



APPENDIX

ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่

Copyright© by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

Appendix A: The Original Results of House Price Dynamics

Appendix 1.1: Panel Unit Root Test of LNHP

methods	levels		First difference	
	statistic	probabilities	statistic	probabilities
Null Hypothesis: unit root (common unit root process)				
LLC				
Individual effects	0.83479	0.7981	-6.75024	0.0000
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	-6.76076	0.0000	-8.44582	0.0000
None	5.84735	1.0000	-2.01560	0.0219
Breitung				
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	0.11048	0.5440	-4.00844	0.0000
Null: unit root (individual unit root process)				
IPS				
Individual effects	6.4037	1.0000	-2.9804	0.0014
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	-1.09093	0.1377	-1.31795	0.0938
Maddala and Wu and Choi				
ADF-Fisher Chi-square				
Individual effects	10.3175	1.0000	74.1920	0.0016
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	58.3990	0.0476	67.9015	0.0069
None	7.91100	1.0000	39.4237	0.5847
PP-Fisher Chi-square				
Individual effects	16.0221	0.9999	78.5405	0.0005
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	32.0489	0.8668	85.2919	0.0001
None	1.04507	1.0000	36.4015	0.7542

Appendix 1.2: Panel Unit Root Test of LNPPi

methods	levels		First difference	
	statistic	probabilities	statistic	probabilities
Null Hypothesis: unit root (common unit root process)				
LLC				
Individual effects	-1.63013	0.0515	-13.1051	0.0000
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	-8.14113	0.0000	-14.0339	0.0000
None	45.8426	1.0000	-2.05386	0.0200
Breitung				
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	-0.03203	0.4872	-4.56022	0.0000
Null: unit root (individual unit root process)				
IPS				
Individual effects	5.41100	1.0000	-5.52897	0.0000
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	-0.17321	0.4312	-3.29971	0.0005
Maddala and Wu and Choi				
ADF-Fisher Chi-square				
Individual effects	19.2807	0.9990	108.181	0.0000
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	47.3974	0.2619	96.2305	0.0000
None	0.32351	1.0000	40.2922	0.5461
PP-Fisher Chi-square				
Individual effects	46.7350	0.2841	107.015	0.0000
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	33.4780	0.8231	125.739	0.0000
None	0.30003	1.0000	52.6310	0.1259

Appendix 1.3: Panel Unit Root Test of LNGDP

methods	levels		First difference	
	statistic	probabilities	statistic	probabilities
Null Hypothesis: unit root (common unit root process)				
LLC				
Individual effects	-1.65425	0.0490	-7.57520	0.0000
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	-3.04285	0.0012	-9.66857	0.0000
None	41.1299	1.0000	-1.34374	0.0895
Breitung				
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	1.81553	0.9653	-2.35739	0.0092
Null: unit root (individual unit root process)				
IPS				
Individual effects	4.51989	1.0000	-3.30829	0.0005
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	1.50235	0.9335	-1.56551	0.0587
Maddala and Wu and Choi				
ADF-Fisher Chi-square				
Individual effects	21.3038	0.9967	77.1734	0.0008
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	31.3245	0.8863	69.8472	0.0044
None	1.31670	1.0000	28.9282	0.9375
PP-Fisher Chi-square				
Individual effects	45.4689	0.3297	100.271	0.0000
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	48.3792	0.2310	102.703	0.0000
None	0.00423	1.0000	28.8100	0.9395

Appendix 1.4: Panel Unit Root Test of LNLP

methods	levels		First difference	
	statistic	probabilities	statistic	probabilities
Null Hypothesis: unit root (common unit root process)				
LLC				
Individual effects	1.87089	0.9693	-10.6604	0.0000
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	-8.86227	0.0000	-10.7376	0.0000
None	8.94595	1.0000	-3.19357	0.0007
Breitung				
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	4.75692	1.0000	-2.09392	0.0181
Null: unit root (individual unit root process)				
IPS				
Individual effects	7.17840	1.0000	-4.99815	0.0000
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	-1.56113	0.0592	-2.22722	0.0130
Maddala and Wu and Choi				
ADF-Fisher Chi-square				
Individual effects	13.1420	1.0000	105.078	0.0000
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	73.3749	0.0019	86.7941	0.0001
None	5.52911	1.0000	57.4299	0.0567
PP-Fisher Chi-square				
Individual effects	27.4906	0.9590	126.835	0.0000
Individual effects, individual Linear trends	87.8636	0.0000	120.964	0.0000
None	3.78591	1.0000	62.0175	0.0238

Appendix 1.5: Panel Unit Root Test of LNR

methods	levels		First difference	
	statistic	probabilities	statistic	probabilities
Null Hypothesis: unit root (common unit root process)				
LLC				
None	-0.64378	0.2599	-17.5445	0.0000
Null: unit root (individual unit root process)				
IPS				
Maddala and Wu and Choi				
ADF-Fisher Chi-square				
None	20.6856	0.9977	235.624	0.0000
PP-Fisher Chi-square				
None	20.6938	0.9976	190.641	0.0000

**Appendix 1.6: Panel Cointegration test-Pedroni Test (Engle-Granger Based) of
LNHP, LNGDP, LNR, LNPPi and LNLP with
No Deterministic Trend**

Pedroni Residual Cointegration Test
Series: LNHP LNGDP LNR LNPPi LNLP
Date: 11/07/11 Time: 19:31
Sample: 2000 2010
Included observations: 231
Cross-sections included: 21
Null Hypothesis: No cointegration
Trend assumption: No deterministic trend
Automatic lag length selection based on SIC with a max lag of 0
Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Alternative hypothesis: common AR coefs. (within-dimension)

	<u>Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>	<u>Weighted Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>
Panel v-Statistic	-1.335929	0.9092	-3.032113	0.9988
Panel rho-Statistic	3.915175	1.0000	3.702853	0.9999
Panel PP-Statistic	-0.621911	0.2670	-6.529957	0.0000
Panel ADF-Statistic	-0.588806	0.2780	-3.926317	0.0000

Alternative hypothesis: individual AR coefs. (between-dimension)

	<u>Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>
Group rho-Statistic	5.571032	1.0000
Group PP-Statistic	-7.171826	0.0000
Group ADF-Statistic	-3.472677	0.0003

**Appendix 1.7: Panel Cointegration test-Pedroni Test (Engle-Granger Based) of
LNHP, LNGDP, LNR, LNPPI and LNLP with
Deterministic Intercept and Trend**

Pedroni Residual Cointegration Test

Series: LNHP LNGDP LNR LNPPI LNLP

Date: 11/07/11 Time: 19:33

Sample: 2000 2010

Included observations: 231

Null Hypothesis: No cointegration

Trend assumption: Deterministic intercept and trend

Automatic lag length selection based on SIC with a max lag of 0

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Alternative hypothesis: common AR coefs. (within-dimension)

	<u>Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>	Weighted	<u>Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>
Panel v-Statistic	-0.629569	0.7355	-4.683898	1.0000	
Panel rho-Statistic	5.349852	1.0000	5.498969	1.0000	
Panel PP-Statistic	-4.497034	0.0000	-9.430848	0.0000	
Panel ADF-Statistic	-2.375495	0.0088	-3.476944	0.0003	

Alternative hypothesis: individual AR coefs. (between-dimension)

	<u>Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>
Group rho-Statistic	6.788937	1.0000
Group PP-Statistic	-13.29691	0.0000
Group ADF-Statistic	-4.973705	0.0000

**Appendix 1.8: Panel Cointegration test-Pedroni Test (Engle-Granger Based) of
LNHP, LNGDP, LNR, LNPPI and LNLP with
No Deterministic Intercept or Trend**

Pedroni Residual Cointegration Test

Series: LNHP LNGDP LNR LNPPI LNLP

Date: 11/07/11 Time: 19:33

Sample: 2000 2010

Included observations: 231

Cross-sections included: 21

Null Hypothesis: No cointegration

Trend assumption: No deterministic intercept or trend

Automatic lag length selection based on SIC with a max lag of 1

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Alternative hypothesis: common AR coefs. (within-dimension)

	<u>Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>	<u>Weighted Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>
Panel v-Statistic	-2.249144	0.9877	-3.320761	0.9996
Panel rho-Statistic	3.186642	0.9993	2.585844	0.9951
Panel PP-Statistic	1.122805	0.8692	-2.995211	0.0014
Panel ADF-Statistic	0.113260	0.5451	-3.780778	0.0001

Alternative hypothesis: individual AR coefs. (between-dimension)

	<u>Statistic</u>	<u>Prob.</u>
Group rho-Statistic	5.104502	1.0000
Group PP-Statistic	-3.933034	0.0000
Group ADF-Statistic	-6.956560	0.0000

**Appendix 1.9: Panel Cointegration test-Kao Residual Cointegration Test of
LNHP, LNGDP, LNR, LNPPI and LNLP with
No Deterministic Trend**

Kao Residual Cointegration Test

Series: LNHP LNR LNPPI LNLP LNGDP

Date: 12/18/11 Time: 10:03

Sample: 2000 2010

Included observations: 231

Null Hypothesis: No cointegration

Trend assumption: No deterministic trend

Automatic lag length selection based on SIC with a max lag of 2

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

	t-Statistic	Prob.
ADF	-6.963081	0.0000
Residual variance	0.001166	
HAC variance	0.001910	

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Equation

Dependent Variable: D(RESID)

Method: Least Squares

Date: 12/18/11 Time: 10:03

Sample (adjusted): 2002 2010

Included observations: 189 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
RESID(-1)	-0.330167	0.037018	-8.919085	0.0000
D(RESID(-1))	0.466821	0.054794	8.519534	0.0000
R-squared	0.428574	Mean dependent var		0.001485
Adjusted R-squared	0.425518	S.D. dependent var		0.034813
S.E. of regression	0.026386	Akaike info criterion		-4.421418
Sum squared resid	0.130196	Schwarz criterion		-4.387114
Log likelihood	419.8240	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-4.407521
Durbin-Watson stat	2.176002			

Appendix 1.10: Panel Dynamic OLS Estimation-Fixed/Random Effects Testing-related Random Effects-Hausman Test

Correlated Random Effects – Hausman Test

Equation: Untitled

Test cross-section random effects

Test Summary	Chi-Sq. Statistic	Chi-Sq. d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section random	35.364479	4	0.0000

Cross-section random effects test comparisons:

Variable	Fixed	Random	Var(Diff.)
LNR	0.114160	0.134970	0.000014
LNPP1	0.122186	0.187023	0.000412
LNLP	0.097717	0.121352	0.000046
LNGDP	0.257631	0.191264	0.000264

Appendix 1.11: Panel OLS Estimation –Fixed Effects Estimation

Dependent Variable: LNHP
 Method: Panel Least Squares
 Date: 11/07/11 Time: 19:35
 Sample: 2000 2010
 Periods included: 11
 Cross-sections included: 21
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 231

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LNGDP	0.286339	0.029062	9.852735	0.0000
LNR	0.122391	0.050394	2.428671	0.0160
LNPP1	0.129158	0.042946	3.007439	0.0030
LNLP	0.016475	0.004887	3.371361	0.0009
C	-3.436896	0.215862	-15.92173	0.0000

Effects Specification

Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

R-squared	0.918475	Mean dependent var	0.239664
Adjusted R-squared	0.908977	S.D. dependent var	0.211332
S.E. of regression	0.063759	Akaike info criterion	-2.565502
Sum squared resid	0.837435	Schwarz criterion	-2.192946
Log likelihood	321.3155	Hannan-Quinn criter.	-2.415237
F-statistic	96.70099	Durbin-Watson stat	0.345185
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

Appendix 1.12: Panel Dynamic OLS Estimation –Fixed Effects Estimation

Dependent Variable: LNHP
 Method: Panel Least Squares
 Date: 11/07/11 Time: 19:38
 Sample (adjusted): 2001 2010
 Periods included: 10
 Cross-sections included: 21
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 210

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
LNGDP	0.052414	0.018998	2.758877	0.0063
LNR	0.418755	0.127910	3.273829	0.0012
LNPPPI	0.232356	0.038452	6.042689	0.0000
LNLP	0.083181	0.024565	3.386104	0.0009
D(LNGDP-1)	-0.069156	0.223520	-0.309395	0.7573
D(LNR-1)	-0.178315	0.128842	-1.383981	0.1679
D(LNPPPI-1)	0.566324	0.223924	2.529094	0.0122
D(LNLP-1)	-0.136748	0.060515	-2.259744	0.0249
C	-3.196961	0.307857	-10.38457	0.0000
R-squared	0.578486	Mean dependent var		0.263630
Adjusted R-squared	0.561710	S.D. dependent var		0.206882
S.E. of regression	0.136963	Akaike info criterion		-1.096296
Sum squared resid	3.770548	Schwarz criterion		-0.952848
Log likelihood	124.1111	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-1.038305
F-statistic	34.48160	Durbin-Watson stat		0.243698
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

Appendix B: The Original Results of Detecting Bubble Data

Appendix 2.1: Panel Unit Root Test –IPS Test of LNHP with Individual Effects (at level, 1-st difference and 2-st difference)

Null Hypothesis: Unit root (individual unit root process)
Series: HP
Date: 12/18/11 Time: 12:27
Sample: 2000 2010
Exogenous variables: Individual effects, individual linear trends
Automatic selection of maximum lags
Automatic lag length selection based on SIC: 0 to 1
Total number of observations: 198
Cross-sections included: 21

Method	Statistic	Prob.**
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-0.59748	0.2751

** Probabilities are computed assuming asymptotic normality

Null Hypothesis: Unit root (individual unit root process)
Series: D(HP)
Date: 12/18/11 Time: 12:27
Sample: 2000 2010
Exogenous variables: Individual effects, individual linear trends
Automatic selection of maximum lags
Automatic lag length selection based on SIC: 0 to 1
Total number of observations: 178
Cross-sections included: 21

Method	Statistic	Prob.**
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-1.61110	0.0536

** Probabilities are computed assuming asymptotic normality

Series: D(HP,2)
Date: 12/18/11 Time: 12:27
Sample: 2000 2010
Exogenous variables: Individual effects, individual linear trends
Automatic selection of maximum lags
Automatic lag length selection based on SIC: 0 to 1
Total number of observations: 152
Cross-sections included: 21

Method	Statistic	Prob.**
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-1.81945	0.0344

**Appendix 2.2: Panel Unit Root Test –IPS Test of LNRP with Individual Effects
(at level, 1-st difference and 2-st difference)**

Null Hypothesis: Unit root (individual unit root process)
 Series: RP
 Date: 12/18/11 Time: 12:28
 Sample: 2000 2010
 Exogenous variables: Individual effects, individual linear trends
 Automatic selection of maximum lags
 Automatic lag length selection based on SIC: 0 to 1
 Total number of observations: 200
 Cross-sections included: 21

Method	Statistic	Prob.**
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	1.00138	0.8417

** Probabilities are computed assuming asymptotic normality

Null Hypothesis: Unit root (individual unit root process)
 Series: D(RP)
 Date: 12/18/11 Time: 12:30
 Sample: 2000 2010
 Exogenous variables: Individual effects, individual linear trends
 Automatic selection of maximum lags
 Automatic lag length selection based on SIC: 0 to 1
 Total number of observations: 180
 Cross-sections included: 21

Method	Statistic	Prob.**
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-1.17760	0.1195

** Probabilities are computed assuming asymptotic normality

Null Hypothesis: Unit root (individual unit root process)
 Series: D(RP,2)
 Date: 12/18/11 Time: 12:30
 Sample: 2000 2010
 Exogenous variables: Individual effects, individual linear trends
 Automatic selection of maximum lags
 Automatic lag length selection based on SIC: 0 to 1
 Total number of observations: 156
 Cross-sections included: 21

Method	Statistic	Prob.**
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	-2.19987	0.0139

**Appendix 2.3: Panel Cointegration test-Pedroni Test (Engle-Granger Based) of
Sales Price Index and Rental Prices Index with
Deterministic Intercept and Trend**

Pedroni Residual Cointegration Test

Series: HP RP

Date: 12/18/11 Time: 12:36

Sample: 2000 2010

Included observations: 231

Cross-sections included: 21

Null Hypothesis: No cointegration

Trend assumption: Deterministic intercept and trend

Automatic lag length selection based on SIC with a max lag of 1

Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel

Alternative hypothesis: common AR coefs. (within-dimension)

	Statistic	Prob.	Weighted Statistic	Prob.
Panel v-Statistic	6.168983	0.0000	1.268941	0.1022
Panel rho-Statistic	1.684521	0.9540	2.594763	0.9953
Panel PP-Statistic	-1.117003	0.1320	0.691157	0.7553
Panel ADF-Statistic	-4.545364	0.0000	-2.642466	0.0041

Alternative hypothesis: individual AR coefs. (between-dimension)

	Statistic	Prob.
Group rho-Statistic	3.698499	0.9999
Group PP-Statistic	0.405775	0.6575
Group ADF-Statistic	-2.970171	0.0015

CURRICULUM VITAE

Name

Miss Lianting Cheng

Date of Birth

28th March 1988

Educational Background

2006-2010: Bachelor of Economic,
Faculty of Economic,
Oxbridge College, Kunming University
of Science and Technology, PRC