

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract (English)	v
Abstract (Thai)	xi
List of Tables	xxi
List of Figures	xxv
Introduction	1
Chapter 1 Literature review	4
1.1 Rice gall midge	4
1.1.1 Taxonomy and Morphological characteristic	4
1.1.2 Geographic distribution of rice gall midge	5
1.1.3 Mechanism of damage	7
1.1.4 Factors influencing damage	8
1.2. Management and Cultural control	11
1.2.1 Mechanical and cultural control	11
1.2.2 Biological control	12
1.2.3. Chemical control	13
1.3 Gall midge resistance in rice	13
1.3.1 Genotypic variation in gall midge resistance in rice	13
1.3.2 Mechanism of rice resistance	15
1.3.3 Genetic, breeding and selection for gall midge resistance	16

Chapter 2 Survey of gall midge infestation in farmer's rice field	19
2.1 Introduction	19
2.2 Material and Methods	20
2.2.1 A survey of the host plants in farmer's rice field in the dry season	20
2.2.2 Variation in the number of gall midges over the rice growing season and variation in gall midge infestation in farmer's rice varieties	24
2.3 Results	26
2.3.1 Environment and climate at Mae Moot village	26
2.3.2 Survey of host plants of the gall midge after farmer's rice field harvested	28
2.3.3 Variation in the number of gall midges over the rice growing season and variation in gall midge infestation in farmer's rice varieties	30
2.4 Discussion	36
Chapter 3 Responses to gall midge of Muey Nawng in farmer's rice field	39
3.1 Introduction	39
3.2 Materials and Method	40
3.2.1 Determination of gall midge damage in popular rice varieties in farmer's rice field	40
3.2.2 Variation in gall midge resistance among Muey Nawng accession: field evaluation	41

3.2.3 Comparing accessions of Muey Nawng with different gall midge resistance and improved rice varieties in environments with different gall midge damage	43
3.3 Results	45
3.3.1 Determine of gall midge damage in popular rice varieties in farmer's field.	45
3.3.2 Evaluation of twenty accessions of Muey Nawng to infestation of gall midge in field condition	49
3.3.3 Comparing accessions of Muey Nawng with different gall midge resistance and improved rice varieties in environments with different gall midge damage	57
3.4 Discussion	64
Chapter 4 Variation in a local rice variety, Muey Nawng	67
4.1 Introduction	67
4.2 Materials and methods	68
4.2.1 Evaluating reaction of twenty Muey Nawng accessions to six populations of gall midge under green house condition	68
4.2.2 Variation of Muey Nawng accessions	71
4.2.2.1 Variation in grain quality and morphology	71
4.2.2.2 Genetic diversity by Microsatellite Method	75
4.3 Results	77
4.3.1 Evaluating reaction of twenty accessions of Muey Nawng to six populations of gall midge infestation under green house	77

condition	
4.3.2 Variation of Muey Nawng accessions	84
4.3.2.1 Variation in grain quality and morphology	84
4.3.2.2 Genetic variation detected by microsatellite markers	93
4.4 Discussion	97
Chapter 5 General Discussion	99
5.1 Condition for gall midge damage in farmer's rice field	99
5.2 Responses to gall midge infestation in rice genotypic variation	100
5.3 Variation of local Muey Nawng rice varieties	102
5.4 General conclusion	104
5.5 Further research	105
References	106
Appendix	121
Appendix A Gall midge infestation (%) at 80 days after transplanting at Mae Moot village and morphological characters in 20 Muey Nawng accessions and check variety	121
Curriculum vitae	124

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Monthly mean temperature (°C) and rainfall total (mm) measure in the period 2005 to 2006 at Mae Sapok Royal Project Development Center, about in two km from Mae Moot village, Mae Win Sub District, Mae Wang District, Chiang Mai Province	27
2.2 Density of five alternative host plants of the rice gall midge in four types of habitats: irrigation ditch, wet land, bunds in rice field and paddy field at Mae Moot village, Mae Win Sub district, Mae Wang district, Chiang Mai province	29
3.1 The 20 accessions of Muey Nawng collected from farmers in three provinces in Northern Thailand	43
3.2 Number of normal tillers in four rice varieties at different stages of growth in farmer's field at Mae Moot village	47
3.3 Gall midge infestation (%) in four rice varieties at different stages of growth in farmer's field at Mae Moot village	47
3.4 Number of normal tillers, panicles and unproductive tillers in four rice varieties at maturity stage in farmer's field at Mae Moot village	48
3.5 Number of normal tillers, panicles and unproductive tillers in four rice varieties at maturity stage in farmer's field at Mae Moot village	48
3.6 Number of normal tillers in five Muey Nawng accessions with different gall midge resistance and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M (resistant), KDM105 and RD6 (susceptible)) at	60

- and 80 days after transplanting at Multiple Cropping Center, Chiang Mai University (CMU, gall midge absent) and Mae Moot village (MM, increased gall midge infestation with artificial lighting)
- 3.7 Gall midge damage (%) in five Muey Nawng accessions with different gall midge resistance and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M (resistant), KDML105 and RD6 (susceptible)) at 60 and 80 days after transplanting at Multiple Cropping Center, Chiang Mai University (CMU, gall midge absent) and Mae Moot village (MM, increased gall midge infestation with artificial lighting) 61
- 3.8 Number of normal tillers, panicles and unproductive tillers in five Muey Nawng accessions with different gall midge resistance and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M (resistant), KDML105 and RD6 (susceptible)) at maturity stage at Multiple Cropping Center, Chiang Mai University (CMU, gall midge absent) and Mae Moot village (MM, increased gall midge infestation with artificial lighting) 62
- 3.9 Grain yield and unfilled grain in five Muey Nawng accessions with different gall midge resistance and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M (resistant), KDML105 and RD6 (susceptible)) at Multiple Cropping Center, Chiang Mai University (CMU, gall midge absent) and Mae Moot village (MM, increased gall midge

	infestation with artificial lighting)	
4.1	The reaction of rice gall midge infestation by the Standard Evaluation System for Rice (IRRI, 1996)	71
4.2	Chromosomal locations, primer sequences, repeat motif and annealing temperature of four microsatellite primers	76
4.3	Gall midge damage (%) in 20 Muey Nawng accessions and five check varieties by six populations of gall midge under green house condition	80
4.4	The reactions of 20 Muey Nawng accessions and five check varieties to six populations of gall midge under greenhouse condition were classified by Standard Evaluation System for Rice (IRRI, 1998)	82
4.5	The percentage of non-glutinous, glutinous and alkaline value in 20 Muey Nawng accession and three check varieties	87
4.6	Seed characters and Shannon's Index (H') of 20 Muey Nawng accessions and three check varieties	88
4.7	Morphological characters and Shannon's Index (H') of 20 Muey Nawng accessions and check variety	89
4.8	Days to heading in 20 Muey Nawng accessions and check varieties planted at Chiang Mai on December 16th, 2009	91
4.9	Grain width, grain length and grain thick between and within of 20 Muey Nawng accessions and three check varieties	92
4.10	Allele frequencies of 20 Muey Nawng accessions at four microsatellite loci	95

- 4.11 Genetic diversity of 20 Muey Nawng (MN) accessions at four 96
microsatellite loci



