Leaf internal structure as seen from transverse sections shown in Figures 108 and 109 comprises three tissue systems as the typical leaf inner structure of dicotyledonous plants. All samples of Gymnema inodorum Decne. express similar structure. The dermal tissue is uniseriate layer of parenchymatous cells of almost round in shape with cuticle layers on both abaxial and adaxial surfaces. The cells in the upper epidermis are usually larger than the lower epidermis. Stomata are seen only in the abaxial surface. The ground tissue is organized with 1-2 layers of palisade mesophyll under the adaxial epidermis and the rest are spongy mesophyll.

Vascular bundles are of bicollateral type with xylem tissue at the centre surrounded by adaxial and abaxial phloem. Fiber strands appear inserting in the phloem tissue of the mid vein. Sclerenchyma sheath is detected around the areas under adaxial and abaxial epidermis of the mid vein (Figures 108 and 109).

Floral parts of Gymnema inodorum Decne. can be inspected from longitudinal and transverse sections of the flowers shown in Figures 110 and 111. The calyx is made up of 5 sepals. Corolla is also of 5 members with the basal part fused with those of the gynostegium. The dome-headed stigma appears on the top part of the column and the intact stamens, 5 in number, are attached to the lower part. The superior ovary is bilocular. The ovules are of basal type with free-central placentation. All floral parts obtain similar tissue systems of dermal, ground and vascular, as also seen in Figures 110 to 111. Those of the sepals resemble that of the leaf.


Figure 108 Transverse sections of Gymnema indorum Decne. leaf of various samples
CM = Chiang Mai; CR = Chiang Rai; LP = Lampang; LN = Lamphun;
$\mathbf{M H}=$ Mae Hong Son; NA = Nan; PY = Phayao; $\mathbf{P H}=\mathbf{P h r a e}$
$1=$ lower epidermis; $2=$ mesophyll; $3=$ sclerenchyma; $4=$ spongy mesophyll; $5=$ stoma;
$6=$ upper epidermis; $7=$ vascular elements


Figure 109 Transverse sections of Gymnema inodorum Decne. leaf
$1=$ abaxial phloem; $2=$ adaxial phloem; $3=$ cuticle layer; $4=$ fiber strand; $5=$ guard cell; $6=$ lateral vein; $7=$ lower epidermis; $8=$ mesophyll; $9=$ mid vein; $10=$ palisade mesophyll; $11=$ sclerenchyma sheath; $12=$ spongy mesophyll; $13=$ stoma; $14=$ stomatal pore;
$15=$ subsidiary cell; $16=$ substomatal space; $17=$ upper epidermis; $18=$ vascular strand; $19=$ xylem


Figure 110 Longitudinal and transverse sections of Gymnema inodorum Decne. flower from different samples LS = longitudinal section; TS = transverse section; CM = Chiang Mai; CR = Chiang Rai; LP = Lampang; LN = Lamphun;

MH = Mae Hong Son; NA = Nan; PY = Phayao; PH = Phrae

$$
1=\text { column; } 2=\text { ovary; } 3=\text { pedicel; } 4=\text { petal segment; } 5=\text { petal tube; } 6=\text { sepal; } 7=\text { stamen; } 8=\text { stigma }
$$

$\square$


Figure 111 Longitudinal and transverse sections of Gymnema inodorum Decne. flower

## LS = longitudinal section; TS = transverse section

$1=$ abaxial epidermis; $2=$ adaxial epidermis; $3=$ anther; $4=$ carpel; $5=$ column;
$6=$ filament; $7=$ mesophyll; $8=$ ovary; $9=$ ovule; $10=$ petal segment; $11=$ petal tube;
$12=$ pollen sac; $13=$ pollinia; $14=$ receptacle; $15=$ sepal; $16=$ stigma

## 6. Karyotypic characterization

Karyotypic studies of experimental plants were carried out corresponding with characterization of the species. The studies were aimed at developing suitable procedures of chromosome investigation of the plants. Trials were conducted on root-tip tissue preparation techniques to obtain metaphase chromosomes in perfect conditions for karyotypic evaluations, i.e. chromosome number, karyogram and karyotypic formula.

Tissue preparation techniques were examined in 3 categories, i.e. tissue sampling, pre-treatment and staining. Preparation protocol for individual plant species was then concluded from these studies. Relatedness within species of the plant samples collected from different locations was figured out from the obtained information.

### 6.1 Peliosanthes teta Andr.

### 6.1.1 Root-tip preparation

### 6.1.1.1 Sampling

Root-tips were sampled at 1-hour interval from 7.00 to $12.00 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. The roots in each treatment were then pre-treated, fixed, macerated, stained and squashed, following the regular Feulgen's squash procedure before being investigated under LM.

The results of sampling treatments revealed that the best sampling time was $10.00 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. since the cells of the root tissue were mostly in metaphase stage of mitosis. The tissue sampled at 7.00 a.m. obtained cells at prophase stage while those taken at 8.00 and $9.00 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. were in pro-metaphase. The samples collected at 11.00 and 12.00 a.m. were found already advanced to the anaphase stage (Figure 112).


Figure 112 Root-tip chromosome of Peliosanthes teta Andr. sampled at different time

### 6.1.1.2 Pre-treatment

Root-tip samples taken at 10.00 a.m. were pre-treated in para-dichlorobenzene (PDB) soloution for $1,2,3,4,5,6,7$ and 8 hour(s) at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before being macerated, stained, squashed and examined under LM. Examinations showed that pre-treatment of the samples for 6 hours gave the best result since the chromosomes contracted to their maximum and scattered well, as seen in (Figure 113).

### 6.1.1.3 Staining

The samples in this trial were taken at 10:00 a.m. and pretreated for 6 hours. They were stained in carbol fuchsin solution after maceration. Staining duration was allocated into 8 treatments, i.e. staining for 30 minutes, $1,2,3$, 4, 6, 8 and 10 hour(s). After being squashed the tissue were examined under LM and found that the best treatment was that of 6 hours staining, giving thoroughly stained chromosomes (Figure 114).

Suitable technique of root-tip tissue preparation concluded from 6.1.1.1 to 6.1.1.3 comprised sampling of the root tips by 10.00 a.m., pre-treatment for 6 hours in PDB solution and staining in carbol fuchsin for 6 hours. Chromosome counts obtained from at least 10 cells per specimens, following the procedure of preparation as stated above, gave the chromosome number of Peliosanthes teta Andr. being $2 \mathrm{n}=54$.


Figure 113 Root-tip chromosome of Peliosanthes teta Andr. pre-treated at different duration


Figure 114 Root-tip chromosome of Peliosanthes teta Andr. stained for different duration

### 6.1.2 Chromosome configuration and karyogram

Chromosome configuration and karyogram were studied from the cells containing well-scattered chromosomes at metaphase stage. Chromosome size was measured and each of the chromosome complement was classified, ranging from the largest chromosome to the smallest. Karyotypic formula was then calculated, accordingly.

### 6.1.2.1 Chiang Mai (CM) samples

Chromosomes from the whole complement were measured and classified into 3 groups, in accordance with their size. Large chromosomes were those of 6.613-3.964 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, 3 pairs altogether. The $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ pairs were metacentric, while the $3^{\text {rd }}$ pair was submetacentric. The only medium chromosomes, the $4^{\text {th }}$ pair, were 3.963-3.306 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, and acrocentric. The rest of them were small chromosomes. They were $3.305-1.315 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, comprising a total of 23 pairs. Among them, the $5^{\text {th }}, 6^{\text {th }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ pairs were acrocentric, the $8^{\text {th }}, 9^{\text {th }}, 11^{\text {th }}, 13^{\text {th }}, 14^{\text {th }}, 17^{\text {th }}$, $21^{\text {st }}$ and $24^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric and the rest were metacentric (Tables 17 and 18; Figure 115). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{S}_{16}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{24}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 17 Size (length) and type of CM Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes


Table 18 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of CM Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.240 | 3.373 | 6.613 | 0.104 | 0.510 |
| 2 | 2.835 | 3.365 | 6.200 | 0.098 | 0.543 |
| 3 | 1.258 | 2.828 | 4.085 | 0.064 | 0.692 |
| 4 | 0.988 | 2.735 | 3.723 | 0.059 | 0.735 |
| 5 | 0.833 | 2.310 | 3.143 | 0.050 | 0.735 |
| 6 | 0.713 | 2.400 | 3.113 | 0.049 | 0.771 |
| 7 | 0.645 | 1.550 | 2.195 | 0.035 | 0.706 |
| 8 | 0.665 | 1.485 | 2.150 | 0.034 | 0.691 |
| 9 | 0.710 | 1.408 | 2.118 | 0.033 | 0.665 |
| 10 | 0.870 | 1.230 | 2.100 | 0.033 | 0.586 |
| 11 | 0.693 | 1.400 | 2.093 | 0.033 | 0.669 |
| 12 | 0.778 | 1.095 | 1.873 | 0.030 | 0.585 |
| 13 | 0.665 | 1.190 | 1.855 | 0.029 | 0.642 |
| 14 | 0.678 | 1.170 | 1.848 | 0.029 | 0.633 |
| 15 | 0.793 | 1.040 | 1.833 | 0.029 | 0.568 |
| 16 | 0.760 | 1.053 | 1.813 | 0.029 | 0.581 |
| 17 | 0.628 | 1.095 | 1.723 | 0.027 | 0.636 |
| 18 | 0.678 | 0.983 | 1.660 | 0.026 | 0.592 |
| 19 | 0.793 | 0.850 | 1.643 | 0.026 | 0.518 |
| 20 | 0.733 | 0.898 | 1.630 | 0.026 | 0.551 |
| 21 | 0.618 | 0.990 | 1.608 | 0.025 | 0.616 |
| 22 | 0.648 | 0.833 | 1.480 | 0.023 | 0.563 |
| 23 | 0.618 | 0.838 | 1.455 | 0.023 | 0.576 |
| 24 | 0.530 | 0.865 | 1.395 | 0.022 | 0.620 |
| 25 | 0.570 | 0.800 | 1.370 | 0.022 | 0.584 |
| 26 | 0.560 | 0.778 | 1.338 | 0.021 | 0.581 |
| 27 | 0.630 | 0.685 | 1.315 | 0.021 | 0.521 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 115 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=54)(A)$ and karyogram $(B)$ of CM Peliosanthes teta Andr.

### 6.1.2.2 Chiang Rai (CR) samples

Chromosomes were allocated into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were $5.918-3.616 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, composed of 3 pairs. The $1^{\text {st }}$ pair was metacentric while the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ were submetacentric and acrocentric, respectively. Medium chromosomes of the $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ pairs were 3.615-2.959 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length and acrocentric. Small chromosomes were 2.958-1.315 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, composed of 22 pairs. They were metacentric in the $6^{\text {th }}, 13^{\text {th }}, 14^{\text {th }}, 18^{\text {th }}, 19^{\text {th }}$, and $22^{\text {nd }}-27^{\text {th }}$ pairs and the rest were submetacentric (Tables 19 and 20; Figure 116). Karyotypic formula was $L_{2}{ }^{m}+$ $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{S}_{22}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{22}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}$.

Table 19 Size (length) and type of CR Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes


Table 20 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of CR Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.745 | 3.173 | 5.918 | 0.093 | 0.536 |
| 2 | 1.443 | 2.993 | 4.435 | 0.070 | 0.675 |
| 3 | 0.813 | 2.880 | 3.693 | 0.058 | 0.780 |
| 4 | 1.060 | 2.530 | 3.590 | 0.056 | 0.705 |
| 5 | 0.875 | 2.210 | 3.085 | 0.048 | 0.716 |
| 6 | 1.208 | 1.633 | 2.840 | 0.045 | 0.575 |
| 7 | 1.110 | 1.688 | 2.798 | 0.044 | 0.603 |
| 8 | 0.858 | 1.748 | 2.605 | 0.041 | 0.671 |
| 9 | 0.815 | 1.683 | 2.498 | 0.039 | 0.674 |
| 10 | 0.798 | 1.620 | 2.418 | 0.038 | 0.670 |
| 11 | 0.890 | 1.418 | 2.308 | 0.036 | 0.614 |
| 12 | 0.825 | 1.240 | 2.065 | 0.032 | 0.600 |
| 13 | 0.923 | 1.113 | 2.035 | 0.032 | 0.547 |
| 14 | 0.810 | 1.140 | 1.950 | 0.031 | 0.585 |
| 15 | 0.705 | 1.240 | 1.945 | 0.031 | 0.638 |
| 16 | 0.680 | 1.145 | 1.825 | 0.029 | 0.627 |
| 17 | 0.690 | 1.100 | 1.790 | 0.028 | 0.615 |
| 18 | 0.725 | 1.060 | 1.785 | 0.028 | 0.594 |
| 19 | 0.738 | 1.040 | 1.778 | 0.028 | 0.585 |
| 20 | 0.685 | 1.088 | 1.773 | 0.028 | 0.614 |
| 21 | 0.575 | 1.168 | 1.743 | 0.027 | 0.670 |
| 22 | 0.725 | 0.963 | 1.688 | 0.027 | 0.570 |
| 23 | 0.720 | 0.943 | 1.663 | 0.026 | 0.567 |
| 24 | 0.615 | 0.785 | 1.400 | 0.022 | 0.561 |
| 25 | 0.625 | 0.755 | 1.380 | 0.022 | 0.547 |
| 26 | 0.608 | 0.748 | 1.355 | 0.021 | 0.552 |
| 27 | 0.600 | 0.715 | 1.315 | 0.021 | 0.544 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 116 Somatic chromosome ( $2 \mathrm{n}=54$ ) (A) and karyogram (B) of CR Peliosanthes teta Andr.

### 6.1.2.3 Lampang (LP) samples

Chromosomes were divided into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were $7.395-4.412 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. They were in 3 pairs, the $1^{\text {st }}$ pair was metacentric, the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ pairs were acrocentric and submetacentric, respectively. Medium chromosomes of the $4^{\text {th }}$ pair were 4.411-3.698 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, and acrocentric. Small chromosomes were $3.697-1.430 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, comprised of 23 pairs altogether. The $5^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ were acrocentric and the $7^{\text {th }}, 9^{\text {th }}$ including $15^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric. The rest of this group were metacentric (Tables 21 and 22; Figure 117). Karyotypic formula was $L_{2}{ }^{m}+L_{2}{ }^{a}+$ $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{S}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{S}_{36}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}$.

Table 21 Size (length) and type of LP Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes


Table 22 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of LP Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes

| Number | Ls ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Ll ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | LT ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | RL | CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.668 | 3.728 | 7.395 | 0.113 | 0.504 |
| 2 | 1.448 | 3.380 | 4.828 | 0.074 | 0.700 |
| 3 | 1.758 | 2.670 | 4.428 | 0.068 | 0.603 |
| 4 | 1.080 | 2.983 | 4.063 | 0.062 | 0.734 |
| 5 | 0.833 | 2.560 | 3.393 | 0.052 | 0.755 |
| 6 | 1.353 | 1.870 | 3.223 | 0.049 | 0.580 |
| 7 | 0.838 | 1.843 | 2.680 | 0.041 | 0.688 |
| 8 | 0.718 | 1.733 | 2.450 | 0.037 | 0.707 |
| 9 | 0.893 | 1.550 | 2.443 | 0.037 | 0.635 |
| 10 | 0.980 | 1.205 | 2.185 | 0.033 | 0.551 |
| 11 | 0.903 | 1.150 | 2.053 | 0.031 | 0.560 |
| 12 | 0.833 | 1.200 | 2.033 | 0.031 | 0.590 |
| 13 | 0.863 | 1.070 | 1.933 | 0.030 | 0.554 |
| 14 | 0.815 | 1.075 | 1.890 | 0.029 | 0.569 |
| 15 | 0.678 | 1.188 | 1.865 | 0.029 | 0.637 |
| 16 | 0.785 | 0.918 | 1.703 | 0.026 | 0.539 |
| 17 | 0.698 | 0.955 | 1.653 | 0.025 | 0.578 |
| 18 | 0.705 | 0.905 | 1.610 | 0.025 | 0.562 |
| 19 | 0.700 | 0.895 | 1.595 | 0.024 | 0.561 |
| 20 | 0.710 | 0.870 | 1.580 | 0.024 | 0.551 |
| 21 | 0.735 | 0.838 | 1.573 | 0.024 | 0.533 |
| 22 | 0.723 | 0.825 | 1.548 | 0.024 | 0.533 |
| 23 | 0.675 | 0.810 | 1.485 | 0.023 | 0.545 |
| 24 | 0.693 | 0.775 | 1.468 | 0.022 | 0.528 |
| 25 | 0.608 | 0.850 | 1.458 | 0.022 | 0.583 |
| 26 | 0.625 | 0.825 | 1.450 | 0.022 | 0.569 |
| 27 | 0.618 | 0.813 | 1.430 | 0.022 | 0.568 |



Figure 117 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=54)(A)$ and karyogram (B) of LP Peliosanthes teta Andr.

