Table of Contents

	Page
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	v
Abstract in Thai	vii
Table of Contents	ix
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xiv
List of Abbreviation and Unit of Measurements	xvi
Chapter I Introduction	1
Chapter II Literature Review	4
2.1 Preliminary Definitions	4
2.2 FAO Land Evaluation Method	6
2.3 Automated Land Evaluation Method	10
2.4 Fuzzy Land Evaluation	10
2.3 Land Evaluation in Vietnam	11
2.4 Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Land Evaluation	12
2.5 Goeprocessing Model	16
Chapter III Study area	19
3.1 Geographical Location and Administrative Setting	19
3.2 Topography	21
3.3 Climate	22

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

3.4 Hydrographic Conditions 23

3.5 Soil Characteristics 24

3.6 Exiting Land Use Types 27

3.7 Social Conditions 29

3.7.1 Communes and Infrastructure 29

3.7.2 Demographic and Labor 30

3.8 Economic Conditions 31

Chapter IV Research Methods 33

4.1 Framework of The Study 33

4.2 Data Collection 34

4.3 Multicriteria Decision Making 34

4.4 Decision Rule 35

4.5 Criteria Weighting 38

4.6 Models Construction 43

4.6.1 Land Mapping Unit Delineation 43

4.6.2 Land Utilization Types (LUTs) 44

4.6.3 Land Use Requirements (LUR) 44

4.6.4 Crop Suitability Modeling 51

4.6.5 Erosion Modeling 52

4.6.5.1 Rainfall Erosivity Factor (R) 52

4.6.5.2 Soil Erodibility Factor (K) 53

4.6.5.3 Topographic Factors (LS) 54

4.6.5.4 Land Cover Management Factor (C) 54

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

4.6.5.5 Conservation Practice Factor (P) 55

4.6.5.6 Determining Soil Loss Index 56

4.7 Determination of Physical Suitability Class 58

4.8 Crop Relative Suitability 58

4.9 Geoprocessing Models Construction 59

Chapter V Results and Discussion 60

5.1. Geoprocessing Models Construction 60

5.1.1 Optimum Range for Standardize Factor Model 60

5.1.2 Asymmetric Left for Standardize Factor Model 62

5.1.3 Asymmetric Right for Standardize Factor Model 63

5.1.4 Joint Membership Crop Suitability Index Model 64

5.1.5 Annual Soil Loss Estimation Model 65

5.1.6 Joint Membership Physical Suitability Index Model 66

5. 2 Determination of Physical Suitability 67

5.2.1 The Constraint map of the Study Area 67

5.2.2 Soil Loss Estimation 67

5.2.3 Model Parameters Definition 71

5.2.4 Weight Definition 72

5.2.5 Physical Suitability 74

5.3 Relative Crop Suitability 86

5.4 Comparison between Relative Crop Suitability with Existing Land Use Maps 87

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

Chapter VI Conclusion and Recommendation 91

6.1 Conclusion 91

6.2 Recommendation 93

References 94

Appendices 100

Curriculum Vitea 158

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

List of Tables

		Page
Table 3.1	Distribution of land in administrative boundary	20
Table 3.2	Soil types of Nam Dong district	26
Table 3.3	Land use types of Nam Dong district	28
Table 3.4	Population density by commune in Nam Dong district	30
Table 3.5	Growth of total product value by economic domain	31
Table 4.1	The 9-point scale for comparisons	40
Table 4.2	Random Consistency Index (RI) for n = 1, 2, ..., 15	42
Table 4.3	Land use requirements for rubber	45
Table 4.4	Land use requirements for cassava	46
Table 4.5	Land use requirements for maize	46
Table 4.6	Land use requirements for bean	47
Table 4.7	Land use requirements for sweetpotato	47
Table 4.8	Land use requirements for irigated rice	48
Table 4.9	Land use requirements for citrus	48
Table 4.10	Land use requirements for banana	49
Table 4.11	Land use requirements for pineapple	49
Table 4.12	Land use requirements for sugarcane	50
Table 4.13	Land use requirements for rainfed upland rice	50
Table 4.14	The values of land cover management factor (C)	55
Table 4.15	The values of conservation practice factor (P)	56
Table 4.16	Guideline for definitions of classes for factors rating	58
Table 5.1	The weight factors of LCs for eleven crops in the study area	73
Table 5.2	The weigh factors for crop suitability and soil erosion of eleven crops in the study area	74
Table 5.3	The relative crop suitability area and its proportion in Nam Dong district	87
Table 5.4	Classification error matrix between exiting land use in 2005 and relative crop suitability in Nam Dong district	88

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

List of Figures

		Page
Figure 2.1	Procedures in land evaluation	9
Figure 2.2	Crisp versus fuzzy	11
Figure 3.1	The location of Nam Dong district	20
Figure 3.2	The elevation map in Nam Dong district	21
Figure 3.3	The average annual rainfall and temperature in the study area (2001-2005)	22
Figure 3.4	The average monthly sunshine in the study area from 2001 to 2005	23
Figure 3.5	The lakes, rivers and streams network in Nam Dong district	24
Figure 3.6	The soil map of Nam Dong district	25
Figure 3.7	The land use map of Nam Dong district	29
Figure 4.1	The study framework for land suitability assessment implemented in GIS	33
Figure 4.2	Membership functions of selected land properties	38
Figure 4.3	The model to determine crop suitability index	51
Figure 4.4	The model to determine annual soil loss	53
Figure 4.5	The procedures for relative crop suitability assessment	59
Figure 5.1	The window to enter parameters in optimum range model	61
Figure 5.2	The window to enter parameters in asymmetric left model	62
Figure 5.3	The window to enter parameters in asymmetric right model	63
Figure 5.4	The window to enter parameters in joint MF crop suitability model	64
Figure 5.5	The window to enter parameters of potential soil loss estimation model	65
Figure 5.6	The window to enter parameters of soil loss estimation model	66
Figure 5.7	The window to enter parameters in joint MF of physical suitability model	66
Figure 5.8	The constraint map in Nam Dong district	67
Figure 5.9	The soil erodibility in Nam Dong district	68
Figure 5.10	The topographic factors in Nam Dong district	69
Figure 5.11	The potential soil loss in Nam Dong district	70
Figure 5.12	The physical suitability of banana in Nam Dong district	75

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

Figure 5.13 The physical suitability of bean in Nam Dong district 76
Figure 5.14 The physical suitability of cassava in Nam Dong district 77
Figure 5.15 The physical suitability of citrus in Nam Dong district 78
Figure 5.16 The physical suitability of irrigated-rice in Nam Dong district 79
Figure 5.17 The physical suitability of maize in Nam Dong district 80
Figure 5.18 The physical suitability of pineapple in Nam Dong district 81
Figure 5.19 The physical suitability of rubber in Nam Dong district 82
Figure 5.20 The physical suitability of sugarcane in Nam Dong district 83
Figure 5.21 The physical suitability of sweet-potato in Nam Dong district 84
Figure 5.22 The physical suitability of upland-rice in Nam Dong district 85
Figure 5.23 The relative crop suitability in Nam Dong district 86

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

List of Abbreviation and Unit of Measurements

AHP	Analytic Hierarchical Process
CEC	Cation Exchange Capacity
DEM	Digital Elevation Models
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
GIS	Geographical Information Systems
LC	Land Characteristic
LCP	Lower Crossover Point
LMU	Land Mapping Unit
LQ	Land Quality
LUR	Land Use Requirements
LUT	Land Utilization Type
MADM	Multiattribute Decision Making
MCDA	Multicriteria Decision Analysis
MCDM	Multicriteria Decision Making
MF	Membership Function
MODM	Multiobjective Decision Making
OM	Organic Matter
RUSLE	Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation
UCP	Upper Crossover Point
^{0}C	Degree Celsius
ha	Hectare
1 ha =	10,000 Square Meter
kg	Kilogram
m	Meter

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved