TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement A 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	i
Abstract	ii
Thai Abstract	v
Table of Contents	viii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xiii
List of Appendix Tables	xiv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1 6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Intercropping- definition, importance and mechanism of yield advantage	5
2.1.1 Definition of intercropping	5
2.1.2 Importance of intercropping	5
2.1.3 Mechanism of intercropping yield advantage	7
2.2 Evaluating intercrop advantage	9
2.3 Nitrogen fixation and the intercrop systems	
2.3.1 Nitrogen fixation by the legume	13
2.3.2 Nitrogen transfer	14

			Page
	2.3.3	Effects of cereal/legume intercropping on subsequent crops	15
2.4	Maize intercr	as a component species in a cereal/legume op	15
2.5	Effect	of cutting on regrowth of swards	16
CHAPTER 3	MATI	ERIALS AND METHODS	18
3.1	Field s	study: determination of farming systems	18
3.2	Intercr	opping maize and lablab	20
	3.2.1	Field experiment	20
	3.2.2	Sampling procedure and data collection	23
	3.2.3	Chemical analysis and determination of N fixation	25
3.3	Statist	ical analysis	26
3.4	Econo	mic analysis	27
CHAPTER 4	RESU	LTS	28
4.1	Crop-l Nepal	ivestock mixed farming systems of Chitawon,	28
	4.1.1	Introducing lablab into the cropping systems	35
4.2	Interc	cropping system with lablab into maize	39
	4.2.1	Dry matter yield	39
	4.2.2	Leaf area index (LAI)	43
	4.2.3	Grain yield and yield components	45
	4.2.4	Total dry matter production in monoculture	46

		Page
	4.2.5 Effects of intercropping on productivity of maize and lablab	47
	4.2.6 Nodulation	49
	4.2.7 Total shoot nitrogen yield	51
	4.2.8 Nitrogen fixation in lablab	53
4.3	Crude protein in lablab shoot dry matter	58
4.4	Economic evaluation of the different cropping systems	61
CHAPTER 5	DISCUSSION	63
5.1	The possibility of maize/lablab intercropping	63
5.2	Evaluation of component crops in intercropping systems	65
5.3	Nitrogen fixation in intercropping systems	68
5.4	Productivity of intercropping systems	72
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	76
6.1	Conclusion	76
6.2	Recommendation	78
References	ธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชีย	79
	ht [©] by Chiang Mai Un	
Curriculum vitae	rights resei	1 ₁₀₈ e 0

LIST OF TABLES

[able		Page
1	Meteorological data of the study period on the experimental station, Chiang Mai, Thailand	21
2	Combinations of the treatments used in the experiment	22
3	The major cropping patterns in the Lanku and Tandi sites of Chitawon	29
4	Status of the major by-products used for feeding animals (3 livestock unit) in Lanku site	31
5	Labor distribution/ seasonal calendar	36
6	Dry matter of maize (Mg ha ⁻¹) at different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management	39
7	Dry matter of lablab (Mg ha ⁻¹) at different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management	41
8	Leaf Area Index (LAI) of maize and lablab at different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management	44
9	Grain yield and yield components of maize as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management	46
10	Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) and Area Time Equivalent Ratio (ATER) of dry matter and protein at maize harvest	48
	The proportion of plant nitrogen being derived from nitrogen fixation (P fix %) by lablab at different harvesting as influenced by cropping systems and	ve ₅₆ it
	cutting management	

	Page
Accumulated amount of nitrogen fixed, total N and % nitrogen fixed over time by lablab at different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management	57
Accumulated nitrogen fixation (Kg N ha ⁻¹) of lablab at different growth stages as influenced by cutting days to the cropping systems	58
Per cent crude protein (CP) in lablab shoot dry matter at different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management	59
Crude protein (CP) content in lablab (Mg ha ⁻¹) as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management	60
Simple gross return analysis of different cropping systems (Rs ha ⁻¹)	62
	% nitrogen fixed over time by lablab at different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management Accumulated nitrogen fixation (Kg N ha ⁻¹) of lablab at different growth stages as influenced by cutting days to the cropping systems Per cent crude protein (CP) in lablab shoot dry matter at different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management Crude protein (CP) content in lablab (Mg ha ⁻¹) as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management Simple gross return analysis of different cropping systems (Rs ha ⁻¹)

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่ Copyright[©] by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1 .	Flow chart on the process of estimating nitrogen fixation	26
2	Map of Nepal sowing location of Chitawon district	33
3	Map of the study area	34
4	Various components of a mixed farming system and their relationship in Chitawon, Nepal	35
5	Dry matter weight of lablab (gm plant ⁻¹)	43
6	Total dry matter production (Mg ha ⁻¹) in monoculture and intercrop at final harvesting of maize	47
7	Number of root nodule of lablab per plant (20 cm depth) a) monocrop b) intercrop	50
8	Total nitrogen (Kg ha ⁻¹) in lablab shoot dry matter at different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management a) monocrop b) intercrop	52
9	The Relative Ureide Index (RU %) of lablab shoot at different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management a) monocrop b) intercrop	55
Appendix	ทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชีย	
Copyr	Layout of the field experiment (Randomized Complete Block Design)	ersity

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Fable		Page
1	Immediate green fodder contribution of lablab (Kg ha ⁻¹) as influenced by cutting management	86
2	Total dry matter production at final harvesting of maize (Mg ha ⁻¹) as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management	86
3	Dry matter of lablab (g plant ⁻¹) in different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management	87
4	ANOVA of dry matter weight in maize	87
5	Total nitrogen (Kg N/ ha) in lablab shoot dry matter in different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management	88
6	ANOVA of cutting of lablab on grain yield and yield components of maize	88
7	Number of root nodule of lablab plant ⁻¹ (20 cm depth) in different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management	89
8	The Relative Ureide Index (RU %) of lablab shoot in different growth stages as influenced by cropping systems and cutting management	89
9	Rate of net income and protein production of the various cropping systems	90
10	Weight of dried weeds in gm sq.m ⁻¹	90
11	Weeds identified in experimental field	91
12	Relative Ureide Index (%), and symbiotic dependence in lablab	91

Γable		Page
13	ANOVA of total dry matter production of maize and lablab in monoculture and intercrop	92
14	ANOVA of Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) of dry matter at maize harvest (100 DAS)	92
15	ANOVA of Area Time Equivalent Ratio (ATER) of biomass and protein	92
16	ANOVA of Leaf Area Index (LAI) of maize	93
17	ANOVA of Leaf Area Index (LAI) of lablab	93
18	ANOVA of Relative Ureide Index of lablab	93
19	ANOVA of nodule number of lablab per plant	94
20	ANOVA of per cent Crude Protein (CP) in lablab shoot dry matter	94
21	ANOVA of dry matter of lablab (Mg ha ⁻¹)	94
22	ANOVA of cutting effect on dry matter weight of lablab	95
23	ANOVA of grain yield and yield components of maize	95
24	ANOVA of cumulative nitrogen fixation in lablab	96
25	ANOVA of cutting of lablab on nitrogen fixation as influenced by cropping systems	96
26	ANOVA of dry matter of lablab (g plant ⁻¹)	97
27	ANOVA of cutting effect in dry matter of lablab (g plant ⁻¹) as influenced by cropping systems	97
28	ANOVA of total nitrogen (Kg ha ⁻¹)	98
29	ANOVA of proportion of N2 being derived from fixation (P fix %) at different harvest	98 V e
30	ANOVA of cutting effect in total nitrogen (Kg ha ⁻¹)	99

Table		Page
31	ANOVA of cutting effect on proportion of N2 being derived from fixation (P fix %) as influenced by cropping systems	99
32	ANOVA of cutting effect on per cent CP of lablab as influenced by cropping systems	100
33	ANOVA of effect of cutting on CP content (Mg ha ⁻¹) of lablab at final harvest	100
34	ANOVA of immediate green fodder contribution of lablab	101
35	ANOVA of weed dry matter after maize harvest (g square m ⁻¹)	101
36	ANOVA of crude protein (CP) content in lablab (Mg ha ⁻¹)	101
37	Dry matter accumulation in maize (Mg ha ⁻¹)	102
38	Green matter accumulation in maize (Mg ha ⁻¹)	102
39	Dry matter weight of lablab (Mg ha ⁻¹)	102
40	Dry weight of lablab (g plant ⁻¹)	103
41	Green matter weight of lablab (Mg ha ⁻¹)	103
42	Leaf Area Index (LAI) of maize	103
43	Plant height of maize (cm)	104
44	Leaf Area Index (LAI) of lablab	104
45	Plant height of lablab (cm)	104
46 0	Grain yield and yield components of maize	105
47	LER and ATER of dry matter and crude protein (CP)	105
48	Total shoot nitrogen (Kg ha ⁻¹) of lablab	105
49	Number of root nodules of lablab per plant	106

Cable		Page
50	% RU (Relative Ureide Index) in lablab	106
51	Proportion of N2 being derived from fixation (P fix %) in lablab	106
52	Cumulative nitrogen fixation (Kg ha ⁻¹) in lablab	107
53	% CP (Crude Protein) in lablab	107
54	CP (Crude Protein) yield of lablab at 130 DAS (Mg ha ⁻¹)	107

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่ Copyright[©] by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved

AI MAI