TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract (English)	v
Abstract (Thai)	x
List of Tables	xxi
List of Figures	xxvi
Introduction	1
Chapter 1 Literature review	5
1.1 Acid soil problems	5
1.1.1 Extension of acid soils	5
1.1.2 Soil acidity and nutrient deficiency	9
1.2 Impact of Al toxicity on plants	12
1.2.1 Growth responses to Al toxicity	12
1.2.2 Nutrient uptake efficiency and Al toxicity	13
1.2.3 Difference in Al tolerance among crop species and genotypes	16
1.3 Tolerance mechanisms to Al toxicity in plants	17
1.3.1 External detoxification of Al	18
1.3.2 Internal detoxification of Al	20
1.3.3 Mechanisms of Al tolerance in rice	21
1.4 Management of Al toxicity and soil acidity	22
1.4.1 Amelioration of acid and Al-toxic soils	22

1.4.2 Selection for acid-soil or Al tolerant plants 25

1.5 Genetic variation for Al tolerance in rice 27

Chapter 2 Growing upland rice on acid soil: a case study at Tee Cha village 30

2.1 Introduction 32

2.2 Materials and Methods 32

2.2.1 Experiment 2.2.1 Soil survey and crop cut on upland rice fields 32

2.2.2 Experiment 2.2.2 Performance of upland rice varieties on acid soil fields 32

2.2.3 Experiment 2.2.3 Response of rice in simulated slash-and-burn in pot experiment 36

2.3 Results 38

2.3.1 Crop cut survey on acid soil fields in year 2004 38

2.3.2 Performance of upland rice varieties in farmers' fields on acid soil in 2006 42

2.3.3 Effect of burned biomass on rice growth 50

2.4 Discussion 55

Chapter 3 Genotypic variation in tolerance to Al toxicity in Thai rice germplasm 59

3.1 Introduction 59

3.2 Materials and Methods 62

3.2.1 Genotypic variation among rice varieties to soil acidity and Al 62

toxicity

Experiment 3.2.1.1 Germination of upland rice in acid soil 62

Experiment 3.2.1.2 Responses of rice to Al levels in nutrient solution 62

Sub experiment 1 Variation among upland rice varieties 62

Sub experiment 2 Comparing the responses of rice to Al made from different aluminum salts 62

Sub experiment 3 Comparing the responses of rice to Al with and without air bubbling in nutrient solution 64

Sub experiment 4 Variation among improved Thai rice varieties 64

3.2.2 Genotypic variation within local rice varieties recognized by the same name 68

Experiment 3.2.2.1 Variation between and within seed lots of local upland rice varieties 68

Experiment 3.2.2.2 Variation between and within seed lots of deep water rice 72

3.3. Results 73

3.3.1 Genotypic variation among rice varieties to soil acidity and Al toxicity 73

Experiment 3.3.1.1 Germination of upland rice in acid soil 73

Experiment 3.3.1.2 Responses of rice in tolerance to Al toxicity in nutrient solution 75

Sub experiment 1 *Variation among upland rice varieties* 75

Sub experiment 2 *Comparing the responses of rice to Al made from different aluminum salts* 77

Sub experiment 3 *Comparing the responses of rice to Al with and without air bubbling in nutrient solution* 77

Sub experiment 4 *Variation among improved Thai rice varieties* 78

3.3.2 Genotypic variation within local rice as recognized as the same variety name 89

Experiment 3.3.2.1 Variation between and within seed lots of upland rice varieties 89

Experiment 3.3.2.2 Variation between and within seed lots of deep water rice “Leung Yai” 100

3.4 Discussion 106

Chapter 4 Morphological and physiological responses of upland rice in tolerance to Al toxicity in nutrient solution 111

4.1 Introduction 111

4.2 Materials and Methods 113

4.3. Results 115

4.4 Discussion 149

Chapter 5 General Discussion 155

5.1 Genotypic variation of rice in tolerance to soil acidity 155

5.2 Physiological mechanism for Al tolerance in rice 157

5.2.1 Effect of Al on germination 157

5.2.2 Effect of Al on root growth 158

5.2.3 Inhibited nutrient uptake and induced deficiency 159

5.2.4 Al accumulation in plant part 160

5.3 Acid tolerance for upland rice production on acidic soils in the highlands 161

5.3.1 Farmer's management on upland field 161

5.3.2 Performance of upland rice varieties on acidic soils 163

5.4 General conclusion 164

5.5 Further research 165

References 166

Curriculum vitae 183

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	The range of Al tolerance in field crops	17
2.1	Number of farmers planted to local upland rice varieties in Tee Cha village in year 2004	35
2.2	Farmer's field collecting in year 2004	36
2.3	Soil pH of six farmer's fields during vegetative stage of the rice crop in year 2004	40
2.4	Yields of upland rice varieties in different farmer's fields that grown in year 2004	40
2.5	Yield components of upland rice varieties in different farmer's fields that grown in year 2004	41
2.6	Soil pH and exchangeable Al at 0-15 and 15-30 cm soil depth from the ground level of three farmer's fields that collected at vegetative stage in year 2006	45
2.7	Dry weight of straw and grain of plant samples (collected three plants for each replicates) and grain yield in 2m x 2m quadrants of three upland rice varieties that kept by different farmers at maturity	49
2.8	Yield components of three upland rice varieties that kept by different farmers at maturity	49
2.9	Soil pH collected at three soil depths at 30 days after sowing of rice grown in acid soils without (Ash0) and with adding ash	51

(Ash+)

2.10 Nutrient concentrations and nutrient contents of ash from biomass burning; biomass collected from 7-yearr-rotational cycle at Tee Cha village 51

3.1 Nutrient concentration of Kimura B solution 66

3.2 Preparation of Kimura B solution 66

3.3 Characteristic of popular improved Thai rice varieties 67

3.4 Chromosomal locations, primer sequences, repeat motif and annealing temperature of six microsatellite primers 71

3.5 Root and shoot growth of six rice varieties at three treatments in nutrient solution at 14 days after treatments 79

3.6 Relative values of root and shoot growth of six rice varieties that grown in Al_0-pH4 compared with Al_0-pH7 (pH effect), Al_{30}-pH4 compared with Al_0-pH4 (Al effect) and Al_{30}-pH4 compared with Al_0-pH7 (pH + Al effect) 80

3.7 Correlation coefficients between relative root length (RRL) with root and shoot lengths, and plant dry weights by pH effect, Al effect and pH + Al effect 81

3.8 Root length, shoot length, root dry weight and shoot dry weight of KDML105 growing in 2 Al-form in nutrient solution at 21 days after treatments 84

3.9 Root length (cm) of five varieties growing in nutrient solution without and with oxygen supply by air bubbling (B0 and B+) in 85

	Al_0 and Al_{30} at 21 days after treatment	
3.10	Root dry weight (mg $plant^{-1}$)of five varieties growing in nutrient solution without and with oxygen supply by air bubbling (B0 and B+) in Al_0 and Al_{30} at 21 days after treatment	86
3.11	Shoot dry weight (mg $plant^{-1}$) of five varieties growing in nutrient solution without and with oxygen supply by air bubbling (B0 and B+) in Al_0 and Al_{30} at 21 days after treatment	87
3.12	Root length and relative root length (RRL) of 10 rice varieties to Al toxicity in nutrient solution at 21 days after treatments	88
3.13	Morphological characters and genetic variation between and within seed lot as recognized as the same variety names of BB, BM and PA that obtained 2 seed lots of each variety	93
3.14	Root length of each two seed lots (obtained 20 progeny lines each) recognized as the same variety names of BB, BM and PA with 2 Al levels, 0 and 30 mg Al L^{-1}, in nutrient solution for 21 days	94
3.15	Relative root length of each two seed lots (obtained 20 progeny lines each) recognized as the same variety names of BB, BM and PA in response to Al toxicity	95
3.16	Allele frequencies of two upland rice varieties	96
3.17	Gene diversity (H_e) of BB and BM populations based on six microsatellite loci	97
3.18	Partition of genetic diversity within and between population of upland rice BB and BM based on six microsatellite loci	98

3.19	Genetic differentiation among population (F_{ST}) matrix values determined across six microsatellite loci of local upland rice BB and BM	99
3.20	Seed characters and Shannon's Index of 15 seed lots of a variety rcognized as Leung Yai that kept by different farmers	102
3.21	Grain length between and within seed lots recognized as the same variety name as Leung Yai collected from 15 farmers' seed lots.	103
3.22	Root length of 15 seed lots of deep water rice "Leung Yai" that grown in nutrient solution with 2 Al levels, 0 and 30 mg L^{-1} at 21 days after treatments	104
4.1	Root length of four rice varieties when grown in nutrient solution with four Al levels for 30 and 45 days	122
4.2	Shoot length of four rice varieties when grown in nutrient solution with four Al levels for 30 and 45 days	123
4.3	Root number of four rice varieties when grown in nutrient solution with four Al levels for 30 and 45 days	124
4.4	Root dry weight (mg $plant^{-1}$) of four rice varieties when grown in nutrient solution with four Al levels for 30 and 45 days	125
4.5	Shoot dry weight (mg $plant^{-1}$) of four rice varieties when grown in nutrient solution with four Al levels for 30 and 45 days	126
4.6	Correlation coefficients between relative root length (RRL) with relative values of shoot length (RSL), root number (RRN), root dry weight (RRW), shoot dry weight (RSW) and total dry weight	129

(RTW) at Al_{10}, Al_{20} and Al_{30} relative to Al_0 at 30 and 45 days after treatments

4.7 Aluminum concentration in root and shoot of BB and KDML105 with comparing at Al_0 and Al_{30} in nutrient solution at 45 days after treatment 130

4.8 Nitrogen content (mg plant^{-1}) in whole plant of four rice varieties when grown in nutrient solution with four Al levels for 30 and 45 days 138

