

TABLE OF CONTENTS


	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	iv
ABSTRACT (THAI)	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Rationale	1
1.2 Objectives	5
1.3 Hypotheses	5
1.4 Anticipated benefits	5
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Embryology	6
2.2 Genetic and environmental cause of clefts	10
2.3 One-stage closure of cleft lip and palate	12
2.4 Two-stage closure of cleft lip and palate	13
2.5 One-stage closure and two-stage closure of cleft lip and palate	14
2.6 Timing of hard palate closure	17
CHAPTER III MATERIALS AND METHODS	20
3.1 Materials	20

	Page
3.1.1 Samples	20
3.2 Methods	24
3.2.1 Dental model analysis	24
3.2.1.1 Anterior and posterior arch widths	24
3.2.1.2 Anterior overjet	26
3.2.1.3 Anterior overbite	26
3.2.1.4 Anterior and posterior crossbites	27
3.2.2 Statistical methods	28
3.2.3 Errors of assessment	29
3.2.3.1 Errors of measurement	29
3.2.3.2 Errors of method	29
CHAPTER IV RESULTS	30
CHAPTER V DISCUSSION	36
CHAPTER VI CONCLUSIONS	42
BIBLIOGRAPHY	43
APPENDICES	51
APPENDIX A	52
APPENDIX B	53
APPENDIX C	54
APPENDIX D	55
APPENDIX E	56
CURRICULUM VITAE	60

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1 Usual treatment sequence	11
2 Guideline of treatment in patients with cleft lip and palate	12
3 Comparison of outcomes of one-stage and two-stage treatments.	15
4 Number of subjects in one-stage and two-stage groups, and mean ages at time of impression-taking	20
5 Timing of lip and palate closure (age in months, mean \pm standard deviations)	21
6 Surgical techniques for palate closure	22
7 Surgical technique for lip closure	22
8 Distribution of subjects with unilateral cleft lip and palate	30
9 Comparison of dental model measurements in two groups	32
10 Comparison of posterior crossbite in small lateral jaw segment and large jaw segment in two-groups	33
11 Comparison of anterior and posterior crossbites in two groups	33
12 Comparison of the first and second measurements between the intrainvestigator	55
13 Raw data of one-stage closure (Group 1)	56
14 Raw data of two-stage closure (Group 2)	57
15 Crossbite evaluation of the one-stage closure group (Group 1)	

Table	Page
according to Huddart and Bodenham score	58
16 Crossbite evaluation of the two-stage closure group (Group 2) according to Huddart and Bodenham score	59



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1 Cleft lip and palate children	7
2 Facial development in the embryo	8
3 Non-syndromic orofacial clefts	9
4 SNA and SNB angles	17
5 Triangular flap (Tennison) unilateral cleft lip surgery, A is cutting design for the unilateral cleft lip surgery and B is final flap positioning after cutting for the unilateral cleft lip surgery	24
6 Flap design (A) of Veau-Wardill-Kilner repair used lateral releasing incisions to allow medial movement of the palatal mucosa; the technique preserved the greater palatine vessels and the anterior incisive pedicle (B)	24
7 Analysis of anterior and posterior arch widths	25
8 Overjet measurement	26
9 Overbite measurement	26
10 Digital caliper	27
11 Method for the evaluation of the occurrence of crossbite according to Huddart and Bodenham	28
12 Comparison of anterior arch width, posterior arch width, anterior overjet and anterior overbite: one-stage closure versus two-stage closure	31
13 Evaluation of overjet in one-stage and two-stage closures	31

Figure	Page
14 Evaluation of overbite in one-stage and two-stage closures	32
15 Comparison of anterior and posterior crossbites	34
16 Comparison of crossbite in each tooth between one-stage and two-stage closure	35
17 Techniques used for surgical repair of complete unilateral cleft lip and palate	52
18 Goslon Yardstick was the models that used for rate the dental arch relationship	53