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved


LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Distribution of the rice gall midge in Thailand from 1933-1998 (Tayathum <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	6
1.2	The stages life cycle of the rice gall midge	9
1.3	The silver shoots or onion leaves after the rice gall midge damage	10
1.4	The damage to rice production by the rice gall midge	11
2.1	Study site in Mae Moot village, Mae Win sub district, Mae Wang district, Chiang Mai province	21
2.2	Farmers' paddy field' in Mae Moot village, Mae Win sub district, Mae Wang district, Chiang Mai province	22
2.3	The five host plants: <i>Leersia hexandra</i> Sw. (swampy rice grass) (A), <i>Echinochloa colona</i> (L.) Link (jungle rice) (B), <i>Paspalum scrobiculatum</i> Linn. (rice grass paspalum) (C), <i>Ischaemum rugosum</i> salisb. (wrinkle duck-beak) (D) and <i>Oryza rufipogon</i> Griff. (common wild rice) (E)	23
2.4	Light trap (A) and cyanide bottle (B) for catch the adult rice gall midges on farmer rice field	25
2.5	Number of rice gall midge collected from light traps over four rice varieties: Muey Nawng, Phrae 1, RD6 and Nahng Gao, in farmer's at Mae Moot village	33
2.6	Number of normal and productive tillers hill ⁻¹ (with error bars, n=4)	34

- in four rice varieties: Muey Nawng, Phrae 1, RD6 and Nahng Gao, in farmer's field at Mae Moot village. Assessment was made during tillering to heading stage
- 2.7 Gall midge infestation (%) in four rice varieties: Muey Nawng, Phrae 1, RD6 and Nahng Gao, in farmer's field at Mae Moot. Assessment was made during tillering to heading stage. Error bars represent \pm SE (n=4) 35
- 3.1 Number of normal tillers in 20 Muey Nawng accessions and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M, RD4 (resistant) and San-pah-tawng 1 (susceptible) at three different growth stages in farmer's field at Mae Moot village, Error bars represent \pm SE (n=4) 52
- 3.2 Gall midge infestation (%) in 20 Muey Nawng accessions and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M, RD4 (resistant) and San-pah-tawng 1 (susceptible) at three different growth stages in farmer's field at Mae Moot village, Error bars represent \pm SE (n=4) 52
- 3.3 Number of normal tillers in 20 Muey Nawng accessions and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M, RD4 (resistant) and San-pah-tawng 1 (susceptible) at maturity in farmer's field at Mae Moot village. Error bars represent \pm SE (n=4) 53
- 3.4 Number of panicles of 20 Muey Nawng accessions and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M, RD4 (resistant) and San-pah-tawng 1 (susceptible)) at maturity in farmer's field at Mae Moot village. Error bars represent \pm SE (n=4) 53

- 3.5 Number of unproductive tillers in 20 Muey Nawng accessions and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M, RD4 (resistant) and San-pah-tawng 1 (susceptible)) at maturity in farmer's field at Mae Moot village. Error bars represent \pm SE (n=4) 54
- 3.6 Grain yield (at 14% grain moisture content) of 20 Muey Nawng accessions and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M, RD4 (resistant) and San-pah-tawng 1 (susceptible)) at maturity in farmer's field at Mae Moot village. Error bars represent \pm SE (n=4). *No data for grain yield of RD4 due to bird damage 54
- 3.7 Unfilled grain of 20 Muey Nawng accessions and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M, RD4 (resistant) and San-pah-tawng 1 (susceptible)) at maturity in farmer's field at Mae Moot village. Error bars represent \pm SE (n=4). *Unfilled grain was not determined in RD4 because of damage by bird 55
- 3.8 The straw dry weight of 20 Muey Nawng accessions and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M, RD4 (resistant) and San-pah-tawng 1 (susceptible)) at maturity in farmer's field at Mae Moot village. Error bars represent \pm SE (n=4) 55
- 3.9 Plant height (cm) of 20 Muey Nawng accessions and three check varieties (Muey Nawng 62 M, RD4 (resistant) and San-pah-tawng 1 (susceptible)) at maturity in farmer's field at Mae Moot village. Error bars represent \pm SE (n=4) 56

4.1	The twenty Muey Nawng accessions to gall midge in damage under green house condition	70
4.2	Iodine test strained in brown color glutinous grain and in black color non-glutinous grain of Muey Nawng and two check varieties	73
4.3	Alkaline spreading tested in Muey Nawng and two check varieties	73



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved