## CHAPTER 4

## RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

### 4.1 Screening data

Many geochemical exploration, development, and production projects are complex because of large numbers of samples. Therefore screening analysis should be rapid and cheap. Large numbers of oil, rocks and/or sediments can be screened using geochemical tools such as total organic carbon (TOC), Rock-Eval pyrolysis, Use of these practical and less expensive methods allows non-source rocks to be identified and oils and source rocks to be provisionally grouped into genetic families.

Screening analysis of this study is a determination of the sample in term of total organic carbon (TOC) content, total carbon (TC) content, and total sulphur (TS) content. Rock-Eval analysis provided $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{\text {max }}$, hydrogen index (HI) and production index (PI) (Appendix II). Together they provide general appraisal of sample characteristic.

## Total organic carbon (TOC) content

Total organic carbon (TOC), also called organic carbon ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {org }}$ ), is the amount of organic carbon in a rock, expressed as weight percent, used to describe the quantity of organic carbon in the rock sample and includes both kerogen and bitumen. In general, TOC values more than $2 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in shale are regarded as good source rock. In contrast, TOC values lower than $0.5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ have no petroleum generating potential (Bordenave et al., 1993).

## Total carbon (TC) content and total sulphur (TS) content

Total carbon is an amount of carbon in the rock, including both inorganic (carbonate minerals) and organic carbon (aliphatic and aromatic compounds).

Total sulphur is a bulk of organic sulphur in the rock. Understanding the origin of sulphur in petroleum and kerogen is necessary in order to make reliable
interpretation of source input and depositional environment. High- and low-sulphur crude oils analysed by LECO CS-200 induction furnace are derived from high- and low- sulphur kerogens respectively (Gransch and Posthuma, 1974). High-sulphur kerogen and oils originate from marine rocks deposited under highly reducing to anoxic condion.

## TOC/TS ratio

The weight ratio of total organic carbon (TOC) and total sulphur (TS) (TOC/TS ratio, $=C / S$ ratio) is an indicator for distinguishing the whether original environment of deposition of the oil source is under fresh water or marine condition, and thus give a qualitative indication of the redox status of the environment of deposition (Berner and Raiswell, 1983; 1984; Berner, 1989; Phillips and Bustin, 1996). High C/S ratio (higher than 10) indicates the mainly oxic sediments in terrestrial depositional environment. In contrast, low $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{S}$ ratio (lower than 5) can be an indicator of a greater abundance of euxinic (oxygen-poor) basin environment. In addition, the C/S ratio in the range from 5-10 corresponds to deposition under periodic anoxia condition (ranging from oxic to anoxic environment).

## The TOC/TS versus TOC plot

TOC/TS versus TOC plot can be used to determined condition of deposition of source rocks. High C/S ratio (higher than 10) can be indicates the mainly oxic sediments in terrestrial depositional environment. In contrast, low C/S ratio (lower than 5) can be an indicator that a greater abundance of euxinic (TOC/TS boundaries from Berner and Raiswell, 1984).

## Rock-Eval divided $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{\text {max }}$

$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is free hydrocarbons, oil and gas, contained in the organic matter, expressed in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock. $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ values less than 0.5 can be indicated no petroleum potential. $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ values between 0.5 and 1 can be indicated as fair potential. $S_{1}$ values between 1 and 2 can be indicated as good potential and between 2 and 4 can be indicated as very good potential.
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ corresponds to present potential of the rock sample and expressed in mg $\mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock. Most sediments have $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ values lower than $2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock. $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ higher than $5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock can be considered as fair potential sources (Bordenave et al., 1993).
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{m a x}}\left({ }^{0} \mathbf{C}\right.$ ) is the oven temperature that corresponds to the maximum rate of the $S_{2}$ hydrocarbon generation which varies as a function of the thermal maturity of the organic matter.

## Hydrogen index (HI)

The hydrogen index (HI) corresponds to the quantity of pyrolyzable organic compounds from $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ relative to the TOC. HI is defined as the ratio between $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ expressed in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock and TOC expressed per weight of rock (Tissot and Welte, 1984). Source rock which HI values of less than $200 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ TOC can be generated gas. HI values 200 to $300 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g} \mathrm{TOC} \mathrm{can} \mathrm{be} \mathrm{generated} \mathrm{mix} \mathrm{oil} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{gas} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{HI}$ values more than $300 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ TOC can be generated oil (Peters and Moldowan, 1993; Peters et al., 2005).

## Oxygen index (OI)

The oxygen index (OI) corresponds to the quantity of carbon dioxide from $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ relative to the TOC. OI is defined as the ratio between $S_{3}$ expressed in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock and TOC expressed per weight of rock (Tissot and Welte, 1984). However, in research Rock-Eval derided $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ can not be measured because the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ detector of RockEval 6 instrument is broken.

## Production index (PI)

The PI is $\mathrm{S}_{1} /\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}+\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ ratio. The PI typically climbs from 0.1 to 0.4 from the beginning to the end of the oil generation window (Tissot and Welte, 1984).

## Rock-Eval divided $\mathbf{T}_{\text {max }}$ and Production index (PI)

Peters (1986) reported that Rock-Eval $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ and production index (PI) values less than $435^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.1 respectively indicate an immature organic matter that has generated little or no petroleum. A $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ greater than $470^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ coincide with the wet-gas generation zone. The PI values reach about 0.4 at the bottom of the oil window (be-
ginning of the wet-gas zone) and increase to 1.0 when the hydrocarbon-generative capacity of kerogen has been exhausted.

## The $S_{2}$ yield against TOC cross plot

The potential of source rocks is determined by the $S_{2}$ yield against TOC cross plot can be indicated as potential of source rocks. $S_{2}$ value less than 2 and TOC value less than 0.5 can be interpreted as poor potential source rocks. $S_{2}$ value of $2-5$ and TOC value of $0.5-1$ can be interpreted as fair potential source rocks. $S_{2}$ value of 5-20 and TOC value of 1-2 can be interpreted as good potential source rocks. $S_{2}$ value more than 20 and TOC value more than 2 can be interpreted as excellent potential source rocks.

## The Hydrogen Index against $T_{\text {max }}$ plot

The hydrocarbon and kerogen types are classified by Hydrogen Index (HI, mg HC/g TOC) against $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ from Rock-Eval pyrolysis plot. This plot is used when the oxygen index has not been determined. The four principal types of kerogen in sedimentary rocks include type I (very oil-prone), II (oil-prone), III (gas-prone) and IV (inert). Some discussions modify these definitions to include transitional kerogen composition, such as type II/III (mix oil- and gas-prone).

### 4.1.1 Results of Fang basin samples

The TOC content ranges from 0.19 to $2.22 \mathrm{wt} \%$, averaging $1.39 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The maximum and minimum TOC values are at depth 879.30 and 557.80 m , respectively. Between the depth of 879.30 and 998.20 m samples have high TOC contents ( 1.56 to 2.22 $\mathrm{wt} \%$ ). The TOC content of the samples are generally low, and about half of samples, have TOC content higher than $1.5 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC content varies between 0.76 to 6.2 $\mathrm{wt} \%$ and averaging $2.39 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The maximum and minimum TC values are at depth 544.10 and 557.80 m , respectively.The sulphur content (TS) is usually low and vary between 0.06 to $0.68 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The maximum and minimum TS values are at depth 1,015 m and 557.80 m, respectively (Table 4.1 and Figure 4.1).

Rock-Eval derived $S_{1}$ for Fang basin sample is quite low; vary from 0.01 to 0.20 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock. The maximum and minimum $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ values are at depth 1060.7 and 544.10
Table 4.1 Screening data of samples from Fang-MS well from Fang basin.

| Sampleno | Depth (m.) |  | TOC | TC | TS | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T}_{\text {max }} \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ <br> (mg HC/g rock)  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HI} \\ (\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g} \mathrm{TOC}) \end{gathered}$ | PI | TOC/TS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | top | bottom |  | wt\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11856 | 539.50 | 548.64 | 1.32 | 6.20 | 0.23 | 420 | 0.01 | 1.85 | 140 | 0.01 | 5.79 |
| 11857 | 551.69 | 563.88 | 0.19 | 0.76 | 0.06 | 433 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 91 | nd | 3.33 |
| 11858 | 566.93 | 579.12 | 1.09 | 2.13 | 0.19 | 427 | 0.04 | 2.45 | 224 | 0.02 | 5.91 |
| 11859 | 582.17 | 594.36 | 1.39 | 2.68 | 0.20 | 422 | 0.03 | 3.78 | 273 | 0.01 | 7.02 |
| 11860 | 597.41 | 609.60 | 1.30 | 2.60 | 0.20 | 425 | 0.02 | 3.02 | 232 | 0.01 | 6.46 |
| 11861 | 612.65 | 624.84 | 1.83 | 2.52 | 0.35 | 432 | 0.05 | 6.38 | 349 | 0.01 | 5.24 |
| 11862 | 627.89 | 637.03 | 1.54 | 3.19 | 0.27 | 426 | 0.05 | 3.97 | 258 | 0.01 | 5.65 |
| 11863 | 658.37 | 670.56 | 1.90 | 2.09 | 0.36 | 429 | 0.09 | 7.94 | 417 | 0.01 | 5.30 |
| 11864 | 673.61 | 685.80 | 1.11 | 2.42 | 0.15 | 422 | 0.08 | 2.49 | 225 | 0.03 | 7.16 |
| 11865 | 688.85 | 701.04 | 1.43 | 3.23 | 0.12 | 419 | 0.16 | 2.66 | 185 | 0.06 | 11.81 |
| 11866 | 704.09 | 716.28 | 0.75 | 2.93 | 0.12 | 421 | 0.02 | 1.41 | 188 | 0.01 | 6.39 |
| 11867 | 719.33 | 731.52 | 1.14 | 3.28 | 0.68 | 422 | 0.16 | 2.23 | 195 | 0.07 | 1.69 |
| 11868 | 734.57 | 746.76 | 1.19 | 2.63 | 0.20 | 427 | 0.09 | 3.08 | 260 | 0.03 | 5.87 |
| 11869 | 749.81 | 762.00 | 1.64 | 1.89 | 0.25 | 428 | 0.11 | 5.78 | 353 | 0.02 | 6.62 |
| 11870 | 765.05 | 777.24 | 1.25 | 1.86 | 0.15 | 429 | 0.11 | 2.78 | 223 | 0.04 | 8.13 |
| 11871 | 780.29 | 792.48 | 1.25 | 1.99 | 0.23 | 435 | 0.09 | 4.81 | 385 | 0.02 | 5.46 |
| 11872 | 795.53 | 807.72 | 0.88 | 2.06 | 0.12 | 425 | 0.10 | 2.08 | 238 | 0.05 | 7.44 |
| 11873 | 810.77 | 822.96 | 0.82 | 1.32 | 0.12 | 429 | 0.10 | 1.96 | 239 | 0.05 | 6.91 |
| 11874 | 826.01 | 838.20 | 1.07 | 1.27 | 0.16 | 430 | 0.12 | 3.39 | 316 | 0.03 | 6.83 |
| 11875 | 847.34 | 847.34 | 0.86 | 2.29 | 0.15 | 423 | 0.05 | 1.62 | 189 | 0.03 | 5.93 |
| 11876 | 874.78 | 883.92 | 2.22 | 2.48 | 0.38 | 432 | 0.13 | 9.51 | 428 | 0.01 | 5.91 |

Table 4.1 (Cont.).

| Sample <br> no | Depth (m.) |  | TOC | TC | TS | T | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HI} \\ (\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g} \mathrm{TOC}) \end{gathered}$ | PI | TOC/TS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | top | bottom | (wt\%) |  |  | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | (mg HC/g rock) |  |  |  |  |
| 11877 | 886.97 | 899.16 | 1.95 | 2.62 | 0.32 | 432 | 0.11 | 6.78 | 348 | 0.02 | 5.99 |
| 11878 | 902.21 | 914.40 | 1.66 | 2.27 | 0.31 | 434 | 0.10 | 6.98 | 419 | 0.01 | 5.33 |
| 11879 | 917.45 | 929.64 | 1.86 | 2.05 | 0.26 | 433 | 0.05 | 6.55 | 352 | 0.01 | 7.12 |
| 11880 | 935.74 | 941.83 | 2.13 | 2.35 | 0.36 | 435 | 0.09 | 7.50 | 352 | 0.01 | 5.98 |
| 11881 | 947.93 | 960.12 | 1.66 | 2.01 | 0.29 | 435 | 0.05 | 4.82 | 291 | 0.01 | 5.76 |
| 11882 | 978.41 | 990.60 | 1.72 | 2.39 | 0.27 | 435 | 0.06 | 5.82 | 338 | 0.01 | 6.41 |
| 11883 | 993.65 | 1002.79 | 1.56 | 2.19 | 0.26 | 434 | 0.04 | 4.93 | 316 | 0.01 | 5.96 |
| 11884 | 1008.89 | 1021.08 | 1.28 | 2.04 | 0.63 | 432 | 0.04 | 2.91 | 227 | 0.01 | 2.03 |
| 11885 | 1024.13 | 1036.32 | 1.13 | 2.78 | 0.21 | 425 | 0.03 | 2.04 | 180 | 0.01 | 5.45 |
| 11886 | 1039.37 | 1051.56 | 0.98 | 1.70 | 0.18 | 435 | 0.02 | 2.06 | 209 | 0.01 | 5.37 |
| 11887 | 1054.61 | 1066.80 | 1.95 | 2.88 | 0.31 | 432 | 0.20 | 7.81 | 400 | 0.02 | 6.32 |
| 11888 | 1069.85 | 1082.04 | 1.14 | 1.78 | 0.20 | 430 | 0.08 | 3.01 | 265 | 0.03 | 5.61 |
| 11889 | 1085.09 | 1094.23 | 1.59 | 2.41 | 0.39 | 434 | 0.14 | 5.85 | 369 | 0.02 | 4.10 |
| 11890 | 1100.33 | 1112.52 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 0.38 | - | - | - | - | - | 4.78 |
| 11891 | 1115.57 | 1127.76 | 1.70 | 2.29 | 0.32 | 436 | 0.11 | 4.99 | 294 | 0.02 | 5.38 |
| 11892 | 1146.05 | 1146.05 | 1.31 | 2.31 | 0.26 | 432 | 0.15 | 3.72 | 283 | 0.04 | 5.12 |



Figure 4.1 The plots of TOC content, TC content and TS content against depth show variation of screening data and source rock quality of Fang-MS well (diagram modified from Bordenave et al., 1993).
m , respectively. $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yields vary from 0.17 to $9.51 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock. The maximum and minimum $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ values are at depth 879.3 and 557.8 m , respectively. $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ yield is quite low and less than $0.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock. In contrast, about $75 \%$ of the samples have $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yieldhigher than $2.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock. The HI ranges from 91 to $428 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g} \mathrm{TOC} .\mathrm{The} \mathrm{max-}$ imum and minimum HI values are at depth 879.30 and 557.80 m , respectively (Table 4.1 and Figure 4.2). The $38 \%$ of samples have HI values more than $300 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ TOC. The $T_{\max }$ values from all samples range from 419 to $436^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The majority of the samples have $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ values above $425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.The PI is quite low and ranges from 0.01 to 0.07 and the maximum PI value is of depth 725.43 m (Table 4.1 and Figure 4.3).

### 4.1.2 Results of Na Hong basin

The TOC content of the samples are generally high and ranges from 5.75 to $57.43 \mathrm{wt} \%$, averaging $25.51 \mathrm{wt} \%$. All of the samples have TOC content higher than 5 $\mathrm{wt} \%$. The coaly mudstones show the highest TOC value reaching more than $40 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC content varies between 6.99 to $61.18 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and averaging $26.43 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The sulphur content (TS) is varying from 0.31 to $16.79 \mathrm{wt} \%$. Coaly mudstone contains maximum value of TOC, TC and TS contents while mudstone contains minimum value of TOC, TC and TS contents. Oil shale contains TOC, TC and TS contents about 10 to 40, $10-40$ and 1 to $14 \mathrm{wt} \%$, respectively (Table 4.2).

Rock-Eval derived $S_{1}$ yields vary from 0.28 to $7.54 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock. About $75 \%$ of the sample have $S_{1}$ yield higher than $0.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock. The coaly mudstones show the highest $S_{1}$ value reaching more than $3 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock. The $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yields vary from 10.42 to $175.66 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock. The $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yields more than $100 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock are contained in coaly mudstone. HI ranges from 174 to $414 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ TOC. Half of the samples have HI values more than $300 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{TOC}$. The $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ values range from 410 to $432^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the PI values quite low and ranges from 0.01 to 0.07 (Table 4.2).

### 4.1.3 Results of Ban Pa Kha sub-basin, Li basin

The TOC content ranges from 3.28 to $29.84 \mathrm{wt} \%$, averaging $16.56 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The maximum TOC content is contained in oil shale (Table 4.3). The TC content varies between 5.22 and $32.13 \mathrm{wt} \%$, and averaging $18.68 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The maximum TC content is also contained in oil shale (Table 4.3). The sulphur content (TS) is varying from 0.29


Figure 4.2 The plots of $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ and HI against depth showing variation of screening data, source rock quality and petroleum generation potential of Fang-MS well (diagram modified from Bordenave et al., 1993).


Figure 4.3 The plots of $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ and PI against depth showing variation of screening data and maturation of source rock of Fang-MS well (diagram modified from Bordenave et al., 1993).
Table 4.2 The screening data of Na Hong samples.

Table 4.3: The screening data of Li samples.

| Sample no. | Locality | Lithology | TOC | TC | TS |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HI} \\ (\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{TOC}) \end{gathered}$ | PI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (wt \%) |  |  | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | (mg HC/g rock) |  |  |  |
| 14689 | Li Basin | Shale | 4.84 | 5.22 | 0.41 | 422 | 0.20 | 14.92 | 308 | 0.01 |
| 14690 | Li Basin | Shale | 9.20 | 10.93 | 0.33 | 415 | 0.55 | 31.50 | 342 | 0.02 |
| 14691 | Li Basin | Shale | 8.57 | 8.72 | 0.84 | 430 | 0.95 | 35.57 | 415 | 0.03 |
| 14692 | Li Basin | Mudstone | 10.30 | 11.23 | 1.06 | 427 | 0.84 | 39.80 | - 386 | 0.02 |
| 14693 | Li Basin | Oil shale | 29.84 | 32.13 | 1.46 | 433 | 2.38 | 181.11 | 607 | 0.01 |
| 14694 | Li Basin | Mudstone | 3.53 | 5.46 | 0.29 | 426 | 0.16 | 13.47 | 382 | 0.01 |
| 14695 | Li Basin | Oil shale | 26.33 | 28.81 | 0.77 | 431 | 2.29 | 154.85 | 588 | 0.01 |
| 14696 | Li Basin | Mudstone | 10.20 | 11.04 | 0.52 | 429 | 0.54 | 39.47 | 387 | 0.01 |
| 14697 | Li Basin | Shale | 9.90 | 11.02 | 0.74 | 435 | 0.85 | 42.17 | 426 | 0.02 |
| 14698 | Li Basin | Mudstone | 3.28 | 12.73 | 0.82 | 439 | 0.29 | 22.24 | 679 | 0.01 |

to $1.46 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The maximum TS content is contained in oil shale and minimum content is contained in mudstone (Table 4.3).

Rock-Eval derived $S_{1}$ yields vary from 0.16 to $2.38 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock. The maximum $S_{1}$ yield is contained in oil shale and minimum yield is contained in mudstone (Table 4.3). The $S_{2}$ yields vary from 13.47 to $181.11 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock. The $S_{2}$ yields more than $100 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock are contained in oil shale (Table 4.3). The Hydrogen Index (HI) ranges from 308 to $679 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g} \mathrm{TOC}$. HC/g TOC is contained in oil shale (Table 4.3). The $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ values of all samples range from 415 to $439^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 4.3). The PI values are quite low and range from 0.01 to 0.03 (Table 4.3).

### 4.1.4 Results of Mae Sot basin

The TOC content ranges from 17.36 to $31.20 \mathrm{wt} \%$, averaging $23.79 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (Table 4.4). The TC content varies between 19.19 and $33.88 \mathrm{wt} \%$, and averaging 26.07 $\mathrm{wt} \%$ (Table 4.4). The sulphur content (TS) is varying from 0.30 to $1.46 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (Table 4.4).

Rock-Eval derived $S_{1}$ yields vary from 3.42 to $5.35 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock (Table 4.4). Half of the samples have $S_{1}$ yield higher tha $4 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock. The $S_{2}$ yields vary from 142.07 to $219.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock (Table 4.4). The HI ranges from 760 to $831 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ TOC (Table 4.4). The $T_{\max }$ values from all samples range from 429 to $440^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PI values are quite low and ranges from 0.02 to 0.03 (Table 4.4).

### 4.1.5 Results of P-SK well, Phitsanulok basin

The samples were collected from Yom, Pratu Tao and Lan Krabu Formations between depths of 900 and $3,070 \mathrm{~m}$ of P-SK well in Sirikit oilfield, at 50 m interval. Yom Formation is between depths of 950 and $1,450 \mathrm{~m}$ and dominated by sandstone, claystone and siltstone. Pratu Tao Formation is between depths of 1,450 and $1,850 \mathrm{~m}$ and dominated by claystone, sandstone and shale. Chum Saeng Formation is between depths of 1,850 and $2,150 \mathrm{~m}$ and dominated by mudstone. Lan Krabu Formation is between depths of 2,150 and $3,070 \mathrm{~m}$ and dominated by siltstone and sandstone and mudstone.
Table 4.4: The screening data of Mae Sot samples.

| Sample No. | Basin | Lithology | TOC | TC | TS | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HI} \\ (\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{TOC}) \end{gathered}$ | PI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (wt \%) |  |  | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | (mg HC/g rock) |  |  |  |
| 14699 | Mae Sot Basin | Oil shale | 26.21 | 28.04 | 1.34 | 440 | 4.15 | 199.16 | 760 | 0.02 |
| 14700 | Mae Sot Basin | Oil shale | 31.20 | 33.88 | 1.46 | 440 | 3.99 | 211.75 | 679 | 0.02 |
| 14701 | Mae Sot Basin | Oil shale | 28.39 | 32.03 | 0.81 | 440 | 5.35 | 219.01 | 771 | 0.02 |
| 14702 | Mae Sot Basin | Oil shale | 21.33 | 22.32 | 1.20 | 435 | 3.42 | 154.02 | 722 | 0.02 |
| 14703 | Mae Sot Basin | Oil shale | 18.24 | 20.94 | 0.85 | 430 | 4.20 | 151.51 | 831 | 0.03 |
| 14704 | Mae Sot Basin | Oil shale | 17.36 | 19.19 | 0.30 | 429 | 3.47 | 142.07 | 818 | 0.02 |

The TOC content ranges from 0.52 to $3.75 \mathrm{wt} \%$, averaging $1.58 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (Table 4.5). The maximum TOC value is at depth of $2,075 \mathrm{~m}$, in Chum Saeng Formation, and minimum value is at depth of $1,825 \mathrm{~m}$ in Pratu Tao Formation. The TC content varies between 1.56 and $4.65 \mathrm{wt} \%$, and averaging $2.84 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (Table 4.5). The maximum TC value is at depth of $2,075 \mathrm{~m}$ in Chum Saeng Formation and minimum value is at depth of $1,825 \mathrm{~m}$ in Pratu Tao Formation. The TS content is varying from 0.19 to $0.85 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (Table 4.5). The minimum TS value is at depth of $2,475 \mathrm{~m}$ in Lan Krabu Formation and the maximum value is at depth of 925 m in Yom Formation (Figure 4.4).

Rock-Eval derived $S_{1}$ yields vary from 0.26 to $3.65 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock (Table 4.5). The maximum $S_{1}$ value is at depth of $2,025 \mathrm{~m}$ in Chum Saeng Formation. The $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ value is lowest in the Yom Formation. The $S_{2}$ yields vary from 1.43 to $19.61 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock (Table 4.5). The maximum $S_{2}$ value is at depth of $2,075 \mathrm{~m}$ in Chum Saeng Formation. The HI ranges from 191 to $602 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ TOC (Table 4.5 and Figure 4.5). The $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ values from all samples range from $420^{\circ}$ to $435^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the PI ranges from 0.07 to 0.28 and the highest value is at depth 2,475 m in Lan Krabu Formation (Table 4.5 and Figure 4.6).

The Yom formation, TOC and TC value ranges from 0.78 to $1.23 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and 2.00 to $3.18 \mathrm{wt} \%$, respectively. The TS value ranges from 0.33 to $0.85 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ yields range from 0.53 to $1.05 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock and 2.09 to $7.40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock, respectively. The HI value is range from 248 to $602 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{TOC}$. The $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ and PI value are range from 421 to $432^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.07 to 0.26 , respectively. TOC, TC, TS, $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ and PI plots show unity data while TS, HI, PI and $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ plots show little of scatter data (Figures 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6).

The Pratu Tao Formation, TOC value ranges from 0.52 to $1.01 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC value ranges from 1.56 to $2.78 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and the TS value ranges from 0.20 to $0.59 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ yields range from 0.26 to $0.92 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock and 1.43 to $4.45 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock, respectively. The HI values range from 197 to $440 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g} \mathrm{TOC} .\mathrm{The} \mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ and PI values range from $420^{\circ}$ to $425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.12 to 0.2 , respectively. TOC, TC, TS, $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ and PI plots show unity data while $\mathrm{TS}, \mathrm{HI}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ plots show scatter data (Figures 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6).

The Chum Saeng Formation, TOC value ranges from 1.42 to $3.75 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC value ranges from 2.21 to $4.65 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and the TS value ranges from 0.30 to 0.52
Table 4.5 Screening data of samples from P-SK well from Phitsanulok basin.

| Sample no. | Depth (m.) |  | TOC | TC | TS | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T}_{\text {max }} \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ <br> (mg HC/g rock)  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HI} \\ (\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{TOC}) \end{gathered}$ | PI | TOC/TS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | top | bottom | (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14721 | 900 | 950 | 1.23 | 2.00 | 0.85 | 431 | 0.57 | 7.40 | 602 | 0.07 | 15.50 |
| 14722 | 950 | 1000 | 0.78 | 2.31 | 0.37 | 428 | 0.53 | 2.59 | 334 | 0.17 | 14.72 |
| 14723 | 1000 | 1050 | 0.97 | 2.06 | 0.49 | 426 | 0.55 | 3.75 | 386 | 0.13 | 14.02 |
| 14724 | 1050 | 1100 | 0.93 | 2.76 | 0.39 | 426 | 1.05 | 3.03 | 327 | 0.26 | 13.39 |
| 14725 | 1100 | 1150 | 0.78 | 3.18 | 0.35 | 425 | 0.80 | 2.64 | 339 | 0.23 | 12.80 |
| 14726 | 1150 | 1200 | 0.84 | 2.85 | 0.51 | 421 | 0.60 | 2.09 | 248 | 0.22 | 12.27 |
| 14727 | 1200 | 1250 | 0.84 | 2.95 | 0.41 | 426 | 0.75 | 2.73 | 325 | 0.22 | 11.78 |
| 14728 | 1250 | 1300 | 0.87 | 2.99 | 0.36 | 425 | 0.94 | 2.73 | 315 | 0.26 | 11.33 |
| 14729 | 1300 | 1350 | 0.83 | 2.91 | 0.33 | 426 | 0.74 | 2.99 | 358 | 0.20 | 10.91 |
| 14730 | 1350 | 1400 | 1.07 | 2.16 | 0.49 | 426 | 0.72 | 4.29 | 402 | 0.14 | 10.52 |
| 14731 | 1400 | 1450 | 1.04 | 2.31 | 0.45 | 425 | 1.04 | 4.31 | 416 | 0.19 | 10.16 |
| 14732 | 1450 | 1500 | 1.01 | 2.21 | 0.46 | 420 | 0.92 | 4.45 | 440 | 0.17 | 9.82 |
| 14733 | 1500 | 1550 | 0.84 | 1.95 | 0.59 | 424 | 0.75 | 3.52 | 422 | 0.18 | 9.51 |
| 14734 | 1550 | 1600 | 0.94 | 1.85 | 0.39 | 424 | 0.68 | 3.67 | 390 | 0.16 | 9.21 |
| 14735 | 1600 | 1650 | 0.81 | 2.44 | 0.36 | 424 | 0.77 | 3.04 | 377 | 0.20 | 8.93 |
| 14736 | 1650 | 1700 | 0.85 | 2.19 | 0.37 | 425 | 0.65 | 3.22 | 378 | 0.17 | 8.67 |
| 14737 | 1700 | 1750 | 0.96 | 2.52 | 0.52 | 424 | 0.64 | 3.06 | 319 | 0.17 | 8.42 |
| 14738 | 1750 | 1800 | 0.73 | 2.73 | 0.25 | 420 | 0.31 | 1.43 | 197 | 0.18 | 8.19 |
| 14739 | 1800 | 1850 | 0.52 | 1.56 | 0.20 | 423 | 0.26 | 1.86 | 358 | 0.12 | 7.97 |
| 14740 | 1850 | 1900 | 1.42 | 2.21 | 0.30 | 427 | 0.95 | 5.05 | 357 | 0.16 | 7.76 |
| 14741 | 1900 | 1950 | 2.06 | 2.94 | 0.40 | 429 | 1.71 | 6.67 | 324 | 0.20 | 7.56 |

Table 4.5 (Cont.)

| Sample no. | Depth (m.) |  | TOC | TC | TS | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ |  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ |  |  | TOC/TS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | top | bottom | (\%) |  |  | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | (mg HC/g rock) |  | (mg HC/g TOC) |  | TOC/E |
| 14742 | 1950 | 2000 | 2.83 | 3.70 | 0.53 | 430 | 3.31 | 14.37 | 508 | 0.19 | 7.37 |
| 14743 | 2000 | 2050 | 3.19 | 4.27 | 0.40 | 431 | 3.65 | 15.19 | 476 | 0.19 | 7.19 |
| 14744 | 2050 | 2100 | 3.75 | 4.65 | 0.45 | 434 | 3.55 | 19.61 | 523 | 0.15 | 7.02 |
| 14745 | 2100 | 2150 | 3.34 | 4.12 | 0.44 | 432 | 3.40 | 13.88 | 415 | 0.20 | 6.86 |
| 14746 | 2150 | 2200 | 2.20 | 3.41 | 0.42 | 430 | 2.37 | 9.18 | 417 | 0.21 | 6.70 |
| 14747 | 2200 | 2250 | 1.97 | 3.34 | 0.41 | 426 | 1.71 | 5.35 | 271 | 0.24 | 6.55 |
| 14748 | 2250 | 2300 | 2.06 | 3.09 | 0.22 | 427 | 2.29 | 7.37 | 357 | 0.24 | 6.41 |
| 14749 | 2300 | 2350 | 1.70 | 2.97 | 0.18 | 426 | 1.88 | 5.66 | 333 | 0.25 | 6.28 |
| 14750 | 2350 | 2400 | 1.85 | 3.05 | 0.24 | 425 | 1.67 | 4.56 | 246 | 0.27 | 6.15 |
| 14751 | 2400 | 2450 | 1.85 | 2.83 | 0.36 | 425 | 1.75 | 6.10 | 330 | 0.22 | 6.02 |
| 14752 | 2450 | 2500 | 1.64 | 3.12 | 0.19 | 424 | 1.91 | 4.85 | 295 | 0.28 | 5.90 |
| 14753 | 2500 | 2550 | 1.96 | 3.13 | 0.24 | 429 | 1.63 | 5.64 | 288 | 0.22 | 5.79 |
| 14754 | 2550 | 2600 | 1.99 | 3.00 | 0.21 | 428 | 1.84 | 7.20 | 361 | 0.20 | 5.67 |
| 14755 | 2600 | 2650 | 2.63 | 3.66 | 0.22 | 427 | 1.73 | 5.85 | 223 | 0.23 | 5.57 |
| 14756 | 2650 | 2700 | 1.65 | 2.74 | 0.21 | 426 | 1.19 | 5.62 | 342 | 0.17 | 5.47 |
| 14758 | 2750 | 2800 | 2.41 | 3.24 | 0.38 | 433 | 2.27 | 10.70 | 443 | 0.18 | 5.27 |
| 14759 | 2800 | 2850 | 2.40 | 3.23 | 0.54 | 435 | 2.36 | 12.01 | 501 | 0.16 | 5.18 |
| 14760 | 2850 | 2900 | 2.15 | 3.08 | 0.41 | 434 | 1.70 | 7.90 | 368 | 0.18 | 5.09 |
| 14761 | 2900 | 2950 | 1.76 | 3.44 | 0.29 | 431 | 1.36 | 7.73 | 438 | 0.15 | 5.00 |
| 14762 | 2950 | 3000 | 1.59 | 2.67 | 0.34 | 431 | 1.24 | 7.05 | 445 | 0.15 | 4.92 |
| 14763 | 3000 | 3050 | 1.34 | 2.37 | 0.37 | 430 | 0.81 | 5.21 | 388 | 0.13 | 4.84 |
| 14764 | 3050 | 3070 | 1.30 | 1.97 | 0.26 | 429 | 0.70 | 5.92 | 455 | 0.11 | 4.81 |



Figure 4.4 The plots of TOC content, TC content and TS content against depth show variation in screening data and source rock quality of P-SK well (diagram modified from Bordenave et al., 1993).


Figure 4.5 The plots of $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ and HI against depth show variation of screening data, source rock quality and petroleum generation potential of P-SK well (diagram modified from Bordenave et al., 1993).


Figure 4.6 The plots of $T_{\max }$ and PI against depth show variation of screening data and maturation of source rock of P-SK well (diagram modified from Bordenave et al., 1993).
$\mathrm{wt} \%$. The $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yields range from 0.95 to $3.65 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock and 5.05 to 19.61 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock, respectively. The HI value ranges from 324 to $508 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ TOC.The $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ and PI values range from $427^{\circ}$ to $434^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.15 to 0.21 , respectively. TS and PI show little of scatter data while TOC, TC, HI, $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ plots show more scatter data (Figures 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6).

The Lan Krabu Formation, TOC value ranges from 1.30 to $2.63 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC value ranges from 1.97 to $3.66 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and the TS value ranges from 0.19 to $0.54 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ yields range from 0.70 to 2.36 and 4.85 to $12.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock, respectively. The HI values range from 223 to $501 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ TOC. The $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ and PI values range from $424^{\circ}$ to $433^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.11 to 0.27 , respectively. TOC, TC, TS, $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{HI}$, $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ and PI plots show scatter data (Figures 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6).

### 4.1.6 Results of Suphanburi Basin SP1 well

The TOC content ranges from 0.02 to $6.78 \mathrm{wt} \%$, averaging $1.29 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (Table 4.6). The maximum TOC value is at depth $1,537.5 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit C and the minimum value is at depth $1,007.5 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit D. The TC content varies between 1.27 and 9.85 $\mathrm{wt} \%$, and averaging $4.36 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (Table 4.6). The maximum TC value is at depth $1,537.5 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit C and the minimum value is at depth $1,132.5 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit D . The TS is varying from 0.02 to $1.47 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (Table 4.6). The maximum TS value is at depth $2,112.5 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit B and the minimum value is at depth $1,007.5 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit D (Figure 4.7).

Rock-Eval derived $S_{1}$ yields vary from 0.01 to $1.24 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock (Table 4.6). The maximum $S_{1}$ value is at depth of $1,967.5 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit $B$. The $S_{2}$ yields vary from 0.01 to $41.58 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock (Table 4.6 and Figure 4.8). The maximum $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ value is at depth of $1,460 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit C. The Hydrogen Index (HI) ranges from 22 to 623 mg HC/g TOC (Table 4.6 and Figure 4.9). The $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ values from all samples range from $319^{\circ}$ to $492^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the PI values range from 0.01 to 0.67 (Table 4.6 and Figure 4.10).

Unit D, TOC value ranges from 0.02 to $0.24 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC value ranges from 1.27 to $5.38 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and the TS value ranges from 0.02 to $0.12 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yields range from 0.01 to 0.19 and zero to $0.48 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock, respectively. The HI
Table 4.6 Screening data of samples from SP1 well from Suphanburi basin.

| Sample no. | Depth (m) |  | TOC | TC | TS | Tmax <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ <br> (mg HC/g rock)  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HI} \\ (\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{TOC}) \end{gathered}$ | PI | TOC/TS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | top | bottom |  | (wt\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11698 | 1000 | 1015 | 0.02 | 5.02 | 0.02 | 406 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 40 | 0.50 | 1.00 |
| 11699 | 1020 | 1030 | 0.03 | 5.38 | 0.04 | 439 | 0.01 | 0.00 | - | - | 0.95 |
| 11700 | 1035 | 1050 | 0.04 | 1.82 | 0.04 | 319 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 26 | 0.67 | 0.93 |
| 11701 | 1050 | 1065 | 0.03 | 4.02 | 0.03 | 432 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 29 | 0.67 | 1.16 |
| 11702 | 1065 | 1080 | 0.04 | 3.88 | 0.04 | 319 | 0.02 | 0.00 | - | - | 1.14 |
| 11703 | 1100 | 1105 | 0.04 | 4.84 | 0.05 | 325 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 22 | 0.67 | 0.99 |
| 11704 | 1125 | 1140 | 0.05 | 1.27 | 0.04 | 315 | 0.04 | 0.00 | - |  | 1.49 |
| 11705 | 1140 | 1155 | 0.04 | 1.56 | 0.02 | 493 | 0.02 | 0.00 |  | - | 1.67 |
| 11706 | 1155 | 1170 | 0.04 | 2.71 | 0.03 | 339 | 0.01 | 0.00 |  | - | 1.36 |
| 11707 | 1170 | 1185 | 0.04 | 3.02 | 0.04 | 319 | 0.03 | 0.00 | - | - | 1.05 |
| 11708 | 1185 | 1200 | 0.04 | 2.86 | 0.06 | 408 | 0.02 | 0.00 |  | - | 0.66 |
| 11709 | 1200 | 1215 | 0.06 | 1.96 | 0.04 | 439 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 33 | 0.50 | 1.39 |
| 11710 | 1215 | 1230 | 0.12 | 3.03 | 0.12 | 342 | 0.19 | 0.43 | 346 | 0.31 | 1.04 |
| 11711 | 1230 | 1245 | 0.10 | 2.72 | 0.04 | 354 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 185 | 0.18 | 2.62 |
| 11712 | 1245 | 1260 | 0.10 | 3.59 | 0.03 | 355 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 172 | 0.15 | 3.04 |
| 11713 | 1260 | 1275 | 0.11 | 2.31 | 0.03 | 352 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 210 | 0.17 | 3.50 |
| 11714 | 1275 | 1290 | 0.13 | 2.38 | 0.04 | 348 | 0.06 | 0.27 | 202 | 0.18 | 3.63 |
| 11715 | 1290 | 1305 | 0.16 | 2.19 | 0.04 | 354 | 0.06 | 0.32 | 203 | 0.16 | 4.31 |
| 11716 | 1305 | 1320 | 0.13 | 1.85 | 0.04 | 380 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 134 | 0.10 | 3.23 |
| 11717 | 1320 | 1335 | 0.16 | 2.59 | 0.04 | 444 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 55 | 0.18 | 3.77 |

Table 4.6 (Cont.)

| Sample no. | Depth (m.) |  | TOC | TC | TS | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T}_{\text {max }} \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ <br> (mg HC/g rock)  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HI} \\ (\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g} \mathrm{TOC}) \end{gathered}$ | PI | TOC/TS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | top | bottom | ( $\mathrm{wt} \mathrm{\%}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11718 | 1335 | 1350 | 0.20 | 3.24 | 0.07 | 441 | 0.01 | 0.30 | 151 | 0.03 | 2.97 |
| 11719 | 1350 | 1365 | 0.14 | 2.52 | 0.04 | 432 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 88 | 0.08 | 3.59 |
| 11720 | 1365 | 1380 | 0.24 | 2.98 | 0.06 | 441 | 0.02 | 0.48 | 202 | 0.04 | 4.16 |
| 11721 | 1380 | 1395 | 0.46 | 2.62 | 0.06 | 434 | 0.02 | 1.11 | 239 | 0.02 | 7.24 |
| 11722 | 1395 | 1410 | 0.60 | 2.09 | 0.11 | 433 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 167 | 0.03 | 5.59 |
| 11723 | 1410 | 1425 | 0.66 | 2.43 | 0.08 | 433 | 0.01 | 0.88 | 134 | 0.01 | 8.54 |
| 11724 | 1425 | 1440 | 0.66 | 1.79 | 0.10 | 429 | 0.03 | 1.01 | 153 | 0.03 | 6.66 |
| 11725 | 1440 | 1450 | 3.87 | 5.82 | 0.45 | 432 | 0.15 | 19.44 | 502 | 0.01 | 8.66 |
| 11726 | 1455 | 1465 | 6.67 | 9.56 | 0.66 | 427 | 0.40 | 41.58 | 623 | 0.01 | 10.06 |
| 11727 | 1470 | 1485 | 0.82 | 3.17 | 0.38 | 432 | 0.10 | 2.08 | 255 | 0.05 | 2.17 |
| 11728 | 1485 | 1500 | 0.56 | 5.03 | 0.48 | 431 | 0.17 | 13.00 | 2315 | 0.01 | 1.17 |
| 11729 | 1500 | 1515 | 1.56 | 3.82 | 0.39 | 435 | 0.04 | 4.98 | 319 | 0.01 | 4.04 |
| 11730 | 1515 | 1530 | 1.71 | 3.80 | 0.34 | 431 | 0.05 | 6.42 | 375 | 0.01 | 5.11 |
| 11731 | 1530 | 1545 | 6.78 | 8.55 | 0.79 | 428 | 0.37 | 37.84 | 558 | 0.01 | 8.57 |
| 11732 | 1545 | 1560 | 0.55 | 2.24 | 0.18 | 436 | 0.01 | 1.30 | 237 | 0.01 | 2.98 |
| 11733 | 1560 | 1570 | 0.55 | 2.43 | 0.63 | 435 | 0.06 | 0.96 | 173 | 0.06 | 0.88 |
| 11734 | 1575 | 1590 | 0.55 | 2.39 | 0.96 | 435 | 0.02 | 1.16 | 212 | 0.02 | 0.57 |
| 11735 | 1590 | 1605 | 0.49 | 2.29 | 0.58 | 436 | 0.02 | 1.10 | 224 | 0.02 | 0.84 |
| 11736 | 1605 | 1620 | 0.37 | 2.43 | 0.23 | 434 | 0.01 | 0.74 | 200 | 0.01 | 1.60 |
| 11737 | 1620 | 1635 | 0.65 | 2.81 | 0.18 | 431 | 0.03 | 1.09 | 168 | 0.03 | 3.63 |
| 11738 | 1635 | 1650 | 0.61 | 2.79 | 0.35 | 436 | 0.01 | 1.29 | 211 | 0.01 | 1.76 |
| 11739 | 1650 | 1665 | 0.45 | 2.77 | 0.45 | 438 | 0.03 | 0.79 | 177 | 0.04 | 1.00 |

Table 4.6 (Cont.)

| Sample no. | Depth (m.) |  | TOC | TC | TS | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ |  |  | TOC/TS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | top | bottom | (wt.\%) |  |  | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | (mg HC/g rock) |  | (mg HC/g TOC) |  | 10C/IS |
| 11740 | 1665 | 1680 | 0.28 | 1.94 | 0.21 | 438 | 0.01 | 0.41 | 144 | 0.02 | 1.37 |
| 11741 | 1680 | 1695 | 0.34 | 2.70 | 0.08 | 438 | 0.04 | 0.43 | 128 | 0.09 | 4.46 |
| 11742 | 1695 | 1710 | 0.54 | 3.24 | 0.16 | 436 | 0.06 | 0.58 | 108 | 0.09 | 3.31 |
| 11743 | 1710 | 1725 | 1.49 | 4.86 | 0.21 | 437 | 0.08 | 5.74 | 386 | 0.01 | 7.02 |
| 11744 | 1725 | 1740 | 2.70 | 6.22 | 0.44 | 435 | 0.13 | 10.28 | 380 | 0.01 | 6.12 |
| 11745 | 1740 | 1755 | 2.67 | 6.38 | 0.39 | 435 | 0.19 | 10.98 | 411 | 0.02 | 6.92 |
| 11746 | 1755 | 1770 | 3.99 | 7.21 | 0.70 | 436 | 0.31 | 13.34 | 335 | 0.02 | 5.67 |
| 11747 | 1770 | 1775 | 1.51 | 4.55 | 0.30 | 437 | 0.11 | 4.97 | 328 | 0.02 | 5.03 |
| 11748 | 1900 | 1905 | 0.48 | 2.39 | 0.91 | 437 | 0.02 | 0.69 | 145 | 0.03 | 0.52 |
| 11749 | 1907 | 1910 | 0.54 | 2.40 | 0.20 | 436 | 0.04 | 1.00 | 186 | 0.04 | 2.68 |
| 11750 | 1911 | 1913 | 0.64 | 2.27 | 0.18 | 439 | 0.01 | 1.09 | 170 | 0.01 | 3.54 |
| 11751 | 1917 | 1930 | 2.45 | 5.73 | 0.52 | 435 | 0.25 | 8.59 | 351 | 0.03 | 4.73 |
| 11752 | 1935 | 1945 | 0.88 | 4.26 | 0.52 | 436 | 0.07 | 2.00 | 228 | 0.03 | 1.68 |
| 11753 | 1945 | 1960 | 4.47 | 8.18 | 0.36 | 432 | 0.83 | 20.17 | 451 | 0.04 | 12.46 |
| 11754 | 1960 | 1975 | 6.04 | 9.85 | 0.34 | 433 | 1.24 | 26.30 | 435 | 0.05 | 17.90 |
| 11755 | 1975 | 1990 | 1.57 | 4.77 | 0.36 | - | - | - | - | - | 4.32 |
| 11756 | 1990 | 2000 | 0.62 | 3.67 | 0.18 | 440 | 0.05 | 1.18 | 191 | 0.04 | 3.36 |
| 11757 | 2080 | 2095 | 2.60 | 6.68 | 0.35 | 436 | 0.44 | 8.27 | 318 | 0.05 | 7.47 |
| 11758 | 2090 | 2105 | 1.88 | 5.62 | 0.28 | 439 | 0.29 | 6.01 | 320 | 0.05 | 6.66 |
| 11759 | 2105 | 2120 | 1.34 | 4.02 | 1.47 | 442 | 0.13 | 2.86 | 214 | 0.04 | 0.91 |
| 11760 | 2120 | 2135 | 2.33 | 6.64 | 0.22 | 442 | 0.35 | 6.96 | 299 | 0.05 | 10.45 |
| 11761 | 2135 | 2150 | 3.32 | 7.66 | 0.31 | 438 | 0.72 | 12.94 | 390 | 0.05 | 10.68 |

Table 4.6 (Cont.)

| Sample no. | Depth (m.) |  | TOC | TC | TS | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {max }}$ <br> ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ <br> (mg HC/g rock)  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HI} \\ (\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g} \mathrm{TOC}) \end{gathered}$ | PI | TOC/TS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | top | bottom |  | (wt.\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11762 | 2150 | 2165 | 2.45 | 6.44 | 0.83 | 439 | 0.54 | 7.61 | 310 | 0.07 | 2.95 |
| 11763 | 2165 | 2180 | 2.64 | 5.99 | 0.23 | 436 | 0.72 | 8.45 | 320 | 0.08 | 11.62 |
| 11764 | 2180 | 2195 | 2.31 | 6.01 | 0.21 | 439 | 0.52 | 6.77 | 294 | 0.07 | 11.13 |
| 11765 | 2195 | 2210 | 2.08 | 5.77 | 0.25 | 440 | 0.41 | 5.15 | 247 | 0.07 | 8.42 |
| 11766 | 2210 | 2225 | 1.78 | 5.24 | 0.21 | 444 | 0.28 | 4.24 | 238 | 0.06 | 8.38 |
| 11767 | 2225 | 2240 | 2.05 | 4.90 | 0.31 | 442 | 0.27 | 5.10 | 249 | 0.05 | 6.52 |
| 11768 | 2435 | 2450 | 1.37 | 4.33 | 0.93 | 452 | 0.20 | 2.08 | 152 | 0.09 | 1.47 |
| 11769 | 2465 | 2480 | 1.48 | 5.65 | 0.51 | 451 | 0.24 | 2.17 | 147 | 0.10 | 2.90 |
| 11770 | 2480 | 2485 | 1.58 | 5.33 | 0.63 | 455 | 0.29 | 2.30 | 145 | 0.11 | 2.52 |
| 11771 | 2495 | 2515 | 1.31 | 4.76 | 0.54 | 453 | 0.21 | 1.59 | 122 | 0.12 | 2.42 |
| 11772 | 2515 | 2530 | 1.22 | 4.17 | 0.54 | 443 | 0.15 | 1.64 | 134 | 0.08 | 2.25 |
| 11773 | 2530 | 2545 | 0.96 | 4.25 | 0.48 | 457 | 0.14 | 0.99 | 103 | 0.12 | 1.99 |
| 11774 | 2545 | 2560 | 1.01 | 4.45 | 0.67 | 457 | 0.12 | 0.91 | 90 | 0.12 | 1.51 |
| 11775 | 2560 | 2575 | 1.08 | 4.72 | 0.50 | 439 | 0.19 | 1.35 | 126 | 0.12 | 2.16 |
| 11776 | 2575 | 2590 | 1.17 | 4.79 | 0.38 | 457 | 0.30 | 1.19 | 102 | 0.20 | 3.08 |
| 11777 | 2590 | 2605 | 1.02 | 4.54 | 0.38 | 459 | 0.17 | 0.89 | 87 | 0.16 | 2.67 |
| 11778 | 2605 | 2620 | 1.02 | 5.04 | 0.44 | 455 | 0.28 | 1.07 | 105 | 0.21 | 2.34 |
| 11779 | 2620 | 2635 | 0.99 | 4.79 | 0.40 | 462 | 0.12 | 0.81 | 82 | 0.13 | 2.49 |
| 11780 | 2635 | 2640 | 0.97 | 4.74 | 0.50 | 447 | 0.19 | 0.95 | 98 | 0.17 | 1.91 |
| 11781 | 2655 | 2670 | 1.03 | 4.40 | 0.53 | 454 | 0.18 | 0.91 | 88 | 0.17 | 1.94 |
| 11782 | 2675 | 2680 | 0.87 | 5.18 | 0.71 | 453 | 0.18 | 0.80 | 92 | 0.18 | 1.22 |
| 11783 | 2705 | 2720 | 0.58 | 4.72 | 0.54 | 432 | 0.12 | 0.64 | 110 | 0.16 | 1.08 |

Table 4.6 (Cont.)

| Sample no. | Dep | (m.) | TOC | TC | TS | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HI} \\ (\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g} \mathrm{TOC}) \end{gathered}$ | PI | TOC/TS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | top | bottom | (wt.\%) |  |  | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | (mg HC/g rock) |  |  |  |  |
| 11784 | 2740 | 2755 | 0.42 | 5.75 | 0.42 | 438 | 0.09 | 0.43 | 103 | 0.17 | 0.99 |
| 11785 | 2760 | 2775 | 0.82 | 7.76 | 0.24 | 473 | 0.13 | 0.78 | 95 | 0.14 | 3.34 |
| 11786 | 2790 | 2805 | 0.93 | 4.91 | 0.43 | 481 | 0.24 | 0.68 | 73 | 0.26 | 2.15 |
| 11787 | 2830 | 2840 | 0.27 | 7.00 | 0.24 | 492 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 58 | 0.16 | 1.13 |



Figure 4.7 The plots of TOC content, TC content and TS content against depth show variation of screening data and source rock quality of SP1 well (diagram modified from Bordenave et al., 1993)


Figure 4.8 The plots of $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and HI against depth show variation of screening data, source rock quality and petroleum generation potential of SP1 well (diagram modified from Bordenave et al., 1993).


Figure 4.9 The plots of $T_{\max }$ and PI against depth show variation of screening data and maturation of source rock of SP1 well (diagram modified from Bordenave et al., 1993).


Figure 4.10 The plots of TOC content, TC content and TS content against depth show variation of screening data and source rock quality of SP2 well (diagram modified from Bordenave et al., 1993).
values range from zero to $346 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{TOC}$. The $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ and PI values range from $315^{\circ}$ to $444^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and zero to 0.67 , respectively. TOC, $\mathrm{S}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ plots show unity data.TC and HI plots show little of scatter data. TS, $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ and PI plots show more scatter data.

Unit C, TOC value ranges from 0.48 to $6.78 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC value ranges from 1.79 to $9.56 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and the TS value ranges from 0.06 to $0.79 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yields range from 0.01 to 0.40 and 0.41 to $41.58 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock, respectively. The HI values range from 134 to $623 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g} \mathrm{TOC}$. The $T_{\max }$ and PI values range from $427^{\circ}$ to $437^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.01 to 0.09 , respectively. TOC, $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{PI}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ plots show unity data while TC, TS and HI plots show scatter data.

Unit B, TOC value ranges from 0.48 to $3.32 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC value ranges from 2.27 to $9.85 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and the TS value ranges from 0.18 to $1.47 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yields range from 0.01 to 1.24 and 0.69 to $26.30 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock, respectively. The HI values range from 145 to $451 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ TOC. The $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ and PI values range from $432^{\circ}$ to $444^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.01 to 0.08 , respectively. TOC, TC, TS, $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ and HI plots show scatter data while $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ and PI plots show unity data.

Unit A, TOC value ranges from 0.27 to $1.58 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC value ranges from 4.17 to $7.76 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and the TS value ranges from 0.24 to $0.93 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yields range from 0.03 to 0.29 and 0.16 to $2.30 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g}$ rock, respectively. The HI values range from 58 to $152 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ TOC. The $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ and PI values range from $438^{\circ}$ to $492^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.08 to 0.21 , respectively. TOC, TC, TS, $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{HI}, \mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ and PI plots show scatter data.

## SP2 well

The samples were collected from Units A to D between depths of 1,000 to $2,095 \mathrm{~m}$ of SP2 well of U-thong oilfield, at 5 to 10 m interval. Unit D is between depths 410 and $1,100 \mathrm{~m}$. and dominated by thick non-calcareous mudstone interbedded with thin sandstone and calcareous mudstone. Unit C is between depths 1,100 and $1,450 \mathrm{~m}$. and dominated by mudstone with marlstone. Unit B is between depths 1,450 and $1,980 \mathrm{~m}$ and dominated by mudstone interbedded with sandstone and siltstone. Unit A is between depths 1,980 and $2,100 \mathrm{~m}$ and dominated by mudstone interbeded with sandstone.

The TOC content ranges from 0.03 to $9.74 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (Table 4.7), averaging 2.21 $\mathrm{wt} \%$. The maximum TOC value is depth of $1,564 \mathrm{~m}$ from Unit B and the minimum value is depth of $1,018 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit D . The TC content varies between 0.65 and 12.70 $\mathrm{wt} \%$ and averaging $4.54 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (Table 4.7). The maximum TC value is depth of 1,564 m in Unit B and the minimum value is depth of $2,015 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit A. TS is varying from 0.03 to $1.11 \mathrm{wt} \%$ (Table 4.7). The maximum TS value is depth of $1,855 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit B and the minimum value is depth of $1,090 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit D (Figure 4.10).

Rock-Eval derived $S_{1}$ yields vary from 0.01 to $4.16 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock (Table 4.7). The maximum $S_{1}$ value is depth of $1,833.38 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit B . The $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yields vary from 0.01 to $55.93 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock (Table 4.7). The maximum $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ value is depth of $1,563.63$ m in Unit B. HI ranges from 55 to 675 mg HC/g TOC (Table 4.7 and Figure 4.11). The maximum HI value is depth of $1,517.91 \mathrm{~m}$ in Unit B . The $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ values from all samples range from 355 to $435^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the PI range from zero to 0.30 (Table 4.7 and Figure 4.12).

Unit D, TOC value ranges from 0.03 to $0.24 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC value ranges from 1.41 to $3.49 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and the TS value ranges from 0.03 to $0.06 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ is zero. $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yield range from 0.01 to $0.13 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock, respectively. The HI value ranges from 28 to $102 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ TOC. The $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ and PI values range from $355^{\circ}$ to $418^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and zero, respectively. TOC, TC, TS, $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{HI}$ and PI plots show utility data while $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ plot shows scatter data.

Unit C, TOC value ranges from 0.13 to $9.74 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC value ranges from 2.24 to $12.70 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and the TS value ranges from 0.05 to $1.08 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yields range from zero to 1.17 and 0.11 to $55.93 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock, respectively. The HI value ranges from 84 to $675 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ TOC. The $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ and PI values range from $423^{\circ}$ to $437^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and zero to 0.04 , respectively. $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{\text {max }}$ and PI plots show utility data while TOC, TC, TS, $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ and HI plots show scatter data.

Unit B, TOC value ranges from 1.49 to $4.00 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC value ranges from 3.99 to $7.75 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and the TS value ranges from 0.37 to $0.82 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yields range from 0.06 to 0.52 and 5.99 to $24.79 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock, respectively. The HI value ranges from 402 to $620 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g} \mathrm{TOC} .\mathrm{The} \mathrm{T}_{\max }$ and PI values range from $431^{\circ}$ to $437^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.01 to 0.03 , respectively. TOC, TC, TS, $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{HI}$ and PI plots show scatter data while $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ plot shows utility data.
Table 4.7 Screening data of samples from SP2 well from Suphanburi ba-

| Sample <br> no. | Depth (m.) |  | TOC | TC | TS |  |  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | HI | PI | TOC/TS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | top | bottom | (wt.\%) |  |  | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | (mg HC/g rock) |  | (mg HC/g TOC) | PI | 10C/ES |
| 11788 | 1014.98 | 1021.08 | 0.03 | 2.77 | 0.06 | 418 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 75 | 0.00 | 0.46 |
| 11789 | 1024.13 | 1036.32 | 0.03 | 1.54 | 0.03 | 367 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 102 | 0.00 | 1.06 |
| 11790 | 1039.37 | 1051.56 | 0.03 | 2.32 | 0.16 | 355 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 63 | 0.00 | 0.20 |
| 11791 | 1054.61 | 1066.80 | 0.04 | 3.49 | 0.03 | 416 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 28 | 0.00 | 1.12 |
| 11792 | 1069.85 | 1082.04 | 0.03 | 1.41 | 0.09 | 401 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 93 | 0.00 | 0.38 |
| 11793 | 1085.09 | 1094.23 | 0.24 | 1.82 | 0.03 | 421 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 55 | 0.00 | 6.95 |
| 11794 | 1100.33 | 1112.52 | 0.17 | 2.89 | 0.05 | 421 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 69 | 0.00 | 3.36 |
| 11795 | 1115.57 | 1133.86 | 0.13 | 4.36 | 0.05 | 423 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 84 | 0.00 | 2.61 |
| 11796 | 1133.86 | 1152.14 | 0.68 | 2.75 | 0.20 | 435 | 0.01 | 1.88 | 275 | 0.01 | 3.42 |
| 11797 | 1152.14 | 1170.43 | 1.28 | 2.14 | 0.29 | 435 | 0.03 | 2.86 | 223 | 0.01 | 4.40 |
| 11798 | 1170.43 | 1185.67 | 3.03 | 4.14 | 0.58 | 434 | 0.05 | 12.82 | 424 | 0.00 | 5.20 |
| 11799 | 1185.67 | 1200.91 | 5.16 | 6.81 | 0.63 | 430 | 0.12 | 27.40 | 531 | 0.00 | 8.25 |
| 11800 | 1200.91 | 1216.15 | 4.78 | 6.49 | 0.70 | 428 | 0.18 | 23.55 | 492 | 0.01 | 6.83 |
| 11801 | 1216.15 | 1234.44 | 4.97 | 7.03 | 0.69 | 428 | 0.21 | 31.63 | 637 | 0.01 | 7.19 |
| 11802 | 1237.49 | 1249.68 | 3.25 | 4.94 | 0.58 | 430 | 0.07 | 17.16 | 528 | 0.00 | 5.59 |
| 11803 | 1252.73 | 1264.92 | 1.51 | 2.92 | 0.65 | 435 | 0.02 | 3.47 | 229 | 0.01 | 2.33 |
| 11804 | 1267.97 | 1280.16 | 1.26 | 2.21 | 0.38 | 433 | 0.02 | 2.47 | 196 | 0.01 | 3.34 |
| 11805 | 1283.21 | 1295.40 | 1.41 | 2.44 | 0.36 | 437 | 0.02 | 3.41 | 242 | 0.01 | 3.87 |
| 11806 | 1298.45 | 1310.64 | 1.10 | 2.49 | 0.23 | 434 | 0.02 | 2.14 | 195 | 0.01 | 4.71 |
| 11807 | 1313.69 | 1325.88 | 0.61 | 2.88 | 0.40 | 434 | 0.01 | 2.20 | 361 | 0.00 | 1.53 |
| 11808 | 1325.88 | 1341.12 | 0.99 | 3.40 | 0.59 | 429 | 0.11 | 2.64 | 265 | 0.04 | 1.67 |

Table 4.7 (Cont.).

Table 4.7 (Cont.)

| Sample no. | Depth (m) |  | TOC | TC | TS |  |  |  |  | PI | TOC/TS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (wt\%) |  |  | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | (mg HC/g rock) |  | (mg HC/g TOC) |  | TOC/R |
| 11830 | 1709.93 | 1722.12 | 2.95 | 6.24 | 0.82 | 433 | 0.21 | 15.41 | 522 | 0.01 | 3.58 |
| 11831 | 1725.17 | 1734.31 | 2.90 | 6.08 | 0.61 | 433 | 0.31 | 16.27 | 562 | 0.02 | 4.72 |
| 11832 | 1740.41 | 1752.60 | 2.63 | 4.97 | 0.56 | 437 | 0.30 | 15.36 | 584 | 0.02 | 4.71 |
| 11833 | 1755.65 | 1767.84 | 2.29 | 4.56 | 0.60 | 433 | 0.30 | 12.27 | 535 | 0.02 | 3.84 |
| 11834 | 1773.94 | 1776.98 | 1.90 | 4.67 | 0.51 | 434 | 0.24 | 8.35 | 438 | 0.03 | 3.74 |
| 11835 | 1819.66 | 1828.80 | 3.08 | 5.92 | 1.09 | 427 | 2.28 | 17.66 | 573 | 0.11 | 2.83 |
| 11836 | 1831.85 | 1834.90 | 2.91 | 6.37 | 0.64 | 433 | 4.16 | 14.89 | 512 | 0.22 | 4.57 |
| 11837 | 1853.18 | 1856.23 | 3.28 | 8.75 | 1.11 | 435 | 1.58 | 17.98 | 548 | 0.08 | 2.96 |
| 11838 | 1865.38 | 1868.42 | 1.48 | 4.96 | 0.83 | 432 | 0.84 | 7.41 | 500 | 0.10 | 1.78 |
| 11839 | 1880.62 | 1889.76 | 1.63 | 5.03 | 0.91 | 432 | 1.25 | 8.72 | 536 | 0.13 | 1.78 |
| 11840 | 1908.05 | 1917.19 | 1.83 | 3.25 | 0.43 | 427 | 1.50 | 5.20 | 284 | 0.22 | 4.22 |
| 11841 | 1923.29 | 1935.48 | 0.81 | 1.77 | 0.44 | 425 | 1.24 | 2.91 | 357 | 0.30 | 1.85 |
| 11842 | 1938.53 | 1950.72 | 1.04 | 3.75 | 0.61 | 431 | 1.80 | 5.86 | 561 | 0.23 | 1.70 |
| 11843 | 1959.86 | 1972.06 | 1.58 | 2.92 | 0.89 | 425 | 3.33 | 7.50 | 476 | 0.31 | 1.78 |
| 11844 | 1990.34 | 1996.44 | 0.45 | 1.10 | 0.18 | 434 | 0.20 | 1.17 | 262 | 0.15 | 2.51 |
| 11845 | 2008.63 | 2020.82 | 0.29 | 0.65 | 0.05 | 430 | 0.32 | 0.87 | 304 | 0.27 | 6.15 |
| 11846 | 2051.30 | 2057.40 | 0.51 | 1.94 | 0.29 | 423 | 0.56 | 2.38 | 464 | 0.19 | 1.75 |
| 11847 | 2093.98 | 2097.02 | 0.45 | 1.10 | 0.11 |  | 0.81 | 1.98 | 441 | 0.29 | 4.07 |



Figure 4.11 The plots of $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ and HI against depth show variation of screening data, source rock quality and petroleum generation potential of SP2 well (diagram modified from Bordenave et al., 1993).


Figure 4.12 The plots of $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ and PI against depth show variation of screening data and maturation of source rock of SP2 well (diagram modified from Bordenave et al., 1993).

Unit A, TOC value ranges from 0.45 to $3.28 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The TC value ranges from 0.65 to $8.75 \mathrm{wt} \%$ and the TS value ranges from 0.11 to $1.11 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ yields range from 0.20 to 4.16 and 0.87 to $17.98 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC} / \mathrm{g}$ rock, respectively. The HI values range from 284 to $573 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HC/g} \mathrm{TOC} .\mathrm{The} \mathrm{T}_{\max }$ and PI values range from $423^{\circ}$ to $435^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.08 to 0.30 , respectively. TOC, TC, TS, $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ plots show utility data while HI and PI plots show scatter data.

## 4.2 n-Alkane distribution by gas chromatography

The peak area of gas chromatograms profile of the $n$-alkane (Appendix II) has been used to calculate Pristane/Phytane ratio ( $\mathrm{Pr} / \mathrm{Ph}$ ratio), Pristane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{17}$ and Phytane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{18}$, as an indicator for source of organic materials or depositional environment; and Carbon Preference Index (CPI), as an indicator for thermal maturity of the source rock (Table 4.8).

## Pristane/Phytane ratio ( $\mathbf{P r} / \mathbf{P h}$ ratio)

Pristane is the $\mathrm{C}_{19}$ regular isoprenoid hydrocarbon with chemical formula $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{40}$ and phytane is the $\mathrm{C}_{20}$ isoprenoid hydrocarbon $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{42}\right)$. They are mainly de rived from the side chain of the chlorophyll " a " and " b " in purple sulphur bacteria (Brook et al., 1969; Powell and McKirdy, 1973). Phistane and phytane are ubiquitous in most oils and sediment extracts. In gas chromatography pristine $(\mathrm{Pr})$ and phytane $(\mathrm{Ph})$ occur as a distinctive doublet with normal $\mathrm{C}_{17}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ alkane, respectively. $\mathrm{The} \mathrm{Pr} / \mathrm{Ph}$ ratio was used as an indicator of oxicity of depositional environment (Miles, 1989). Didyk et al (1978) proposed that the $\mathrm{Pr} / \mathrm{Ph}$ ratio may be correlated with the environmental conditions prevailing when the sediment was deposited.

Thus, sediments deposited in aquatic environments where both the water column and sediment are anoxic generally have ratios much less than unity, whereas when oxic conditions occur ratios much greater than unity are found. Ratios close to unity are thought to occur when there are alternating oxic and anoxic conditions or when the depth of the oxic-anoxic interface fluctuates. Anoxic conditions tend to preserve the $\mathrm{C}_{20}$ skelleton whereas oxic conditions cause greater degradation. Thus, phytane is presumed to be formed from phytol by several reductive pathways whereas
Table 4.8: Gas chromatogram data of n-alkane hydrocarbon from Fang-MS well, Na Hong, Li, Mae Sot, P-SK well, SP1 well and SP2 well.

| Basin | Formation/ Unit/ <br> Lithology | Sample no. | Depth (m.) | Range | $\mathrm{Pr} / \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\operatorname{Pr} / \mathrm{nC}_{17}$ | $\mathrm{Ph} / n \mathrm{C}_{18}$ | CPI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fang <br> Fang-MS well $\square$ | Mae Sot | 11863 | 664.5 | $n \mathrm{C}_{13}-n \mathrm{C}_{36}$ | 1.50 | 1.79 | 1.21 | 1.31 |
|  | Mae Sot | 11876 | 879.5 | $n \mathrm{C}_{15}-n \mathrm{C}_{35}$ | 1.73 | 2.13 | 0.94 | 1.32 |
|  | Mae Sot | 11880 | 938.8 | $n \mathrm{C}_{15}-n \mathrm{C}_{38}$ | 2.64 | 3.13 | 1.31 | 1.31 |
|  | Mae Sot | 11887 | 1,060.7 | $n \mathrm{C}_{13}-n \mathrm{C}_{38}$ | 3.02 | 3.15 | 0.93 | 1.40 |
| Na Hong | Oil shale | 14709 | - | $n \mathrm{C}_{11}-n \mathrm{C}_{38}$ | 0.46 | 3.25 | 9.28 | 3.24 |
|  | Coaly mudstone | 14712 |  | $n \mathrm{C}_{11}-n \mathrm{C}_{38}$ | 0.60 | 3.09 | 8.10 | 3.41 |
|  | Mudstone | 14714 |  | $n \mathrm{C}_{14}-n \mathrm{C}_{37}$ | 1.13 | 2.58 | 2.66 | 4.58 |
|  | Coaly mudstone | 14718 | - | $n \mathrm{C}_{13}-n \mathrm{C}_{35}$ | 0.46 | 5.18 | 15.59 | 5.10 |
| Li | Oil shale | 14693 | - | $n \mathrm{C}_{12}-n \mathrm{C}_{37}$ | 1.66 | 5.93 | 4.73 | 2.47 |
|  | Oil shale | 14695 | - | $n \mathrm{C}_{12}-n \mathrm{C}_{37}$ | 1.71 | 3.70 | 3.23 | 3.05 |
| Mae Sot | Oil shale | 14700 |  | $n \mathrm{C}_{10}-n \mathrm{C}_{38}$ | 0.55 | 1.42 | 5.33 | 2.55 |
|  | Oil shale | 14702 | - | $n \mathrm{C}_{12}-n \mathrm{C}_{38}$ | 0.42 | 1.00 | 5.24 | 2.48 |

Table 4.8: Cont.

| Basin | Formation/ Unit/ <br> Lithology | Sample no. | Depth (m.) | Range | Pr/Ph | $\mathrm{Pr} / \mathrm{nC}_{17}$ | $\mathrm{Ph} / \mathrm{nC}_{18}$ | CPI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phitsanulok P-SK well | Yom | 14730 | 1,350 | $n \mathrm{C}_{12}-n \mathrm{C}_{35}$ | 0.85 | 0.84 | 0.65 | 0.99 |
|  | Chum Saeng | 14744 | 2,050 | $n \mathrm{C}_{10}-n \mathrm{C}_{31}$ | 1.47 | 0.88 | 0.63 | 0.97 |
|  | Lan Krabu | 14755 | 2,600 | $n \mathrm{C}_{10}-n \mathrm{C}_{35}$ | 1.25 | 0.69 | 0.57 | 1.00 |
|  | Lan Krabu | 14759 | 2,800 | $n \mathrm{C}_{10}-n \mathrm{C}_{35}$ | 1.63 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 1.06 |
|  | Lan Krabu | 14761 | 2,900 | $n \mathrm{C}_{12}-n \mathrm{C}_{36}$ | 1.13 | 0.74 | 0.56 | 1.05 |
| Suphan Buri <br> (SP1) | C | 11726 | - 1,460 | $n \mathrm{C}_{17}-n \mathrm{C}_{33}$ | 0.93 | 2.28 | 1.88 | 1.04 |
|  | C | 11731 | 1,537.5 | $n \mathrm{C}_{17}-n \mathrm{C}_{35}$ | 1.85 | 2.95 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
|  | C | 11746 | 1,762.5 | $n \mathrm{C}_{16}-n \mathrm{C}_{37}$ | 1.65 | 1.57 | 0.58 | 1.10 |
|  | B | 11754 | 1,967.5 | $n \mathrm{C}_{14}-n \mathrm{C}_{38}$ | 3.74 | 1.86 | 0.47 | 0.98 |
|  | B | 11761 | 2,142.5 | $n \mathrm{C}_{13}-n \mathrm{C}_{40}$ | 3.52 | 1.31 | 0.32 | 1.09 |
| Suphan Buri <br> (SP2) | C | 11799 | 1,193 | $n \mathrm{C}_{15}-n \mathrm{C}_{37}$ | 1.30 | 2.79 | 1.51 | 1.21 |
|  | C | 11810 | 1,366 | $n \mathrm{C}_{17}-n \mathrm{C}_{36}$ | 0.70 | 1.08 | 0.85 | 0.93 |
|  | B | 11817 | 1,472 | $n \mathrm{C}_{15}-n \mathrm{C}_{36}$ | 1.67 | 2.23 | 0.97 | 1.09 |
| $\square$ | B | 11823 | 1,564 | $n \mathrm{C}_{13}-n \mathrm{C}_{36}$ | 2.56 | 2.55 | 1.05 | 1.08 |
|  | B | 11829 | 1,701 | $n \mathrm{C}_{13}-n \mathrm{C}_{40}$ | 2.56 | 2.15 | 0.73 | 1.06 |

oxidation of phytol to phytanic and/or phytenic acid is considered to be a prerequisite for pritane formation (Johns, 1986).

## Pristane $/ \boldsymbol{n} \mathbf{C}_{17}$ and Phytane $/ \boldsymbol{n} \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{1 8}}$

The abundance of pristine relative to $n \mathrm{C}_{17}$ and the relation between phytane and $n \mathrm{C}_{18}$ can be determined from gas chromatography of oils and sediment extracts. The ratios of Pristane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{17}$ and Phytane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{18}$ are often used as indicator of depositional environment and to indicate approximate levels of maturity and biodegradation.

## Carbon Preference Index (CPI)

The relative abundance of odd carbon $n$-alkanes versus even number $n$ alkanes measured from gas chromatography of saturated fraction of an oil or extract is know as the carbon preference index (CPI), which can be used to estimate of thermal maturity of petroleum. The predominance of odd-number alkanes decrease with increasing maturity, where even and odd alkanes are present at equal amounts, i.e. an index of 1.0. Hence a high CPI,> 1.1, means that an oil or extract is immature. Generally, the CPI value of 1.5 is considered to be at the top of oil generative window, while a value of $1.0 \pm 0.1$ is considered to be at peak oil generation (Miles, 1989). The full range of carbon numbers which have been included in these calculations is 20 to 34 , but most analysts prefer to use a more restricted range. The most widely CPI was calculated from below formula (Bray and Evans, 1961):

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{CPI}=1 / 2\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{25}+\mathrm{C}_{27}+\mathrm{C}_{29}+\mathrm{C}_{31}+\mathrm{C}_{33}\right)+\left(\mathrm{C}_{25}+\mathrm{C}_{27}+\mathrm{C}_{29}+\mathrm{C}_{31}+\mathrm{C}_{33}\right)\right] \\
\left(\mathrm{C}_{24}+\mathrm{C}_{26}+\mathrm{C}_{28}+\mathrm{C}_{30}+\mathrm{C}_{32}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{C}_{26}+\mathrm{C}_{28}+\mathrm{C}_{30}+\mathrm{C}_{32}+\mathrm{C}_{34}\right)
\end{array}
$$

### 4.2.1 Fang-MS well, Fang basin

The samples of Fang-MS well have $n$-alkanes distributions in molecular weight range from $n \mathrm{C}_{13}-n \mathrm{C}_{38}$ and maximize in the $n \mathrm{C}_{27}, n \mathrm{C}_{29}$ and $n \mathrm{C}_{31}$. The $\mathrm{Pr} / \mathrm{Ph}$ ratio ranges from 1.5 to 3.02 . The Pristane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{17}$ and Phytane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{18}$ ratio range from 1.79 to 3.15 and 0.93 to 1.31 , respectively. The CPI ranges from 1.31 to 1.40

### 4.2.2 Na Hong basin

The samples of Na Hong have $n$-alkanes distributions in molecular weight range from $n \mathrm{C}_{11}-n \mathrm{C}_{38}$ and maximize in the $n \mathrm{C}_{27}, n \mathrm{C}_{29}$ and $n \mathrm{C}_{31}$. The $\mathrm{Pr} / \mathrm{Ph}$ ratio ranges from 0.46 to 1.13. The Pristane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{17}$ and Phytane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{18}$ ratio range from 2.58 to 5.18 and 2.66 to 15.59 , respectively. The CPI ranges from 3.24 to 5.10 .

### 4.2.3 Li basin

The samples of Li have $n$-alkanes distributions in molecular weight range from $n \mathrm{C}_{12}-n \mathrm{C}_{37}$ and maximize in the $n \mathrm{C}_{27}, n \mathrm{C}_{29}$ and $n \mathrm{C}_{31}$. The $\mathrm{Pr} / \mathrm{Ph}$ ratio ranges from 1.66 to 1.71 . The Pristane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{17}$ and Phytane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{18}$ ratio range from 3.70 to 5.91 and 3.23 to 4.73 , respectively. The CPI ranges from 2.47 to 3.05 .

### 4.2.4 Mae Sot basin

The samples of Mae Sot have $n$-alkanes distributions in molecular weight range from $n \mathrm{C}_{10}-n \mathrm{C}_{38}$ and maximize in the $n \mathrm{C}_{27}$ and $n \mathrm{C}_{29}$. The $\mathrm{Pr} / \mathrm{Ph}$ ratio ranges from 0.42 to 0.55 . The Pristane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{17}$ and Phytane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{18}$ ratio range from 1.00 to 1.42 and 5.24 to 5.33 , respectively. The CPI ranges from 2.48 to 2.55 .

### 4.2.5 P-SK well, Phitsanulok basin

The samples of PH have $n$-alkanes distributions in molecular weight range from $n \mathrm{C}_{10}-n \mathrm{C}_{36}$ and maximize in the $n \mathrm{C}_{15}$ and $\mathrm{nC}_{17}$. The $\mathrm{Pr} / \mathrm{Ph}$ ratio ranges from 0.85 to 1.63. The Pristane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{17}$ and Phytane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{18}$ ratio range from 1.58 to 0.88 and 0.42 to 0.65 , respectively. The CPI ranges from 0.99 to 1.06 .

### 4.2.6 SP1 and SP2 wells, Suphanburi basin SP1 well

The samples of SP1 have $n$-alkanes distributions in molecular weight range from $n \mathrm{C}_{13}-n \mathrm{C}_{40}$ and maximize in the $n \mathrm{C}_{27}$ to $n \mathrm{C}_{31}$. The $\mathrm{Pr} / \mathrm{Ph}$ ratio ranges from 0.93 to 3.74. The Pristane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{17}$ and Phytane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{18}$ ratio range from 1.31 to 2.95 and 0.32 to 1.88 , respectively. The CPI ranges from 0.98 to 1.16 .

## SP2 well

The samples of SP2 have $n$-alkanes distributions in molecular weight range from $\mathrm{nC}_{13}-\mathrm{nC}_{36}$ and maximize in the $\mathrm{nC}_{31}$. The $\mathrm{Pr} / \mathrm{Ph}$ ratio ranges from 0.70 to 2.56. The Pristane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{17}$ and Phytane $/ n \mathrm{C}_{18}$ ratio range from 1.08 to 2.79 and 0.73 to 1.51 , respectively. The CPI ranges from 0.93 to 1.21 .

### 4.3 Biomarkers parameters: Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometer

Biomarkers are a group of compounds, primarily hydrocarbons, found in oil, rock extracts, recent sediment extracts and soil extracts. Biomarkers are structurally similar to, and are diagenetic alteration products of, specific natural products (compounds produced by living organisms). Specifically, biomarkers in oil can reveal the relative amount of oil-prone and gas-prone organic matter in the source kerogen, the age of the source rock, the environment of deposition as marine, lacustrine, fluviodeltaic or hypersaline, the lithology of the source (carbonate and shale) and the thermal maturity of the source rock during generation (Peters and Moldowan, 1993). The peak area of gas chromatograms-mass spectrometer profile has been used to calculate biomarker parameters.

## Homohopane isomerization [22S/ (22S+22R)] ratio

Isomerization at $\mathrm{C}-22$ in the $\mathrm{C}_{31}-\mathrm{C}_{35} 17 \alpha$-hopane (Ensminger et al., 1977) occur earlier than many biomarker reactions used to assess the thermal maturity of oil and bitumen for immature to early oil generation, such as isomerization at C-20 in the regular steranes, measured using m/z 191 chromatogram or GCMS/MS typically the $\mathrm{C}_{31}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{32}$. Schoell et al., (1983) showed that equilibrium for the $\mathrm{C}_{32}$ hopanes occurs at vitrinite reflectance of $\sim 0.5 \%$ in Mahakam Delta rocks. The biologicall produced hopane precursors carry a 22 R configuration that is converted gradually to a mixture of $22 R$ and $22 S$ diastereomers. The proportions of $22 R$ and $22 S$ can be calculated for any or all of the $\mathrm{C}_{31}-\mathrm{C}_{35}$ compounds. These 22 R and 22 S doublets in the range $\mathrm{C}_{31}-\mathrm{C}_{35}$ on the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 191$ mass chromatogram are called homohopanes.

The $22 \mathrm{~S} /(22 \mathrm{~S}+22 \mathrm{R})$ ratios for the $\mathrm{C}_{31}-\mathrm{C}_{35} 17 \alpha$ - homohopane may differ slightly. Typically, the C-22 epimer ratios increase slightly for the higher homologs from $\mathrm{C}_{31}-\mathrm{C}_{35}$. For example, Zumberge (1987) calculated the average equilibrium
$22 \mathrm{~S} /(22 \mathrm{~S}+22 \mathrm{R})$ ratio for 27 low-maturity oils at $\mathrm{C}_{31}, \mathrm{C}_{32}, \mathrm{C}_{33}, \mathrm{C}_{34}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{35}$ to be 0.55 , $0.58,0.60,0.62$, and 0.59 , respectively.

## Ts/(Ts+Tm)

Thermal parameter based on relative stability of $\mathrm{C}_{27}$ hopane applicable over the range immature to mature to postmature. The ratio of trisnorneohopane (Ts or $18 \alpha-22,29,30$-trisneohopane), formular $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{46}$, to trisnorhopane ( Tm or $17 \alpha-22,29$, 30 -trinorhopane) is calculated from relative peak areas of both Ts and Tm in the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 191 mass chromatogram or GCMS/MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 370 \rightarrow 191$ ) (Appendix IV). During catagenesis, $\mathrm{C}_{27} 17 \alpha$-Trisnorhopane (Tm) is less stable than $\mathrm{C}_{28} 18 \alpha$-trinorhopane II (Ts) (Seifert and Moldowan, 1978; Kolaczkowska et al., 1990).

## $\mathrm{C}_{29} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha \alpha$ 20S/(20S+20R) sterane epimer ratio

The sterane isomerization ratios are reported most often for the $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ compounds (24-ethylcholestanes or stigmastanes) due to the ease of analysis using $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 217 mass chromatograms. Isomerization ratio based on the $\mathrm{C}_{27}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{28}$ steranes commonly show interference by coelution peaks. However, GCMS/MS measurements allow reasonably good accuracy for $\mathrm{C}_{27}, \mathrm{C}_{28}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{29} 20 \mathrm{~S} /(20 \mathrm{~S}+20 \mathrm{R})$, all of which have equivalent potential as maturity parameters when measured by this method.

The elution patterns for steranes are highly complex because of an overlap of rearranged and non-rearranged steranes. Although in principle we could determine maturity by following the change in $20 \mathrm{~S} /\left(20 \mathrm{~S}+20 \mathrm{R}\right.$ ) in any of the $\mathrm{C}_{27}, \mathrm{C}_{28}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ steranes, measured using $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 217$ mass chromatogram. The most accurate data is derived from $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ species which are the least susceptible form is exclusive the $\alpha \alpha \alpha(20 \mathrm{R})$ and $\beta \alpha \alpha(20 \mathrm{R})$ configurations. Isomerization at C-20 in the $\mathrm{C}_{29} 5 \alpha, 14 \alpha, 17 \alpha(\mathrm{H})$-sterane causes 20S/(20S+20R) to rise from 0 to $\sim 0.5$ with increasing thermal maturity (Seifert and Moldowan, 1978).

## $\mathbf{C}_{29}(\mathbf{S}+\mathbf{R}) \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} /((\mathbf{S}+\mathbf{R}) \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta}+(\mathbf{S}+\mathbf{R}) \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ sterane ratio

$\mathrm{C}_{29} \beta \beta /(\beta \beta+\alpha \alpha)$ sterane ratio is proportion of $14 \beta(\mathrm{H}), 17 \beta(\mathrm{H})$ and $14 \alpha(\mathrm{H}), 17 \alpha$ $(\mathrm{H})$ forms. The $\alpha \alpha$ form is produced biologically but gradually converts to a mixture of $\alpha \alpha$ and $\beta \beta$. This transformation involves the poorly understood but apparently
nearly simultaneous change of two hydrogen atoms from alpha positions to beta. Measured is using peak area of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 217$ or preferable by GCMS/MS of $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ sterane (Seifert and Moldowan, 1978).

## $\mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{C}_{29}$ regular steranes

The steranes inherited directly from higher plants, animals, and algae are the 20R epimers of the $5 \alpha(\mathrm{H}), 14 \alpha(\mathrm{H}), 17 \alpha(\mathrm{H})$ forms of the $\mathrm{C}_{27}, \mathrm{C}_{28}, \mathrm{C}_{29}$ steranes. The relative proportions of each of these "regular" steranes can very greatly from sample to sample, however, depending upon the type of organic material contributing to the sediment. The ratio of $\mathrm{C}_{27}: \mathrm{C}_{28}: \mathrm{C}_{29}$ represents a composite of the data for oil or extracts of the source rock from various depositional environments (Miles, 1989).

### 4.3.1 Fang-MS well, Fang basin

The ratio of $22 \mathrm{~S} /(22 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{R})$ of Fang-MS samples range from 0.2 to 0.6 and $\mathrm{Ts} /(\mathrm{Ts}+\mathrm{Tm})$ ratio ranges from 0.2 to 0.36 . The $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ 20S/ (20S+20R) sterane epimer and $\mathrm{C}_{29} \beta \beta /(\beta \beta+\alpha \alpha)$ sterane ratio range from 0.08 to 0.23 and 0.35 to 0.36 , respectively. The samples are dominated by $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ sterane, except sample 11876 is dominated by $\mathrm{C}_{27}$ sterane (Table 4.9).

### 4.3.2 Na Hong basin

The ratio of $22 \mathrm{~S} /(22 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{R})$ of Na Hong samples range from 0.04 to 0.05 and $\mathrm{Ts} /(\mathrm{Ts}+\mathrm{Tm})$ ratio ranges from 0 to 0.04 . The $\mathrm{C}_{29} 20 \mathrm{~S} /(20 \mathrm{~S}+20 \mathrm{R})$ sterane epimer and $\mathrm{C}_{29} \beta \beta /(\beta \beta+\alpha \alpha)$ sterane ratio are not present. The samples are dominated by $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ sterane (Table 4.9).

### 4.3.3 Li basin

The ratio of $22 \mathrm{~S} /(22 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{R})$ of Li samples is 0.04 and $\mathrm{Ts} /(\mathrm{Ts}+\mathrm{Tm})$ ratio is 0.05 . The $\mathrm{C}_{29} 20 \mathrm{~S} /(20 \mathrm{~S}+20 \mathrm{R})$ sterane epimer and $\mathrm{C}_{29} \beta \beta /(\beta \beta+\alpha \alpha)$ sterane ratio range from 0 to 0.22 and 0 to 0.43 , respectively. The samples are dominated by $\mathrm{C}_{28}$ sterane (Table 4.9).
Table 4.9: Summarized data of biomarkers from Fang, Na Hong, Li, Mae Sot, Phitsanulok, and Suphanburi basns.

| Basin | Formation/ Unit/ Lithology | Sample no. | Depth <br> (m.) | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \mathrm{~S} /(22 \mathrm{~S}+22 \mathrm{R}) \\ & \text { homohopane } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Ts} /(\mathrm{Ts}+\mathrm{Tm})$ | Sterane |  | Sterane (R-epimer) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{29} \\ 20 \mathrm{~S} /(20 \mathrm{~S}+20 \mathrm{R}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{29} \\ \beta \beta /(\beta \beta+\alpha \alpha) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{27}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ |
| Fang-MS well | Mae Sot | 11863 | 664.5 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 36.45 | 22.23 | 41.32 |
|  | Mae Sot | 11876 | 879.5 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 44.91 | 20.34 | 34.74 |
|  | Mae Sot | 11880 | 938.8 | 0.47 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.36 | 37.40 | 22.68 | 39.92 |
|  | Mae Sot | 11887 | 1060.7 | 0.60 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.36 | 37.95 | 21.89 | 40.16 |
| Na Hong | coaly mudstone | 14709 | - | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 26.96 | 12.32 | 60.72 |
|  | mudstone | 14712 | - | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.27 | 15.30 | 61.44 |
|  | coaly mudstone | 14714 | - | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 36.59 | 20.23 | 43.18 |
|  | oil shale | 14718 | - | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 26.49 | 17.67 | 55.88 |
| Li | oil shale | 14693 | - | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 40.70 | 44.28 | 15.02 |
|  | oil shale | 14695 | - | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.39 | 35.74 | 30.86 |
| Mae Sot | oil shale | 14700 | - | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 17.00 | 19.36 | 63.64 |
|  | oil shale | 14702 | - | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 10.03 | 10.16 | 79.81 |

Table 4.9: (Cont.)

| Basin | Formation/ Unit/ Lithology | Sample <br> no. | Depth (m.) | $22 \mathrm{~S} /(22 \mathrm{~S}+22 \mathrm{R})$ <br> homohopane | Ts/(Ts+Tm) | Sterane |  | Sterane (R-epimer) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{29} \\ 20 \mathrm{~S} /(20 \mathrm{~S}+20 \mathrm{R}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{29} \\ \beta \beta /(\beta \beta+\alpha \alpha) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{27}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ |
| Phitsanulok | Yom | 14730 | 1350 | 0.56 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 25.56 | 42.03 | 32.41 |
|  | Chum Saeng | 14744 | 2050 | 0.51 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 27.89 | 39.97 | 32.14 |
| P-SK well | Lan Krabu | 14755 | 2600 | 0.54 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 25.41 | 40.66 | 33.93 |
|  | Lan Krabu | 14759 | 2800 | 0.57 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 25.94 | 42.18 | 31.88 |
|  | Lan Krabu | 14761 | 2900 | 0.56 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 27.26 | 39.54 | 33.21 |
| Suphanburi | C | 11726 | 1460 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 36.43 | 22.80 | 40.76 |
|  | C | 11731 | 1537.5 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 33.75 | 17.37 | 48.88 |
| SP1 well | C | 11746 | 1762.5 | 0.56 | 0.44 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 46.77 | 16.67 | 36.56 |
|  | B | 11754 | 1967.5 | 0.61 | 0.48 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 27.00 | 13.82 | 59.18 |
|  | B | 11761 | 2142.5 | 0.60 | 0.74 | 0.51 | 0.55 | 32.78 | 16.65 | 50.57 |
| Suphanburi | C | 11799 | 1193 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 37.77 | 23.54 | 38.69 |
|  | C | 11810 | 1366 | 0.35 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 38.87 | 24.29 | 36.85 |
| SP2 well | B | 11817 | 1472 | 0.42 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 69.41 | 10.04 | 20.54 |
|  | B | 11823 | 1564 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 32.32 | 16.11 | 51.58 |
|  | B | 11829 | 1701 | 0.58 | 0.44 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 40.89 | 15.03 | 44.08 |

### 4.3.4 Mae Sot basin

The ratio of $22 \mathrm{~S} /(22 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{R})$ of Mae Sot samples range from 0.23 to 0.26 and $\mathrm{Ts} /(\mathrm{Ts}+\mathrm{Tm})$ ratio ranges from 0.03 to 0.04 . The $\mathrm{C}_{29} 20 \mathrm{~S} /(20 \mathrm{~S}+20 \mathrm{R})$ sterane epimer ratio range from 0.07 to 0.11 . The samples are dominated by $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ sterane (Table 4.9).

### 4.3.5 PH, Phitsanulok basin

The ratio of $22 \mathrm{~S} /(22 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{R})$ of PH samples range from 0.51 to 0.57 and $\mathrm{Ts} /(\mathrm{Ts}+\mathrm{Tm})$ ratio ranges from 0.21 to 0.36 . The $\mathrm{C}_{29} 20 \mathrm{~S} /(20 \mathrm{~S}+20 \mathrm{R})$ sterane epimer and $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ $\beta \beta /(\beta \beta+\alpha \alpha)$ sterane ratio range from 0.298 to 0.35 and 0.30 to 0.32 , respectively. The samples are dominated by $\mathrm{C}_{28}$ sterane (Table 4.9).

### 4.3.6 SP1 and SP2 wells, Suphanburi basin SP1 well

The ratio of $22 \mathrm{~S} /(22 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{R})$ of SP 1 samples range from 0.3 to 0.61 and $\mathrm{Ts} /$ ( $\mathrm{Ts}+\mathrm{Tm}$ ) ratio ranges from 0.26 to 0.74 . The $\mathrm{C}_{29} 20 \mathrm{~S} /(20 \mathrm{~S}+20 \mathrm{R})$ sterane epimer and $\mathrm{C}_{29} \beta \beta /(\beta \beta+\alpha \alpha)$ sterane ratio range from 0 to 0.51 and 0.29 to 055 , respectively. The samples are dominated by $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ sterane (Table 4.9).

## SP2 well

The ratio of $22 \mathrm{~S} /(22 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{R})$ of SP2 samples range from 0.27 to 0.58 and $\mathrm{Ts} /(\mathrm{Ts}+\mathrm{Tm})$ ratio ranges from 0.13 to 0.44 . The $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ 20S/ (20S+20R) sterane epimer and $\mathrm{C}_{29} \beta \beta /(\beta \beta+\alpha \alpha)$ sterane ratio range from 0 to 0.14 and 0 to 0.05 , respectively. The samples are dominated by $\mathrm{C}_{27}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{29}$ sterane (Table 4.9).

### 4.4 Organic petrographic results

Macerals are organic substances derived from plant tissues, cell contents and exudates that were variably subjected to decay, incorporated in to sedimentary strata and then altered physically and chemically by natural processes (diagenetic and metamorphic). There are three basic groups of macerals, the vitrinite group derived from coalified woody tissue, the liptinite group derived from the resinous and waxy parts of plants and the inertinite group derived from charred and biologically altered plant cell wall material.

## Vitrinite reflectance

Vitrinite reflectance data is widely used to determine the thermal maturity of maceral in sedimentary rocks (Bostick, 1979). It is more related to the thermal stress experienced by the vitrinite than to petroleum generation. The value of 0.45 to $0.6 \% \mathrm{R}_{0}$ have been suggested for onset of hydrocarbon generation (Ammosov, 1968; Hood et al., 1975) and the end of oil window at 1.3 to $1.5 \% \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ (Shinbaoka et al., 1973; Stach, 1975).

### 4.4.1 Fang-MS well, Fang basin

The macerals of the sample from the Fang-MS well are given in Table 4.10. The liptinite content ranges from 69.60 to 78.20 percent. The liptinitic material is principally composed of lamalginite, litodetrinite, telalginite, fluorescing amorphous organic matter (AOM), exsudatinite, sporinite, cutinite and resinite (Figures 4.13, 4.14, 4.15, 4.16 and 4.17). The huminite content ranges from 8.50 to 12.3 percent. The inertinite content ranges from 0 to 2.4 percent (Figure 4.18). The non-fluorescing mineral matter content ranges from 9.2 to 17.3 percent and pyrite content is around 2 percent (Figure 4.19).

In all samples, the fluorescence properties of liptinite showed a range of yellowish orange to yellowish brown. The liptinite and telalginite are most common and easily recognizable. The lamalginite show laminated and filamentous morphology and telalginite (Botryococcus) generally show sheet-like and disc-shaped forms. Under fluorescence-inducing blue light, they display yellow to yellowish brown. In groundmass of all samples, the fluorescing AOM is found associated with liptodetrinite and non-fluorescing mineral matter. The fluorescing AOM considered to be derived from alginite and is generally irregular morphology and in fluorescence-inducing blue light display orange to orange brown colour. The liptodetrinites are small fragments ( $<0.01$ mm .) and also considered to be derived from alginite. They display dark yellow to yellowish brown color. The sporinite generally display yellow color and present in some of samples. The cutinite is also display yellow color and present in the top of well. The exsudatinite, representing early generated heavy petroleum, display yellow to yellowish brown color and present in some samples. The resinite display yellowish orange color and present in some samples.
Table 4.10: Organic composition and vitrinite reflectance of the samples of Fang-MS well from Fang basin.

| Sample | Depth (m) | Liptinite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lipt | Hum | Inert | Non-fl | Py | $\begin{gathered} \text { VR } \\ \left(\% \mathbf{R}_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Telalg | Lamalg | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fl. } \\ \text { AOM } \end{gathered}$ | Lipto | Sp | Cu | Ex | Re |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Vol.\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vol. \% |  |  | Vol.\% |  |  |
| 11859 | 582.17-594.36 | 15.6 | 23.5 | 10.3 | 11.9 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 72.4 | 11.2 | 2.4 | 10.7 | 3.3 | 0.38 |
| 11861 | 612.65-624.84 | 18.2 | 23.3 | 9.8 | 14.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 70.2 | 11.8 | 1.7 | 13.6 | 2.7 | 0.42 |
| 11863 | 658.37-670.50 | 15.3 | 24.1 | 7.4 | 19.6 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 76 | 10.7 | 0.8 | 9.3 | 3.2 | - |
| 11864 | 673.61-685.80 | 14.5 | 30.4 | 4.8 | 19.1 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0 | 73.3 | 12.3 | 0.5 | 11.4 | 2.5 | 0.41 |
| 11869 | 749.81-762.00 | 16.9 | 28.6 | 5.3 | 18.5 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 0 | 76.1 | 9.2 | 0 | 12.6 | 2.1 | 0.42 |
| 11870 | 765.05-777.24 | 14.8 | 23.9 | 6.9 | 24.8 | 1.3 | 0 | 2.9 | 0 | 74.6 | 12.1 | 0 | 11.8 | 1.5 | - |
| 11871 | 780.29-792.48 | 12.1 | 26.4 | 6.3 | 27.3 | 2.1 | 0 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 77.6 | 10.2 | 0 | 10.5 | 1.7 | 0.47 |
| 11874 | 826.01-838.20 | 14.3 | 23.5 | 11.7 | 24.9 | 1.6 | 0 | 2.2 | 0 | 78.2 | 9.1 | 0 | 10.6 | 2.1 | 0.47 |
| 11876 | 874.78-883.92 | 13.6 | 23.8 | 12.9 | 23.4 | 2.9 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 78.1 | 9.2 | 0 | 11.4 | 1.3 | 0.57 |
| 11877 | 886.97-899.16 | 12.5 | 25.7 | 13.8 | 20.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72.8 | 9.2 | 0 | 11.5 | 1.6 | - |
| 11878 | 902.21-914.40 | 11.7 | 25.4 | 11.2 | 23.4 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 74.6 | 9.3 | 0 | 14.5 | 1.6 |  |
| 11879 | 917.45-929.64 | 14.6 | 19.5 | 15.3 | 22.9 | 0 | 0 | 2.7 | 0 | 75 | 10.5 | 0.1 | 12.3 | 2.1 | - |
| 11880 | 935.74-941.83 | 13.7 | 18 | 9.4 | 29.3 | 2.4 | 0 | 3.1 | 0 | 75.9 | 11.3 | 0 | 11.5 | 1.3 | 0.58 |
| 11882 | 978.41-990.60 | 16.2 | 22.4 | 10.3 | 26.4 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78.2 | 10.2 | 0 | 9.2 | 2.4 | 0.58 |
| 11883 | 993.65-1,002.79 | 14.9 | 20.2 | 9.3 | 29.3 | 0 | 0 | 4.3 | 0 | 78 | 9.2 | 0.2 | 11.5 | 1.1 | - |
| 11884 | 1,008.89-1,021.08 | 12.7 | 25.6 | 9.7 | 24.7 | 0 | 0 | 3.9 | 0 | 76.6 | 11.3 | 0 | 10.3 | 1.8 | - |
| 11887 | 1,054.61-1,066.80 | 13.9 | 23.6 | 11.6 | 20.4 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 72.4 | 10.2 | 0.7 | 15.2 | 1.5 | 0.63 |
| 11889 | 1,085.09-1,094.23 | 11.8 | 18.5 | 15.2 | 21.3 | 0 | 0 | 2.8 | 0 | 69.6 | 14.8 | 0 | 14.2 | 1.4 | 0.66 |
| 11891 | 1,115.57-1,127.76 | 11.8 | 21.6 | 16.1 | 19.7 | 0 | 0 | 3.7 | 0 | 72.9 | 8.5 | 0 | 17.3 | 1.3 | - |

Telalg: telalginite; Lamalg: lamalginite; Fl. AOM: fluorescing amorphous organic matter; Lipto: liptodetrinite; Sp: sporinite;
Cu : cutinite; Ex: exsudatinite; Re: resenite; Lipt: liptinite; Hum: huminite; Inert: inertinite; Non-fl: non-fluoresencing mineral matter; Py: pyrite; VR: vitrinite reflectance, $-:$ non measurement


Figure 4.13 Photomicrographs of filamentous lamalginite (FLA), exsudatinite (Ex) surrounding quartz grain (Qtz) and framboidal pyrite (Py) of sample 11859 (588.30 m) from Fang-MS well in cross polarize light (A) and in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.14 Photomicrographs of Botryococcus-type telalginite (Bo) and huminite fracments (Hum) in groundmass of yellowish brown fluorescing amorphous organic matter (AOM) and liptodetrinite (Lip) of sample 11859 ( 588.30 m ) from Fang-MS well in cross polarize light (A) and in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.15 Photomicrographs of gelinite (Gel), cutinite ( Cu ), resinite ( Re ) and exsudatinite (Ex) intruded into cleats of gelinite of sample 11861 ( 618.70 m ) from FangMS well in white light (A) and in fluorescence -inducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.16 Photomicrograph of resinite (Re) and lamalginite (Lam) display yellowish orange color in fluorescence-inducing blue light of sample 11871 ( 786.40 m ) from Fang-MS well.


Figure 4.17 Photomicrograph of association of disc-shaped Botryococcus-type telalginite ( Bo ) and lamalginite (Lam) in groundmass of weakly brownish florescing amorphous organic matter and liptodetrinite in fluorescence-inducing blue light of sample 11871 ( 786.40 m) from Fang-MS well.


Figure 4.18 Photomicrograph of exsudatinite (Ex) surrounding quartz (Qtz) grains and displays greenish yellow color and Botryococcus? (Bo?) in fluorescence-inducing blue light of sample 11876 ( 879.30 m) from Fang-MS well.


Figure 4.19 Photomicrograph of framboidal pyrite (Py) in humic coal (Hum) in white light of sample 11882 ( 984.5 m ) from Fang-MS well.

Random reflectance data ( $\% \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) determined from eleven cutting samples from Mae Sot formation range from $0.38 \%$ to $0.66 \% R_{o}$ (Table 4.10).

### 4.4.2 Na Hong basin

The macerals of the sample from the Na Hong are given in the Table 4.11. The liptinite content ranges from 59.0 to 80.1 percent. The liptinitic material is principally composed of lamalginite, liptodetrinite, telalginite, resinite, fluorescing AOM, sporinite, exsudatinite and cutinite (Figures 4.20). The huminite content ranges from 6.8 to 28.9 percent. The huminite composed of dentinite and gelinite (Figure 4.26, 4.21, 4.22, 4.23, 4.24 and 4.25). The inertinite content does not exceed 1 percent in all samples. The non-fluorescing mineral matter content ranges from 6.4 to 8.3 percent and pyrite content is around 5 percent.

Sample 14714 of oil shale, shows the hightest proportion of filamentous lamalginite and telalginite, predominantly with morphology similar to the extant algae Botryococcus. Under fluorescence-inducing blue light, they display yellow to yellowish orange. In groundmass, the fluorescing AOM is found associated with liptodentrinite and mineral matter. The fluorescing AOM is considered to be derived from alginite and is generally irregular in form. In fluorescence-inducing blue light they display orange to orange brown color. The liptodentrinites display dark yellow to yellowish brown color. The sporinite generally display yellow color.

Samples 14709, 14712 and 14719 of coaly mudstone, show high proportion of huminite content which composed of dentinite and gelinite. Early generated heavy bitumen or hydrocarbons (exsudatinite) have been observed in all coaly mudstone samples. Random reflectance data ( $\% \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) determined from four samples from Na Hong range from $0.40 \%$ to $0.49 \% \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ (Table 4.11).

### 4.4.3 Li basin

The macerals of the sample from the Li are given in the Table 4.11. The liptinite content ranges from 70.6 to 80.6 percent. The liptinitic material is principally composed of lamalginite, fluorescing amorphous organic matter, telalginite, liptodentrinite, sporinite and exsudatinite (Figures 4.26 and 4.27). The huminite content ranges from 6.7 to 9.1 percent (Figures 4.26 and 4.27). The inertinite maceral is
Table 4.11: Organic composition and vitrinite reflectance of the samples from Na Hong, Li and Mae Sot basins.

| Sample | Depth (m) | Liptinite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lipt | Hum | Inert | Non-fl | Py | $\begin{gathered} \text { VR } \\ \left(\% \mathbf{R}_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Telalg | Lamalg | Fl. AOM | Lipto | Sp | Cu | Ex | Re |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Vol.\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vol. \% |  |  | Vol.\% |  |  |
| 14709 | Na Hong | 3.7 | 24.3 | 2.5 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 59 | 28.9 | 0 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 0.46 |
| 14712 | Na Hong | 4.7 | 23.9 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 3.5 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 66.4 | 24.6 | 0.5 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 0.49 |
| 14714 | Na Hong | 12.8 | 37.6 | 10.2 | 15.9 | 1.3 | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | 80.1 | 6.8 | 0.2 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 0.40 |
| 14719 | Na Hong | 2.0 | 22.3 | 2.9 | 12.3 | 6.8 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 6.5 | 60.4 | 25.8 | 0.7 | 8.3 | 4.8 | 0.45 |
| 14690 | Li | 12.8 | 32.4 | 14.8 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 72.5 | 8.9 | 0 | 12.8 | 5.8 | 0.40 |
| 14693 | Li | 12.5 | 33.4 | 15.2 | 8.4 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70.6 | 9.1 | 0 | 11.9 | 6.4 | 0.36 |
| 14695 | Li | 21.2 | 33.5 | 13.6 | 11.7 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80.6 | 6.7 | 0 | 9.2 | 3.5 | 0.40 |
| 14700 | Mae Sot | 0 | 63.9 | 22.7 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87.1 | 1.8 | 0 | 9.2 | 1.9 | 0.37 |
| 14702 | Mae Sot | 7.4 | 52.6 | 25.8 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86.5 | 2.6 | 0 | 9.7 | 2.1 | 0.35 |

Telalg: telalginite; Lamalg: lamalginite; Fl. AOM: fluorescing amorphous organic matter; Lipto: liptodetrinite; Sp: sporinite; Cu: cutinite; Ex: exsudatinite; Re: resenite; Lipt: liptinite; Hum: huminite; Inert: inertinite; Non-fl: non-fluorescing mineral matter; Py: pyrite; VR: vitrinite reflectance


Figure 4.20 Photomicrographs of association of disc-shaped Botryococcus-type telalginite (Bo) and lamalginite (Lam) in groundmass of fluorescing amorphous organic matter and liptodetrinite of sample 14714 from Na Hong basin in cross polarize light (A) and in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).



Figure 4.21 Photomicrographs of association of disc-shaped Botryococcus-type telalginite (Bo) and lamalginite (Lam) in groundmass of fluorescing amorphous organic matter and liptodetrinite; exsudatinite (Ex) filled in pore of fusinite (Fu) layer of sample 14714 from Na Hong basin in white light (A) and in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.22 Photomicrographs of resinite ( Re ), sporinite ( Sp ), liptodetrinite ( Lip ) and cutinite $(\mathrm{Cu})$ in densinite (Den) and gelinite (Gel) groundmass in sample 14709 from Na Hong basin in white light (A) and in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.23 Photomicrographs of resinite (Re), sporinite (Sp), exsudatinite (Ex) and framboidal pyrite (Py) in densinite (Den) groundmass in sample 14709 from Na Hong basin in white light (A) and in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.24 Photomicrographs of lamalginite (Lam), exsudatinite (Ex) and cutinite $(\mathrm{Cu})$ in dentinite groundmass of sample 14719 from Na Hong basin, in white light (A) and white color in fluoresceence-inducing blue light (B).



Figure 4.25 Photomicrographs of lamalginite (Lam), sporinite (Sp), exsudatinite (Ex) filled in pore of fusinite ( Fu ) and framboidal pyrite ( Py ) in densinite groundmass of sample 14712 from Na Hong basin in white light (A) and in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).
$\square \mathrm{CO}$





Figure 4.27 Photomicrographs of exsudatinite (Ex) in huminite (Hum) and association of disc-shaped Botryococcus-type telalginite (Bo), lamalginite and pyrite (Py) in groundmass of fluorescing amorphous organic matter and liptodetrinite of sample 14690 Ban Pa Kha subbasin, Li basin in white light (A) in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.28 Photomicrographs of gelinite huminite (Hum) with exsudatinite in cleates and association of disc-shaped Botryococcus-type telalginite and lamalginite in groundmass of fluorescing amorphous organic matter and liptodetrinite of sample 14693 from Li basin in white light (A) in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).
absent. The non fluorescing mineral matter content range from 11.9 to 12.8 percent and pyrite is 5.8 to 8.7 percent (Figure 4.29).

In all samples, the lamalginite show laminated and filamentous morphology and telalginite (Botryococcus) generally show sheet-like and disc shaped forms. Under fluorescence-inducing blue light, they display yellow to yellowish brown.

In groundmass of all samples, the fluorescing AOM is found associated with liptodetrinite and non fluoresing mineral matter. The Fluorescing AOM considered to be derived from alginite and is generally irregular morphology and in fluorescinginducing blue light display orange to orange brown color. The liptodetrinites also considered to be derived from alginite. They display dark yellow to yellowish brown color. The sporinite generally display yellow color and present in all samples.

Random reflectance data ( $\% \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) determined from three samples from Li basin range from $0.36 \%$ to $0.40 \% \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ (Table 4.11).

### 4.4.4 Mae Sot basin

The macerals of the sample from the Mae Sot basin are given in the Table 4.12. The liptinite content is high proportion ( $\sim 87$ percent). The liptinitic material is principally composed of laminated lamalginite, fluorescing amorphous organic matter, telalginite, predominantly with morphology similar to the extant algae Botryococcus, liptodetrinite (Figures 4.30, 4.31 and 4.32). The huminite content ranges from 1.8 to 2.6 percent (Figure 4.33). The inertinite group is absent. The non-fluorescing mineral matter content ranges from 9.2 to 9.7 percent. Pyrite content is from 1.9 to 2.1 percent.

Sample 14700 is characterized by having high proportions laminated lamalginite (up to $\sim 64 \%$ ), compact structurelass fluorescing AOM and small amount of liptodetrinite but no telalginite. In sample 14702 telalginite and liptodetrinite are found in small amount. Under fluorescence-inducing blue light, the laminated lamalginite display yellowish orange color while telalginite display yellow color. The fluorescing AOM and liptodetrinite display yellowish brown and considered to be derived from lamalginite.


Figure 4.29 Photomicrographs of framboidal pyrite (Py) and association of discshaped Botryococcus-type telalginite (Bo) and lamalginite in groundmass of fluorescing amorphous organic matter and liptodetrinite of sample 14693 from Li basin in cross polarize light (A) and in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.30 Photomicrographs of framboidal pyrite (Py) (white in polarize light and black in blue light) in homogeneous AOM which considered mainly to be derived from alginite of sample 14700 from Mae Sot basin in cross polarize light (A); in fluo-rescence-inducing blue light (B).



Figure 4.31 Photomicrographs of homogeneous AOM which considered mainly to be derived from alginite of sample 14700 from Mae Sot basin in cross polarize light (A); in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.32 Photomicrographs of disc-shaped Botryococcus-type telalginite (Bo) in pyrite (Py) rich liptodetrinite groundmass of sample 14702 from Mae Sot basin in cross polarize light (A) in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.33 Photomicrographs of disc-shaped Botryococcus-type telalginite (B) in liptodetrinite groundmass and exsudatinite (Ex) filled in pore of funginite (Fun) of sample 14702 from Mae Sot basin in white light (A); in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).
composed of laminated lamalginite, liptodetrinite, fluorescing amorphous organic matter, exsudatinite, telalginite, predominantly with morphology similar to theextant

Random reflectance data ( $\% \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) determined from two samples from Mae Sot samples are $0.35 \%$ and $0.37 \% \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ (Table 4.11).

### 4.4.5 P-SK well, Phitsanulok basin

The macerals of samples from the P-SK well are given in Table 4.12. The liptinite content ranges from 45.30 to 79.40 percent. The liptinitic material is principally composed of exsudatinite, fluorescing amorphous organic matter, liptodetrinite, laminated lamalginite, telalginite, predominantly with morphology similar to the extant algae Botryococcus, resinite, and sporinite (Figures 4.34, 4.354 .36 and 4.37). The huminite content ranges from 4.9 to 9.4 percent (Figures 4.38, 4.39 and 4.40). The inertinite content is absent (Figure 4.41). The non-fluorescing mineral matter content ranges from 14.4 to 43.70 percent. Pyrite content is from 2.1 to 3.5 percent (Figure 4.42). In sample 14728,14732 and 14737 show high proportion of exsudationite content ( $\sim 30 \%$ ) and followed by fluorescing AOM and resinite. The lamalginite, telalginite and liptodetrinite are absent.

Other samples show high proportion of liptodetrinite, laminated and filamentous morphology lamalginite, fluorescing AOM and followed by telalginite. Resinite presents in some samples. The sporinite is only present in sample 14755. Cutinite is absent. Under fluorescence-inducing blue light, the exsudatinite displays pale yellow to yellowish orange color. The fluorescing AOM and liptodetrinite display yellowish brown color. The lamalginite displays yellowish orange color and telalginite displays yellow color in blue light. The resinite displays yellowish brown while sporinite displays yellow to yellowish orange color.

### 4.4.6 Suphanburi basin

## SP1 well

Random reflectance data ( $\% \mathrm{R}_{0}$ ) determined from ten samples from P-SK range from $0.40 \%$ and $0.66 \% \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ (Table 4.13).
Table 4.12: Organic composition and vitrinite reflectance of the samples from P-SK well, Phitsanulok basin.

| Sample | Depth (m) |  |  |  | Liptini |  |  |  |  | Lipt | Hum | Inert | Non-fl | Py | $\begin{gathered} \text { VR } \\ \left(\% \mathrm{R}_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Telalg | Lamalg | Fl. AOM | Lipto | Sp | Cu | Ex | Re |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Vol.\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vol. \% |  |  | Vol.\% |  |  |
| 14728 | 1,250-1,300 | 0 | 0 | 14.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30.2 | 0.9 | 45.3 | 9.4 | 0 | 43.2 | 2.1 | 0.4 |
| 14732 | 1,450-1,500 | 0 | 0 | 16.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.1 | 0.5 | 45.7 | 7.9 | 0 | 43.7 | 2.7 | 0.42 |
| 14737 | 1,700-1,750 | 0 | 0 | 18.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.7 | 0.1 | 48 | 8.2 | 0 | 40.3 | 3.5 | 0.47 |
| 14742 | 1,950-2,000 | 2.7 | 13.3 | 14.2 | 27.4 | 0 | 0 | 15.4 | 0 | 73 | 7.5 | 0 | 17.2 | 2.3 | 0.49 |
| 14745 | 2,100-2,150 | 2.5 | 18.3 | 13.5 | 30.4 | 0 | 0 | 11.2 | 0 | 75.9 | 6.4 | 0 | 15.6 | 2.1 | 0.52 |
| 14748 | 2,250-2,300 | 0.8 | 22.3 | 13.2 | 30.1 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 0.5 | 79.4 | 8.1 | 0 | 14.4 | 3.5 | 0.54 |
| 14755 | 2,600-2,650 | 2.1 | 19.4 | 14.3 | 28.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 9.4 | 0 | 73.5 | 7.8 | 1.1 | 15.3 | 2.9 | 0.57 |
| 14759 | 2,800-2,850 | 1.9 | 16.5 | 16.9 | 32.7 | 0 | 0 | 6.3 | 0 | 74.3 | 7.1 | 0 | 15.5 | 3.1 | 0.6 |
| 14761 | 2,900-2,950 | 0.6 | 18.2 | 15.7 | 33.1 | 0 | 0 | 3.7 | 0 | 71.3 | 6.3 | 0 | 19.2 | 3.2 | 0.62 |
| 14763 | 3,000-3,050 | 0.6 | 16.1 | 17.2 | 33.2 | 0 | 0 | 4.1 | 0 | 71.2 | 4.9 | 0 | 21.1 | 2.8 | 0.66 |

Telalg: telalginite; Lamalg: lamalginite; Fl. AOM: fluorescing amorphous organic matter; Lipto: liptodetrinite; Sp: sporinite;
Cu: cutinite; Ex: exsudatinite; Re: resenite; Lipt: liptinite; Hum: huminite; Inert: inertinite; Non-fl: non-fluoresencing mineral
matter; Py: pyrite; VR: vitrinite reflectance


Figure 4.34 Photomicrographs of disc-shaped Botryococcus-type telalginite (Bo) in liptodetrinite and fluorescing amorphous organic matter groundmass of sample 14742 from P-SK well in cross polarize light (A); in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.35 Photomicrographs of filamentous lamalginite (Lam) in liptodetrinite and fluorescing amorphous organic matter groundmass of sample 14745 from from P-SK well in fluorescence-inducing blue light (A and B). Greenish fluorescing lines are exsudationite (Ex) of low number carbon chain.


Figure 4.36 Photomicrograph of cutinite $(\mathrm{Cu})$ and resinite $(\mathrm{Re})$ in the groundmass of Botryococcus algae of sample 14755 from P-SK well in fluorescence-inducing blue light.


Figure 4.37 Photomicrograph of resinite (Re) and exsudatinite (Ex) expelled into cleats of huminite of sample 14737 from P-SK well in fluorescence-inducing blue light.


Figure 4.38 Photomicrographs of exsudatinite (Ex) intruded into cleats of huminite of sample 14728 from P-SK well in cross polarize light (A); in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.39 Photomicrographs of exsudatinite (Ex) intruded into cleats of huminite, and pyrite (Py) of sample 14748 from P-SK well in white light (A); in fluorescenceinducing blue light (B).


Figure 4.40 Photomicrograph of huminite (Hum), funginite (Fun) and semifusinite (Semi-fu) in groundmass of Liptodetrinite sample 14755 from P-SK well in white light.


Figure 4.41 Photomicrograph of inertinite? (In?) and huminite (Hum) of sample 14737 from P-SK well in white light.

| Sample | Depth (m) |  |  |  | Liptini |  |  |  |  | Lipt | Hum | Inert | Non-fl | Py | $\begin{gathered} \text { VR } \\ \left(\% \mathbf{R}_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Telalg | Lamalg | Fl. AOM | Lipto | Sp | Cu | Ex | Re |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Vol.\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vol. \% |  |  | Vol.\% |  |  |
| 11725 | 1,440-1,450 | 11.4 | 28.3 | 6.6 | 12.4 | 0 | 0 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 70 | 10.8 | 0.9 | 15.4 | 2.9 | 0.59 |
| 11726 | 1,455-1,465 | 10.2 | 30.3 | 6.5 | 12.2 | 0 | 0 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 70 | 11.4 | 0.8 | 15.1 | 2.7 | 0.61 |
| 11731 | 1,530-1,545 | 9.7 | 36.8 | 6.7 | 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 5.3 | 2.3 | 73.3 | 9.7 | 0 | 14.7 | 2.3 | 0.65 |
| 11743 | 1,710-1,725 | 10.6 | 35.7 | 6.3 | 12.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 8.4 | 3.5 | 77.5 | 6.3 | 0 | 13.6 | 2.6 | 0.61 |
| 11746 | 1,755-1,770 | 7.1 | 33.6 | 9.2 | 14.9 | 1.1 | 0 | 7.2 | 2.5 | 75.6 | 7.1 | 0 | 15.1 | 2.2 | 0.75 |
| 11753 | 1,945-1,960 | 5.3 | 33.7 | 11.3 | 18.7 | 1.3 | 0 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 78.4 | 6.9 | 0 | 12.2 | 2.5 | - |
| 11754 | 1,960-1,975 | 4.1 | 33.6 | 14.7 | 19.3 | 0 | 0 | 7.3 | 2.6 | 81.6 | 7.5 | 0 | 8.1 | 2.8 | 0.76 |
| 11761 | 2,135-2,150 | 3.4 | 28 | 13.9 | 11.5 | 0 | 0 | 9.6 | 6.4 | 72.8 | 7.4 | 1.2 | 14.7 | 3.9 | 0.78 |
| 11763 | 2,165-2,180 | 2.3 | 22.7 | 11.4 | 15.4 | 0 | 0 | 10.9 | 8.2 | 70.9 | 10.9 | 0.7 | 15.3 | 2.2 | 0.77 |
| 11767 | 2,225-2,240 | 2.7 | 28.4 | 12.8 | 10.5 | 0 | 0 | 10.5 | 5.6 | 70.5 | 12.7 | 1.4 | 13.3 | 2.1 |  |
| 11770 | 2,480-2,485 | 4.9 | 29.4 | 13.6 | 8.4 | 0 | 0 | 9.8 | 4.7 | 70.8 | 12.3 | 0.7 | 13.5 | 2.7 | 0.92 |
| 11776 | 2,575-2,590 | 3.3 | 30.6 | 14.4 | 9.5 | 0 | 0 | 12.6 | 3.6 | 74 | 12.2 | 1.3 | 10.1 | 2.4 | 1.23 |
| 11781 | 2,655-2,670 | 2.6 | 28.4 | 9.7 | 14.1 | 0 | 0 | 11.5 | 2.3 | 68.6 | 14.8 | 0.6 | 13.8 | 2.2 |  |
| 11783 | 2,705-2,720 | 3.6 | 26.5 | 10.3 | 17.9 | 0.8 | 0 | 7.3 | 3.1 | 69.5 | 13.3 | 0.3 | 14.2 | 2.7 | 1.35 |

Telalg: telalginite; Lamalg: lamalginite; Fl. AOM: fluorescing amorphous organic matter; Lipto: liptodetrinite; Sp: sporinite; Cu : cutinite; Ex: exsudatinite; Re: resenite; Lipt: liptinite; Hum: huminite; Inert: inertinite; Non-fl: non-fluorescing mineral matter; Py: pyrite; VR: vitrinite reflectance, -: non measurement.


Figure 4.42 Photomicrographs of exsudatinite (Ex) surrounded of quartz grains (Qtz) of sample 14728 from P-SK well in cross polarize light (A); in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).

The macerals of samples from the SP1 well are given in Table 4.13. The liptinite content ranges from 68.6 to 81.6 percent. The liptinitic material is principallyalgae Botryococcus, resinite and sporinie (Figures 4.43, 4.44 and 4.45). The huminite group is 6.3 to 14.8 percent (Figure 4.46). The inertinite content ranges from 0 to 1.4 persent. The non-fluorescing mineral matter content ranges from 8.1 to 15.4 percent (Figures 4.47 and 4.48 ). Pyrite content ranges from 2.1 to 3.9 percent (Figure 4.49).

In all samples, the fluorescence properties of liptrinite showed a range of yellowish orange to yellowish brown. The lamalginites show laminated and filamentous morphology. Telalginites generally show sheet-like and disc-shaped forms. Under fluorescence-inducing blue light, they display yellow to yellowish brown color. In groundmass of all samples, the fluorescing AOM are found associated with liptodetrinite and non fluorescing mineral matter. The fluorescing AOM displays orange to orange brown color. The liptodetrinites display dark yellow to yellowish brown colour. The sporinite generally displays yellow color and presents in some of the samples. The exsudatinite displays yellow to yellowish brown color and presents in all samples. The resinite displays yellowish orange color and presents in all samples.

Random reflectance data ( $\% \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) determined from eleven samples from SP1 range from $0.59 \%$ and $1.35 \% \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ (Table 4.13).

## SP2 well

The macerals of samples from the SP2 well are given in Table 4.14. The liptinite content ranges from 59.6 to 81.3 percent. The liptinitic material is principally composed of laminated lamalginite, liptodetrinite, telalginite, predominantly with morphology similar to the extant algae Botryococcus, fluorescing amorphous organic matter, exsudatinite, resinite followed by sporinite and cutinite (Figures 4.50, 4.51, 4.52 and 4.53 ). The huminite ranges from 8.1 to 15.7 percent (Figure 4.54). The inertinite content is ranging from 0 to 5.2 percent. The non fluorescing mineral matter is from 6.3 to 16.8 percent. Pyrite content ranges from 2.1 to 4.1 percent.

In all samples, the fluorescence properties of liptinite showed a range of yellowish orange to yellowish brown. The lamalginites show laminated and filamentous morphology. Telalginite generally show sheet-like and disc-shaped forms.


Figure 4.43 Photomicrograph of disc-shaped Botryococcus-type telalginite (Bo) and pyrite (Py) in liptodetrinite (Lip) and fluorescing amorphous organic matter groundmass of sample 11725 from SP1 well in fluorescence-inducing blue light.


Figure 4.44 Photomicrograph of compacted lamalginite (Lam) and disc-shaped Bo-tryococcus-type telalginite (Bo) in groundmass of fluorescing amorphous organic matter and liptodetrinite of sample 11753 from SP1 well in fluorescence-inducing blue light. The brown color of lamaginite indicated the partially expelled of hydrocarbon.


Figure 4.45 Photomicrograph of lamalginite (Lam) and exsudatinite (Ex) surrounded quartz grains (Qtz) of sample 11753 from SP1 well in fluorescence-inducing blue light.


Figure 4.46 Photomicrographs of huminite (Hum) of sample 11726 from SP1 well in white light. $\square$
$\square$
$\square$

r



Figure 4.47 Photomicrographs of non-fluorescing mineral matter (Non-fl) and association of disc-shaped Botryococcus-type telalginite (Bo) and lamalginite (Lam) in groundmass of fluorescing amorphous organic matter and liptodetrinite of sample 11725 from SP1 well in fluorescence-inducing blue light.


Figure 4.48 Photomicrograph of exsudatinite (Ex), resinite (Re) and non-fluorescing mineral matter (Non-fl) of sample 11743 from SP1 well in fluorescence-inducing blue light.


Figure 4.49 Photomicrographs of lamalginite (Lam) and framboidal pyrite (Py) of sample 11726 from SP1 well in white light (A); in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).
Table 4.14: Organic composition and vitrinite reflectance of the samples from SP2 well, Suphanburi basin.

| Sample | Depth (m) | Liptinite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lipt | Hum | Inert | Non-fl | Py | VR <br> (\% $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Telalg | Lamalg | Fl. AOM | Lipto | Sp | Cu | Ex | $\mathbf{R e}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Vol.\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vol. \% |  |  | Vol.\% |  |  |
| 11799 | 1,185.67-1,200.91 | 13.5 | 32.2 | 7.9 | 18.7 | 0.7 | 0 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 76.2 | 10.8 | 1.7 | 9.1 | 2.2 | 0.46 |
| 11800 | 1,200.91-1216.15 | 13.7 | 33.4 | 9.8 | 17.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74.4 | 11.2 | 0 | 11.5 | 2.9 | 0.45 |
| 11802 | 1,237.49-1,249.68 | 12.5 | 34.5 | 9.8 | 19.3 | 1.3 | 0 | 3.9 | 0 | 81.3 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 0.48 |
| 11810 | 1,359.41-1,371.60 | 11.9 | 32.8 | 12.2 | 17.6 | 0 | 0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 78.5 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 10.7 | 2.4 | 0.53 |
| 11817 | 1,466.09-1,478.28 | 10.3 | 34.6 | 10.1 | 16.3 | 0 | 0 | 3.7 | 0 | 75 | 9.1 | 1.4 | 11.7 | 2.8 | 0.54 |
| 11820 | 1,511.81-1,524.00 | 10.2 | 37.5 | 10.6 | 13.3 | 0 | 0 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 76.2 | 10.7 | 0.8 | 9.4 | 2.9 | 0.55 |
| 11823 | 1,557.53-1,569.72 | 11.4 | 37.6 | 4.6 | 12.4 | 4.2 | 0 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 74.9 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 10.2 | 4.2 | 0.55 |
| 11825 | 1,642.87-1,645.92 | 9.6 | 33.9 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 71.6 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 15.7 | 2.5 | 0.58 |
| 11829 | 1,697.74-1,703.83 | 10.6 | 30.9 | 11.4 | 7.3 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 5.1 | 67.6 | 11.9 | 2.4 | 15.5 | 2.6 | 0.62 |
| 11832 | 1,740.41-1,752.60 | 13.8 | 29.7 | 10.5 | 12.6 | 0 | 0 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 71.8 | 10.8 | 1.5 | 13.6 | 2.3 | 0.68 |
| 11835 | 1,819.66-1,828.80 | 12.6 | 27.1 | 10.1 | 11.8 | 2.3 | 0 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 71 | 11.8 | 2.8 | 10.3 | 4.1 | 0.66 |
| 11839 | 1,880.62-1,889.76 | 9.7 | 25.8 | 9.9 | 14.6 | 0.9 | 0 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 67.4 | 11.3 | 2.7 | 14.8 | 3.8 |  |
| 11840 | 1,908.05-1,917.19 | 8.4 | 25.3 | 7.1 | 10.2 | 0 | 0 | 8.6 | 0 | 59.6 | 15.7 | 5.2 | 16.8 | 2.7 | 0.72 |
| 11843 | 1,959.86-1,972.06 | 5.2 | 22.6 | 11.7 | 12.8 | 0 | 0 | 9.3 | 2.3 | 63.9 | 14.2 | 4.2 | 15.6 | 2.1 | - |

Telalg: telalginite; Lamalg: lamalginite; Fl. AOM: fluorescing amorphous organic matter; Lipto: liptodetrinite; Sp: sporinite; Cu : cutinite; Ex: exsudatinite; Re: resenite; Lipt: liptinite; Hum: huminite; Inert: inertinite; Non-fl: non-fluorescing mineral matter; Py: pyrite; VR: vitrinite reflectance, -: non measurement, -: non measurement.


Figure 4.50 Photomicrograph of association of disc-shaped Botryococcus-type telalginite (B) and lamalginite (Lam) in groundmass of fluorescing amorphous organic matter and liptodetrinite of sample 11799 from SP2 well in fluorescence-inducing blue light.


Figure 4.51 Photomicrograph of lamalginite (Lam) and fluorescing amorphous organic matter (Fl AOM) of sample 11802 from SP2 well in fluorescence-inducing blue light.


Figure 4.52 Photomicrograph of lamalginite (Lam) in groundmass of liptodetrinite and pyrite (black) of sample 11820 from SP2 well in fluorescence-inducing blue light.


Figure 4.53 Photomicrograph of resinite (Re) and lamalginite (Lam) of sample 11829 from SP2 well in fluorescence-inducing blue light.


Figure 4.54 Photomicrographs of disc-shaped Botryococcus-type telalginite (B), lamalginite (Lam), huminite (Hum) and framboidal pyrite (Py) of sample 11825 from SP2 well in cross polarize light (A) and in fluorescence-inducing blue light (B).

Under fluorescence-inducing blue light, they display yellow to yellowish brown. In groundmass of all samples, the fluorescing AOM displays orange to orange brown color. The liptodetrinites display dark yellow to yellowish brown color. The exsudatintes display yellow to yellowish brown color and present in all samples. The resinite display yellowish orange colour and present in all samples. The sporinites generally display yellow color and present in some of samples.

Random reflectance data ( $\% \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) determined from twelve samples from SP2 range from $0.45 \%$ and $0.72 \% R_{o}$ (Table 4.14).

