30 |
| PTT30 | 18.48 | -6.32 | 3.78 | 7.34 |
| PTT40 | 17.43 | -2.06 | 3.76 | 5.24 |
| PTT50 | 18.60 | -7.73 | 3.73 | 7.22 |
| SCC30 | 26.71 | -13.64 | 5.91 | 10.94 |
| SCC40 | 41.97 | -15.35 | 8.67 | 15.06 |
| SCC50 | 45.33 | -16.45 | 7.98 | 15.51 |
| TCAP30 | 44.22 | -14.35 | 12.28 | 15.50 |
| TCAP40 | 57.40 | -20.24 | 13.90 | 22.63 |
| TCAP50 | 68.35 | -18.55 | 14.74 | 24.55 |
| Average of all | 28.46 | -10.63 | 7.53 | 13.20 |
| stocks |  |  |  |  |

Source: Calculation using Genetic Algorithm written in Matlab

The study revises the comparison between the profit from strategies suggested by genetic algorithm and the highest potential profit when the lowest buying price is known. Next table shows the highest profit. It is different from the same table in section 4.1 such that the average value of each investment plan is calculated according to the out-of-sample test. It means that when the best solution is found in some rounds of XD dates during 2005-2011, that round will not be counted in the out-of-sample test. For example, if the best solution is found in April 2010, then the out-of-sample test and the calculation of the highest potential profit do not include XD dates in April 2010. It is possible that, with a zero mutation rate (in this section) and a positive mutation rate (in section 4.1), the best solutions are not the same. Therefore, the rounds of XD dates included in the out-of-sample test and the calculation of the highest potential profit are different in that case. Therefore, the results of the calculation are different.

Table 14 Profit when buying at the known lowest price (whole year)

| Stocks and <br> investment <br> plans | Max(\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 | 17.26 | 1.44 | 7.29 | 4.70 |
| ADVANC40 | 17.26 | 1.44 | 8.79 | 5.34 |
| ADVANC50 | 26.15 | 1.44 | 10.90 | 6.88 |
| CPALL30 | 20.00 | 0.88 | 9.75 | 6.10 |
| CPALL40 | 23.96 | -0.95 | 10.23 | 8.95 |
| CPALL50 | 28.57 | 2.70 | 13.81 | 9.99 |
| CPF30 | 26.79 | 3.70 | 13.08 | 8.76 |
| CPF40 | 35.40 | 3.70 | 16.26 | 11.05 |
| CPF50 | 48.04 | 3.83 | 19.18 | 14.15 |
| IVL30 | 23.61 | 3.92 | 13.77 | 13.92 |
| IVL40 | 30.88 | 3.90 | 17.39 | 19.08 |
| IVL50 | 48.33 | 3.90 | 26.11 | 31.42 |
| KBANK30 | 23.46 | 2.34 | 8.72 | 5.78 |
| KBANK40 | 23.46 | -2.80 | 13.01 | 7.94 |
| KBANK50 | 26.57 | 2.34 | 16.10 | 7.15 |
| PTT30 | 22.27 | 0.00 | 8.81 | 6.81 |
| PTT40 | 24.79 | 4.39 | 11.45 | 6.33 |
| PTT50 | 24.79 | 0.00 | 12.24 | 6.57 |
| SCC30 | 32.76 | 1.79 | 10.96 | 9.10 |
| SCC40 | 43.01 | 1.79 | 12.99 | 11.94 |

Table 14 (continued)

| Stocks and <br> investment <br> plans | Max(\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCC50 | 48.47 | 1.79 | 14.93 | 12.93 |
| TCAP30 | 48.25 | -4.37 | 15.00 | 14.30 |
| TCAP40 | 60.24 | -4.37 | 19.31 | 19.00 |
| TCAP50 | 69.43 | -4.37 | 22.26 | 21.02 |
| Average of | 33.07 | 1.18 | 13.85 | 11.22 |
| all stocks |  |  |  |  |

Note: The average value of all stocks is the mathematical mean. The study cannot calculate the geometric mean because of some negative values in the data.

Source: Calculation during the period of 2005-2011, excluding the period that the best strategy is discovered in order to match the time periods of the out-of-sample test.

The superiority of the zero mutation rate over positive rates shines again in the comparison between the out-of-sample profit and the highest potential profit. When the performance of the mutation rate of 0.30 is around $33 \%$ of the highest profit, the performance of the zero mutation rate is around $54 \%$. It means that the investor can almost gain double profit from the strategies when the algorithm changes the mutation rate from 0.30 to zero.

Table 15 Comparison of the profit from genetic algorithm and the real data (whole year) in case of zero mutation rate

| Stocks and <br> investment | Average profit from <br> genetic algorithm in <br> the out-of-sample tests | Average potential <br> profit from real data <br> when buying at the | Difference <br> (percentage <br> (\%) | Performance <br> of genetic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANCst price (\%) | points) | algorithm * (\%) |  |  |
| ADVANC40 | 3.45 | 7.29 | -3.84 | 47.33 |
| ADVANC50 | 2.78 | 8.79 | -6.01 | 31.63 |
| CPALL30 | 6.46 | 10.90 | -4.44 | 59.27 |
| CPALL40 | 4.82 | 9.75 | -4.93 | 49.44 |
| CPALL50 | 6.46 | 10.23 | -3.77 | 63.15 |
| CPF30 | 9.78 | 13.81 | -4.03 | 70.82 |
| CPF40 | 7.79 | 13.08 | -5.29 | 59.56 |
| CPF50 | 10.97 | 16.26 | -5.29 | 67.47 |
| IVL30 | 12.39 | 19.18 | -6.79 | 64.60 |
| IVL40 | 2.18 | 13.77 | -11.59 | 15.83 |

Table 15 (continued)

| Stocks and <br> investment | Average profit from <br> genetic algorithm in <br> the out-of-sample tests <br> (\%) | Average potential <br> profit from real data <br> when buying at the | Difference <br> (percentage <br> points) | Performance <br> of genetic <br> algorithm * (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IVL50 | 15.04 | 26.11 | -11.07 | 57.60 |
| KBANK30 | 3.61 | 8.72 | -27.8119 | 11.49 |
| KBANK40 | 5.94 | 13.01 | -7.07 | 45.66 |
| KBANK50 | 8.39 | 16.10 | -7.71 | 52.11 |
| PTT30 | 3.78 | 8.81 | -5.03 | 42.91 |
| PTT40 | 3.76 | 11.45 | -7.69 | 32.84 |
| PTT50 | 3.73 | 12.24 | -8.51 | 30.47 |
| SCC30 | 5.91 | 10.96 | -5.05 | 53.92 |
| SCC40 | 8.67 | 12.99 | -4.32 | 66.74 |
| SCC50 | 7.98 | 14.93 | -6.95 | 53.45 |
| TCAP30 | 12.28 | 15.00 | -2.72 | 81.87 |
| TCAP40 | 13.90 | 19.31 | -5.41 | 71.98 |
| TCAP50 | 14.74 | 22.26 | -7.52 | 66.22 |
| Average of | 7.53 | 13.85 | -6.32 | 54.37 |
| all stocks |  |  |  |  |

* The performance is the ratio of average out-of-sample profit to average potential profit.

Source: Calculation during the period of 2005-2011

### 4.5 Result 5: Comparison of profit between different periods of XD dates

## (the first and second half of the year)

It is interesting to see whether the strategies and performance of genetic algorithm differ between the first and second half of the year. In the first half of the year, XD dates gather around March, April and May while they concentrates around August, September and November in the second half of the year. If the profit in these two rounds were not so different, it would mean that investors have two chances in a year to make profit.

### 4.5.1 XD dates in the first half of the year

This section repeats the calculation in section 4.4 using the zero mutation rate but calculates only for XD dates in the first half of the year. The results show that the regime switching takes place in 18 out of 24 investment plans ( $75 \%$ )
which is much higher than the appearance in the whole year (50\%). Therefore, it can be said that regime switching does exist especially in the first half of the year.

However, it is once again that the period of the switching is scattered from $1-50$ days. The switching can take place very close to XD dates ( $1-10$ days), relatively further ( $21-30$ days) or very far ( $41-50$ days) with almost similar probability (around $22-28 \%$ ).

Table 16 Best strategy for buying stocks before XD dates in the first half of the year using zero mutation rate

| Stocks and investment plans | The best strategy (Lagged days to buy stocks) | Regime switching (lagged days before XD) | Profit in the year that the best strategy is found (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 | $\{24,22,21,19,17,15,13,12,8,7,6,5,3\}$ | 5 | -3.73 |
| ADVANC40 | $\begin{gathered} \{39,38,37,36,35,33,32,31,29,27,25,23,22,21 \\ 20,15,14,12,11,8,7,6,4,3\} \end{gathered}$ | 11 | -2.61 |
| ADVANC50 | $\{50,49,48,47,46,45\}$ | 45 | 1.55 |
| CPALL30 | $\{30,29,28,27,26,25,24,23,22\}$ | 22 | -4.00 |
| CPALL40 | \{38, 36\} | no signal | 1.79 |
| CPALL50 | \{50, 49, 48\} | 48 | 4.70 |
| CPF30 | $\{29,28,26,25\}$ | 25 | 5.57 |
| CPF40 | $\{40,39,38,37,36\}$ | 36 | 0.96 |
| CPF50 | \{49, 48\} | no signal | 2.44 |
| IVL30 | \{27\} | no signal | 2.91 |
| IVL40 | \{39, 36\} | no signal | 7.34 |
| IVL50 | $\{50,49,48,47,46\}$ | 46 | 25.59 |
| KBANK30 | \{30 $\}$ | no signal | -5.46 |
| KBANK40 | $\{40,39,38,37,35,33,32,31,2928\}$ | 28 | -7.64 |
| KBANK50 | \{50, 49, 48\} | 48 | -4.23 |
| PTT30 | $\begin{gathered} \{30,29,25,24,23,22,20,19,17,16,10,8,65,4,3, \\ 2\} \end{gathered}$ | 2 | -5.06 |
| PTT40 | $\begin{gathered} \{38,35,33,32,29,28,25,24,23,22,21,20,19,16, \\ 14,11,9,8,7,6,3,1\} \end{gathered}$ | 6 | -5.52 |
| PTT50 | $\begin{gathered} \{50,42,34,33,32,31,30,28 \\ 27,26,25,24,22,21,20,19,17,16,14,9,8,6,5,4,1\} \end{gathered}$ | 4 | -6.11 |
| SCC30 | $\{30,28,27,26,25,24,21,16\}$ | 24 | 2.93 |
| SCC40 | $\begin{gathered} \{40,39,38,37,35,34,32,29,27,26,25,23,22,21, \\ 16,15,14,13,12\} \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 2.45 |
| SCC50 | $\{50,49,48,47,46,45,44\}$ | 44 | 5.68 |

Table 16 (continued)


Source: Calculation using Genetic Algorithm written in Matlab

Table 17 Concentration of regime switching before XD dates in the first half of the year in case of zero mutation rate

| Days of regime switching (days) | Frequency (times) | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-10$ | 4 | 22.22 |
| $11-20$ | 2 | 11.11 |
| $21-30$ | 4 | 22.22 |
| $31-40$ | 3 | 16.67 |
| $41-50$ | 5 | 27.78 |
| Total | 18 | 100.00 |

Note: Mutation rate = zero

The out-of-sample test shows that the average profit rises to around $10 \%$ compared to around $7.5 \%$ in the whole year. The minimum profit reduces to minus 0.16 which is almost non-negative profit. The maximize profit is, however, less than the case of the whole year; the number is around $23 \%$ compared to around $28 \%$.

Table 18 Profit of the best strategy (first half of the year) with zero mutation rate when applied to out-of-sample observations

| Stocks and <br> investment <br> plans | Max(\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 | 6.95 | -1.16 | 2.17 | 3.59 |
| ADVANC40 | 8.02 | -6.65 | 1.43 | 5.40 |
| ADVANC50 | 12.21 | -9.47 | 3.91 | 8.45 |
| CPALL30 | 17.56 | -9.60 | 5.21 | 8.91 |
| CPALL40 | 23.19 | -4.15 | 7.10 | 9.77 |
| CPALL50 | 25.00 | -0.58 | 9.72 | 11.72 |
| CPF30 | 23.18 | -2.61 | 5.02 | 9.84 |
| CPF40 | 30.30 | -0.43 | 13.75 | 12.83 |

Table 18 Profit of the best strategy (first half of the year) with zero mutation rate when applied to out-of-sample observations

| Stocks and <br> investment <br> plans | Max(\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CPF50 | 40.41 | -0.43 | 17.02 | 14.81 |
| IVL30 | 2.30 | 2.30 | 2.30 | 0.00 |
| IVL40 | 25.35 | 25.35 | 25.35 | 0.00 |
| IVL50 | 43.78 | 43.78 | 43.78 | 0.00 |
| KBANK30 | 10.50 | -2.24 | 5.83 | 4.56 |
| KBANK40 | 11.25 | -4.03 | 5.86 | 5.63 |
| KBANK50 | 15.59 | -9.45 | 9.58 | 9.48 |
| PTT30 | 7.36 | 0.00 | 2.96 | 2.63 |
| PTT40 | 6.03 | 1.04 | 3.08 | 2.25 |
| PTT50 | 5.84 | 0.94 | 3.09 | 2.34 |
| SCC30 | 22.63 | -5.39 | 7.27 | 9.86 |
| SCC40 | 23.75 | -4.70 | 7.60 | 9.81 |
| SCC50 | 27.82 | -2.89 | 7.72 | 11.54 |
| TCAP30 | 35.71 | -2.14 | 14.48 | 12.18 |
| TCAP40 | 55.10 | -5.84 | 18.78 | 20.05 |
| TCAP50 | 63.76 | -5.42 | 18.72 | 23.50 |
| Average of | 22.65 | -0.16 | 10.07 | 8.30 |
| all stocks |  |  |  |  |

Source: Calculation using Genetic Algorithm written in Matlab
Next section is the calculation of the highest profit when the lowest price is known. In the first half of the year, the highest profit reaches $16 \%$ on average. The figure is higher than that of the whole year which is around $14 \%$. Then, it can be seen that the first half of the year is more attractive for investors than other time in a year.

Table 19 Profit when buying at the known lowest price (first half of the year)

| Stocks and <br> investment <br> plans | Max(\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 | 17.26 | 1.62 | 7.58 | 6.71 |
| ADVANC40 | 17.26 | 1.44 | 8.11 | 6.41 |
| ADVANC50 | 17.26 | 1.44 | 8.87 | 6.61 |
| CPALL30 | 20.00 | 0.88 | 10.20 | 6.55 |
| CPALL40 | 23.96 | -0.95 | 11.49 | 9.10 |

Table 19 (continued)

| Stocks and <br> investment <br> plans | Max(\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CPALL50 | 28.57 | 2.70 | 14.35 | 10.83 |
| CPF30 | 24.49 | 3.70 | 10.85 | 8.83 |
| CPF40 | 35.40 | 5.43 | 17.07 | 13.04 |
| CPF50 | 40.41 | 5.43 | 20.35 | 14.37 |
| IVL30 | 23.61 | 23.61 | 23.61 | 0.00 |
| IVL40 | 30.88 | 30.88 | 30.88 | 0.00 |
| IVL50 | 48.33 | 48.33 | 48.33 | 0.00 |
| KBANK30 | 23.46 | 2.34 | 10.24 | 7.10 |
| KBANK40 | 23.46 | 2.34 | 13.26 | 7.60 |
| KBANK50 | 26.57 | 2.34 | 17.08 | 8.39 |
| PTT30 | 13.08 | 3.96 | 7.98 | 3.59 |
| PTT40 | 13.89 | 6.00 | 9.90 | 3.96 |
| PTT50 | 17.71 | 2.46 | 11.64 | 4.14 |
| SCC30 | 25.91 | 2.46 | 11.67 | 8.42 |
| SCC40 | 31.28 | 2.46 | 13.08 | 10.66 |
| SCC50 | 31.28 | 3.79 | 12.79 | 10.88 |
| TCAP30 | 39.27 | 3.79 | 16.44 | 11.94 |
| TCAP40 | 60.24 | 3.79 | 23.25 | 19.34 |
| TCAP50 | 69.43 |  | 25.00 | 22.88 |
| Average of | 29.29 |  | 16.00 | 8.39 |
| all stocks |  |  |  |  |
| TT ava |  |  |  |  |

Note: The average value of all stocks is the mathematical mean. The study cannot calculate the geometric mean because of some negative values in the data.

Source: Calculation during the period of 2005-2011, excluding the period that the best strategy is discovered in order to match the time periods of the out-of-sample test.

One of the highlight in this study is the performance of genetic algorithm in the first half of the year. The algorithm extracts up to $62 \%$ of the highest profit. This figure breaks the record of $54 \%$ for the algorithm with zero mutation rate for the whole year.

Table 20 Comparison of the profit from genetic algorithm and the real data (first half of the year) with zero mutation rate

| Stocks and investment plans | Average profit from <br> genetic algorithm in <br> the out-of-sample tests (\%) | Average potential profit from real data when buying at the lowest price (\%) | Difference <br> (percentage <br> points) | Performance <br> of genetic algorithm* <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 | 2.17 | 7.58 | -5.41 | 28.63 |
| ADVANC40 | 1.43 | 8.11 | -6.68 | 17.63 |
| ADVANC50 | 3.91 | 8.87 | -4.96 | 44.08 |
| CPALL30 | 5.21 | 10.20 | -4.99 | 51.08 |
| CPALL40 | 7.10 | 11.49 | -4.39 | 61.79 |
| CPALL50 | 9.72 | 14.35 | -4.63 | 67.74 |
| CPF30 | 5.02 | 10.85 | -5.83 | 46.27 |
| CPF40 | 13.75 | 17.07 | -3.32 | 80.55 |
| CPF50 | 17.02 | 20.35 | -3.33 | 83.64 |
| IVL30 | 2.30 | 23.61 | -21.31 | 9.74 |
| IVL40 | 25.35 | 30.88 | -5.53 | 82.09 |
| IVL50 | 43.78 | 48.33 | -4.55 | 90.59 |
| KBANK30 | 5.83 | 10.24 | -4.41 | 56.93 |
| KBANK40 | 5.86 | 13.26 | -7.4 | 44.19 |
| KBANK50 | 9.58 | 17.08 | -7.5 | 56.09 |
| PTT30 | 2.96 | 7.98 | -5.02 | 37.09 |
| PTT40 | 3.08 | 9.90 | -6.82 | 31.11 |
| PTT50 | 3.09 | 11.64 | -8.55 | 26.55 |
| SCC30 | 7.27 | 11.67 | -4.4 | 62.30 |
| SCC40 | 7.60 | 13.08 | -5.48 | 58.10 |
| SCC50 | 7.72 | 12.79 | -5.07 | 60.36 |
| TCAP30 | 14.48 | 16.44 | -1.96 | 88.08 |
| TCAP40 | 18.78 | 23.25 | -4.47 | 80.77 |
| TCAP50 | 18.72 | 25.00 | -6.28 | 74.88 |
| Average of all stocks | 10.07 | 16.00 | -5.93 | 62.94 |

* The performance is the ratio of average out-of-sample profit to average potential profit.

Source: Calculation during the period of 2005-2011

### 4.5.2 XD dates in the second half of the year

This section will find the strategies and performance of genetic algorithm in the second half of the year. Even though it is obvious to the readers that the performance of the first half is superior to the second half of the year but it is still interesting to see how much the difference is.

With zero mutation rate and XD dates in the second half of the year, the regime switching appears less than in the first half of the year; only 10 out of 18 (55\%) investment plans show the regime switching. It should be noted that the study skips CPALL and IVL for the investigation because there is only once in 6 years that the companies pay dividend twice a year.

The period of the switching during $31-40$ days is dominant $(40 \%)$. However, the announcement of XD dates and the dividend usually takes place just only 10-15 days prior to the XD dates. It might be the effect of the speculation made by investors that raise the stock prices before the announcement of cooperate performance.

Table 21 Best strategy for buying stocks before XD dates in the second half of the year using zero mutation rate

| Stocks and investment plans | The best strategy (Lagged days to buy stocks) | Regime <br> switching <br> (lagged days <br> before XD) | Profit in the year that the best strategy is found (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 <br> ADVANC40 <br> ADVANC50 | $\begin{gathered} \{30\} \\ \{40,39,38\} \\ \{50,49\} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { no signal } \\ 38 \\ 49 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.53 \\ & 9.46 \\ & 8.53 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPALL30* } \\ & \text { CPALL40* } \\ & \text { CPALL50* } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPF30 } \\ & \text { CPF40 } \\ & \text { CPF50 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \{30,29,28,27\} \\ \{40,39\} \\ \{42,40,39,38\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 39 \\ \text { no signal } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.27 \\ & 0.98 \\ & 0.24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { IVL30** } \\ & \text { IVL40** } \\ & \text { IVL50** } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| KBANK30 <br> KBANK40 <br> KBANK50 | $\begin{gathered} \{26,23,20,19,18,17,16,15,13,8,6,5,4,3\} \\ \{40,39\} \\ \{50,49,48,47,46,45,44\} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 39 \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.24 \\ -0.99 \\ 1.44 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| PTT30 <br> PTT40 <br> PTT50 | $\begin{gathered} \{30\} \\ \{40,39,38,37,36,35,34,33,32,31,30,29,28,27, \\ 26,25,24,23,22,21,20,19,18,17,16\} \\ \{50,49,48,45,44,43,42,40,38,37,36,33,32,31, \\ 30,29,27,23,22,21,18,17,12,10,8,7,6,5,4,3\} \end{gathered}$ | no signal <br> 16 <br> 3 | $1.71$ $0.34$ $-3.61$ |

Table 21 (continued)

| Stocks and <br> investment | The best strategy <br> (Lagged days to buy stocks) | Regime <br> slans | Profit in the <br> (lagged days <br> before XD) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCC30 | bear that the strategy is <br> found (\%) |  |  |
| SCC40 | $\{30,28\}$ | no signal | 4.94 |
| SCC50 | $\{36\}$ | no signal | 8.73 |
| TCAP30 | $\{49,48\}$ | no signal | 9.54 |
| TCAP40 | $\{29\}$ | no signal | -13.68 |
| TCAP50 | $\{40,39\}$ | 39 | 14.39 |

Note: The study skips CPALL and IVL because there is only once that the companies pay dividend in the second half of the year. It is not sufficient to conduct the out-of-sample test.
Source: Calculation using Genetic Algorithm written in Matlab

Table 22 Concentration of regime switching before XD dates in the second half of the year with zero mutation rate

| Days of regime switching (days) | Frequency (times) | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-10$ | 2 | 20.00 |
| $11-20$ | 1 | 10.00 |
| $21-30$ | 1 | 10.00 |
| $31-40$ | 4 | 40.00 |
| $41-50$ | 2 | 20.00 |
| Total | 10 | 100.00 |

Note: Mutation rate = zero

The average profit from the out-of-sample test is around $7.62 \%$. It is close to that of the whole year, $7.5 \%$. The figure is not less than that of the whole year because the study does not include CPALL and IVL which tends to give small profits. The maximum profit approaches around $27 \%$ and the minimum profit is around minus $10 \%$. These figures are also close to that of the whole year which are around $28 \%$ and minus $10.6 \%$.

Table 23 Profit of the best strategy (second half of the year) with zero mutation rate when applied to out-of-sample observations


Note: The study skips CPALL and IVL because there is only once that the companies pay dividend in the second half of the year. It is not sufficient to conduct the out-of-sample test.
Source: Calculation using Genetic Algorithm written in Matlab

Table 24 Profit when buying at the known lowest price (second half of the year)

| Stocks and <br> investment <br> plans | Max (\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 | 9.76 | 3.76 | 7.55 | 1.97 |
| ADVANC40 | 17.00 | 3.76 | 9.68 | 4.21 |

Table 24 (continued)

| Stocks and <br> investment <br> plans | Max (\%) | Min (\%) | Average (\%) | Standard <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC50 | 26.15 | 6.53 | 13.07 | 7.04 |
| CPALL30 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 0.00 |
| CPALL40 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 0.00 |
| CPALL50 | 10.60 | 10.60 | 10.60 | 0.00 |
| CPF30 | 26.79 | 3.83 | 15.95 | 8.90 |
| CPF40 | 32.50 | 6.90 | 17.35 | 9.73 |
| CPF50 | 48.04 | 6.90 | 20.37 | 14.81 |
| IVL30 | 3.90 | 3.90 | 3.90 | 0.00 |
| IVL40 | 3.90 | 3.90 | 3.90 | 0.00 |
| IVL50 | 3.90 | 3.90 | 3.90 | 0.00 |
| KBANK30 | 14.21 | 9.35 | 8.16 | 3.92 |
| KBANK40 | 21.62 | 9.35 | 15.88 | 5.31 |
| KBANK50 | 24.31 | 4.85 | 16.41 | 6.11 |
| PTT30 | 22.27 | 4.39 | 13.13 | 7.67 |
| PTT40 | 24.79 | 4.85 | 13.41 | 9.05 |
| PTT50 | 24.79 | 1.79 | 14.17 | 8.23 |
| SCC30 | 32.76 | 1.79 | 11.22 | 10.96 |
| SCC40 | 43.01 | 1.79 | 14.20 | 14.64 |
| SCC50 | 48.47 | -4.37 | 17.87 | 16.18 |
| TCAP30 | 48.25 | -4.37 | 15.32 | 17.97 |
| TCAP40 | 51.43 | -4.37 | 16.69 | 21.29 |
| TCAP50 | 55.88 | 259.60 | 22.73 |  |
| Average of | 25.35 |  | 12.39 | 7.95 |
| all stocks |  |  |  |  |
| The |  |  |  |  |

Note: The average value of all stocks is the mathematical mean. The study cannot calculate the geometric mean because of some negative values in the data.
Source: Calculation during the period of 2005-2011, excluding the period that the best strategy is discovered in order to match the time periods of the out-of-sample test.

The performance of XD dates in the second half of the year is around $61 \%$ without CPALL and IVL in the sample. This is quite similar to the performance of the first half of the year ( $62 \%$ ). It is because the highest potential profit in the second half is substantially lower than the first half; the figure is around $12 \%$ in the second half and $16 \%$ in the first half.

Table 25 Comparison of the profit from genetic algorithm and the real data (second half of the year) with zero mutation rate

| Stocks and investment plans | Average profit from genetic algorithm in the out-of-sample tests (\%) | Average potential profit from real data when buying at the lowest price (\%) | Difference <br> (percentage points) | Performance <br> of genetic algorithm* <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADVANC30 | 4.77 | 7.55 | -2.78 | 63.18 |
| ADVANC40 | 4.80 | 9.68 | -4.88 | 49.59 |
| ADVANC50 | 8.73 | 13.07 | -4.34 | 66.79 |
| CPALL30 |  | 7.05 | - | - |
| CPALL40 |  | 7.05 | - | - |
| CPALL50 | - | 10.60 | - | - |
| CPF30 | 9.60 | 15.95 | -6.35 | 60.19 |
| CPF40 | 10.27 | 17.35 | -7.08 | 59.19 |
| CPF50 | 10.32 | 20.37 | -10.05 | 50.66 |
| IVL30 | - | 3.90 | - | - |
| IVL40 | - | 3.90 | - | - |
| IVL50 | - | 3.90 | - | - |
| KBANK30 | 1.34 | 8.16 | -6.82 | 16.42 |
| KBANK40 | 9.14 | 15.88 | -6.74 | 57.56 |
| KBANK50 | 7.59 | 16.41 | -8.82 | 46.25 |
| PTT30 | 5.44 | 13.13 | -7.69 | 41.41 |
| PTT40 | 5.74 | 13.41 | -7.67 | 42.80 |
| PTT50 | 5.53 | 14.17 | -8.64 | 39.03 |
| SCC30 | 4.98 | 11.22 | -6.24 | 44.39 |
| SCC40 | 9.00 | 14.20 | -5.2 | 63.38 |
| SCC50 | 7.45 | 17.87 | -10.42 | 41.69 |
| TCAP30 | 10.72 | 15.32 | -4.6 | 69.97 |
| TCAP40 | 9.38 | 16.69 | -7.31 | 56.20 |
| TCAP50 | 12.31 | 20.60 | -8.29 | 59.76 |
| Average of all stocks | 7.62 | 12.39 | -4.77 | 61.50 |

* The performance is the ratio of average out-of-sample profit to average potential profit.
** The study skips CPALL and IVL because there is only once that the companies pay dividend in the second half of the year. It is not sufficient to conduct the out-of-sample test.
Source: Calculation during the period of 2005-2011