4.9 Phosphorus content (mg plant^{-1}) in whole plant of four rice varieties when grown in nutrient solution with four Al levels for 30 and 45 days 139

4.10 Potassium content (mg plant^{-1}) in whole plant of four rice varieties when grown in nutrient solution with four Al levels for 30 and 45 days 140

4.11 Calcium content (mg plant^{-1}) in whole plant of four rice varieties when grown in nutrient solution with four Al levels for 30 and 45 days 141

4.12 Magnesium content (mg plant^{-1}) in whole plant of four rice varieties when grown in nutrient solution with four Al levels for 30 and 45 days 142

4.13 Correlation coefficient between RRL with relative nutrient contents of N, P, K, Ca and Mg at Al_{10}, Al_{20} and Al_{30} relative to Al_0 at 30 days after treatments 146

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	World acid soils	7
1.2	Extent and distribution of Ultisols and Alfisols in Thailand	8
1.3	Relative activities of mononuclear Al species of soluble Al as a function of pH	10
1.4	Organic acids able to form 5- or 6-membered ring structures with Al^{3+} protect plants from Al toxicity	19
1.5	A model showing how Al-activated malate efflux protects wheat root tips from Al toxicity	20
2.1	Tee Cha village's land used in 2000-2006	34
2.2	Al concentration in plant of three upland rice varieties that kept by three different farmers at tillering and flowering stages in year 2006	45
2.3	Shoot length (a) and plant dry weight (b) of three upland rice varieties that kept by three different farmers at tillering, flowering and maturity stages in year 2006	46
2.4	Nutrient contents of P, K, Ca, and Mg in whole plant top of three upland rice varieties that kept by three different farmers at tiller, flowering and maturity in year 2006	47
2.5	Nutrient contents of P, K, Ca and Mg in straw and grain of three upland rice varieties that kept by three different farmers in year 2006.	48

2.6	Shoot and root dry weight of BB and KDML105 when grown in acid soils without (Ash0) and with adding ash (Ash+) on the soil surface comparing at 20 and 30 days after sowing	52
2.7	Root growth distribution of Bue Bang and KDML105 when grown in acid soil without (Ash0) and with ash (Ash+) at 30 days after planting in three soil layers; 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm and 10-30 cm depth from the top soil.	53
2.8	Nutrient contents of N, P, K, Ca and Mg of Bue Bang and KDML105 grown in acid soils without (Ash0) and with ash (Ash+) at 30 days after sowing.	54
3.1	Percentage of survived seedlings when grown in soil with two pH levels; 5.8 (A) and 3.5 (B)	74
3.2	Root growth of six rice varieties at 14 days after treatments that grown in Al_0 (left) and Al_{30} (right) in nutrient solution with pH4	82
3.3	The symptoms of Al toxicity in rice; A) leaf symptom at Al_{30}, B) root growth at Al_0, C) root growth of Al-tolerant variety at Al_{30}, D) and E) root growth of Al-sensitive variety at Al_{30}.	83
3.4	Microsatellite amplification products of BB population that detected by primer RM335. *Lane 1-20 population of BB1, M 25 bp ladder, Lane 21-35 population of BB2*	97
3.5	Genetic distance among population determined across six microsatellite loci of local upland rice BB and BM by UPGMA methods using the MEGA2 program	99

3.6	Root growth of Leung Yai seed lots in response to Al toxicity in nutrient solution; A) comparing root growth between Al_0 and Al_{30}; B) and C) variation among individual plants within seed lot at Al_{30}	105
4.1	Relative root length (RRL) of four rice varieties at Al_{10}, Al_{20} and Al_{30} compared with Al_0 in nutrient solution at 30 (A) and 45 (B) days after treatments	127
4.2	Relative total dry weight of four rice varieties at Al_{10}, Al_{20} and Al_{30} compared with Al_0 in nutrient solution at 30 (A) and 45 (B) days after treatments	128
4.3	Relative nutrient uptake of nitrogen of four rice varieties at Al_{10}, Al_{20} and Al_{30} compared with Al_0 in nutrient solution at 30 and 45 days after treatments	143
4.4	Relative nutrient uptake of phosphorus of four rice varieties at Al_{10}, Al_{20} and Al_{30} compared with Al_0 in nutrient solution at 30 and 45 days after treatments	143
4.5	Relative nutrient uptake of potassium of four rice varieties at Al_{10}, Al_{20} and Al_{30} compared with Al_0 in nutrient solution at 30 and 45 days after treatments	144
4.6	Relative nutrient uptake of calcium of four rice varieties at Al_{10}, Al_{20} and Al_{30} compared with Al_0 in nutrient solution at 30 and 45 days after treatments	144

4.7 Relative nutrient uptake of magnesium of four rice varieties at Al_{10}, Al_{20} and Al_{30} compared with Al_0 in nutrient solution at 30 and 45 days after treatments 145

4.8 Nutrient uptake efficiency of N, P, K, Ca and Mg (A, B, C, D, E) of four rice varieties in culture solution at four Al levels at 30 days after treatments 147