### 6.1.2.4 Lamphum (LN) samples

Chromosomes were sorted out into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were $4.893-3.133 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long and 4 pairs in number. The $1^{\text {st }}$ pair was metacentric, the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ were submetacentric and the $4^{\text {th }}$ was acrocentric. Medium chromosomes were 3.132-2.446 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, found in the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ pairs. They were acrocentric and submetacentric, respectively. Small chromosomes were 2.445-1.373 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, involved 21 pairs, the $7^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}, 11^{\text {th }}, 13^{\text {th }}, 14^{\text {th }}, 17^{\text {th }}-21^{\text {st }}$ being submetacentric while the rest were metacentric (Tables 23 and 24; Figure 118). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{20}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{22}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 23 Size (length) and type of LN Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes


Table 24 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of LN Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L I}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.355 | 2.538 | 4.893 | 0.088 | 0.519 |
| 2 | 1.193 | 2.245 | 3.438 | 0.062 | 0.653 |
| 3 | 1.110 | 2.263 | 3.373 | 0.061 | 0.671 |
| 4 | 0.965 | 2.273 | 3.238 | 0.058 | 0.702 |
| 5 | 0.913 | 2.143 | 3.055 | 0.055 | 0.701 |
| 6 | 0.975 | 1.578 | 2.553 | 0.046 | 0.618 |
| 7 | 0.763 | 1.358 | 2.120 | 0.038 | 0.640 |
| 8 | 0.810 | 1.280 | 2.090 | 0.038 | 0.612 |
| 9 | 0.868 | 1.180 | 2.048 | 0.037 | 0.576 |
| 10 | 0.868 | 1.128 | 1.995 | 0.036 | 0.565 |
| 11 | 0.775 | 1.178 | 1.953 | 0.035 | 0.603 |
| 12 | 0.805 | 1.113 | 1.918 | 0.035 | 0.580 |
| 13 | 0.680 | 1.083 | 1.763 | 0.032 | 0.614 |
| 14 | 0.595 | 1.138 | 1.733 | 0.031 | 0.657 |
| 15 | 0.718 | 0.980 | 1.698 | 0.031 | 0.577 |
| 16 | 0.718 | 0.870 | 1.588 | 0.029 | 0.548 |
| 17 | 0.608 | 0.933 | 1.540 | 0.028 | 0.606 |
| 18 | 0.610 | 0.918 | 1.528 | 0.028 | 0.601 |
| 19 | 0.555 | 0.948 | 1.503 | 0.027 | 0.631 |
| 20 | 0.553 | 0.940 | 1.493 | 0.027 | 0.630 |
| 21 | 0.550 | 0.910 | 1.460 | 0.026 | 0.623 |
| 22 | 0.600 | 0.850 | 1.450 | 0.026 | 0.586 |
| 23 | 0.615 | 0.828 | 1.443 | 0.026 | 0.574 |
| 24 | 0.610 | 0.820 | 1.430 | 0.026 | 0.573 |
| 25 | 0.575 | 0.850 | 1.425 | 0.026 | 0.596 |
| 26 | 0.573 | 0.838 | 1.410 | 0.025 | 0.594 |
| 27 | 0.550 | 0.823 | 1.373 | 0.025 | 0.599 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Figure 118 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=54)(A)$ and karyogram (B) of LN Peliosanthes teta Andr.

### 6.1.2.5 Mae Hong Son (MH) samples

Chromosomes were grouped in three. Large chromosomes were 6.358-3.850 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length. They were submetacentric in the $1^{\text {st }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ pairs and acrocentric in the $2^{\text {nd }}$. Medium chromosomes of the $5^{\text {th }}, 6^{\text {th }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ pairs were 3.849-3.179 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long and submetacentric. Small chromosomes were 3.178-1.343 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, comprised 20 pairs altogether. The $8^{\text {th }}-13^{\text {th }}$, and $15^{\text {th }}-17^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric while the rest were metacentric (Tables 25 and 26; Figure 119). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{18}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{22}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 25 Size (length) and type of MH Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes


Table 26 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of MH Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.973 | 4.385 | 6.358 | 0.089 | 0.690 |
| 2 | 1.323 | 3.890 | 5.213 | 0.073 | 0.746 |
| 3 | 1.735 | 3.248 | 4.983 | 0.070 | 0.652 |
| 4 | 1.453 | 3.153 | 4.605 | 0.065 | 0.685 |
| 5 | 1.163 | 2.633 | 3.795 | 0.053 | 0.694 |
| 6 | 1.053 | 2.440 | 3.493 | 0.049 | 0.699 |
| 7 | 0.985 | 2.223 | 3.208 | 0.045 | 0.693 |
| 8 | 0.883 | 1.908 | 2.790 | 0.039 | 0.684 |
| 9 | 0.870 | 1.873 | 2.743 | 0.038 | 0.683 |
| 10 | 0.925 | 1.723 | 2.648 | 0.037 | 0.651 |
| 11 | 0.925 | 1.548 | 2.473 | 0.035 | 0.626 |
| 12 | 0.860 | 1.563 | 2.423 | 0.034 | 0.645 |
| 13 | 0.678 | 1.535 | 2.213 | 0.031 | 0.694 |
| 14 | 0.900 | 1.280 | 2.180 | 0.031 | 0.587 |
| 15 | 0.800 | 1.350 | 2.150 | 0.030 | 0.628 |
| 16 | 0.665 | 1.425 | 2.090 | 0.029 | 0.682 |
| 17 | 0.773 | 1.293 | 2.065 | 0.029 | 0.626 |
| 18 | 0.833 | 1.018 | 1.850 | 0.026 | 0.550 |
| 19 | 0.865 | 0.975 | 1.840 | 0.026 | 0.530 |
| 20 | 0.803 | 0.965 | 1.768 | 0.025 | 0.546 |
| 21 | 0.698 | 0.945 | 1.643 | 0.023 | 0.575 |
| 22 | 0.733 | 0.825 | 1.558 | 0.022 | 0.530 |
| 23 | 0.700 | 0.820 | 1.520 | 0.021 | 0.539 |
| 24 | 0.688 | 0.803 | 1.490 | 0.021 | 0.539 |
| 25 | 0.600 | 0.875 | 1.475 | 0.021 | 0.593 |
| 26 | 0.675 | 0.743 | 1.418 | 0.020 | 0.524 |
| 27 | 0.620 | 0.723 | 1.343 | 0.019 | 0.538 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Figure 119 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=54)(A)$ and karyogram $(B)$ of MH Peliosanthes teta Andr.

### 6.1.2.6 Nan (NA) samples

Chromosomes were classified into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were 5.963-3.638 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long. They were those of the metacentric $1^{\text {st }}$ pair, submetacentric $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$, and acrocentric $4^{\text {th }}$. Medium chromosomes were submetacentric and 3.637-2.982 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, found in the $5^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ pairs. Small chromosomes were $2.981-1.313 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, composed of 21 pairs. The $7^{\text {th }}$ was acrocentric, the $8^{\text {th }}-10^{\text {th }}, 13^{\text {th }}-15^{\text {th }}$ and $17^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric. The rest of the small chromosomes were metacentric (Tables 27 and 28; Figure 120). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{S}_{14}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{26}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 27 Size (length) and type of NA Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes


Table 28 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of NA Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.553 | 3.410 | 5.963 | 0.098 | 0.572 |
| 2 | 1.355 | 2.798 | 4.153 | 0.068 | 0.674 |
| 3 | 1.260 | 2.805 | 4.065 | 0.067 | 0.690 |
| 4 | 0.913 | 2.740 | 3.653 | 0.060 | 0.750 |
| 5 | 1.218 | 1.993 | 3.210 | 0.053 | 0.621 |
| 6 | 0.970 | 2.050 | 3.020 | 0.050 | 0.679 |
| 7 | 0.813 | 2.095 | 2.908 | 0.048 | 0.721 |
| 8 | 0.810 | 1.490 | 2.300 | 0.038 | 0.648 |
| 9 | 0.843 | 1.303 | 2.145 | 0.035 | 0.607 |
| 10 | 0.723 | 1.240 | 1.963 | 0.032 | 0.632 |
| 11 | 0.798 | 1.130 | 1.928 | 0.032 | 0.586 |
| 12 | 0.795 | 1.125 | 1.920 | 0.032 | 0.586 |
| 13 | 0.713 | 1.123 | 1.835 | 0.030 | 0.612 |
| 14 | 0.708 | 1.110 | 1.818 | 0.030 | 0.611 |
| 15 | 0.663 | 1.025 | 1.688 | 0.028 | 0.607 |
| 16 | 0.748 | 0.885 | 1.633 | 0.027 | 0.542 |
| 17 | 0.603 | 0.995 | 1.598 | 0.026 | 0.623 |
| 18 | 0.680 | 0.900 | 1.580 | 0.026 | 0.570 |
| 19 | 0.725 | 0.825 | 1.550 | 0.026 | 0.532 |
| 20 | 0.728 | 0.810 | 1.538 | 0.025 | 0.527 |
| 21 | 0.680 | 0.845 | 1.525 | 0.025 | 0.554 |
| 22 | 0.693 | 0.823 | 1.515 | 0.025 | 0.543 |
| 23 | 0.675 | 0.803 | 1.478 | 0.024 | 0.543 |
| 24 | 0.655 | 0.815 | 1.470 | 0.024 | 0.554 |
| 25 | 0.638 | 0.818 | 1.455 | 0.024 | 0.562 |
| 26 | 0.705 | 0.735 | 1.440 | 0.024 | 0.510 |
| 27 | 0.585 | 0.728 | 1.313 | 0.022 | 0.554 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 120 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=54)(A)$ and karyogram (B) of NA Peliosanthes teta Andr.

### 6.1.2.7 Phayao (PY) samples

Chromosomes were divided into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were 6.555-3.972 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length. They were metacentric chromosomes of the $1^{\text {st }}$ pair and acrocentric chromosomes of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ pairs. Medium chromosomes were in the $4^{\text {th }}$ pair, being 3.971-3.278 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length and of submetacentric type. Small chromosomes were 3.277-1.388 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, comprised the total of 23 pairs. The $5^{\text {th }}, 6^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ were acrocentric, the $7^{\text {th }}, 9^{\text {th }}, 11^{\text {th }}-13^{\text {th }} 15^{\text {th }}$ and $22^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric and the rest were metacentric (Tables 29 and 30; Figure 121). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{S}_{14}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{26}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 29 Size (length) and type of PY Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes


Table 30 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of PY Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.158 | 3.398 | 6.555 | 0.102 | 0.518 |
| 2 | 1.153 | 3.373 | 4.525 | 0.070 | 0.745 |
| 3 | 1.095 | 2.995 | 4.090 | 0.063 | 0.732 |
| 4 | 1.073 | 2.415 | 3.488 | 0.054 | 0.692 |
| 5 | 0.920 | 2.288 | 3.208 | 0.050 | 0.713 |
| 6 | 0.928 | 2.268 | 3.195 | 0.050 | 0.710 |
| 7 | 0.885 | 2.060 | 2.945 | 0.046 | 0.699 |
| 8 | 0.678 | 1.835 | 2.513 | 0.039 | 0.730 |
| 9 | 0.930 | 1.473 | 2.403 | 0.037 | 0.613 |
| 10 | 0.965 | 1.388 | 2.353 | 0.037 | 0.590 |
| 11 | 0.835 | 1.315 | 2.150 | 0.033 | 0.612 |
| 12 | 0.793 | 1.335 | 2.128 | 0.033 | 0.627 |
| 13 | 0.725 | 1.310 | 2.035 | 0.032 | 0.644 |
| 14 | 0.813 | 1.023 | 1.835 | 0.028 | 0.557 |
| 15 | 0.635 | 1.143 | 1.778 | 0.028 | 0.643 |
| 16 | 0.785 | 0.955 | 1.740 | 0.027 | 0.549 |
| 17 | 0.828 | 0.890 | 1.718 | 0.027 | 0.518 |
| 18 | 0.713 | 0.995 | 1.708 | 0.026 | 0.583 |
| 19 | 0.745 | 0.950 | 1.695 | 0.026 | 0.560 |
| 20 | 0.718 | 0.958 | 1.675 | 0.026 | 0.572 |
| 21 | 0.833 | 0.835 | 1.668 | 0.026 | 0.501 |
| 22 | 0.620 | 1.013 | 1.633 | 0.025 | 0.620 |
| 23 | 0.800 | 0.810 | 1.610 | 0.025 | 0.503 |
| 24 | 0.653 | 0.855 | 1.508 | 0.023 | 0.567 |
| 25 | 0.620 | 0.865 | 1.485 | 0.023 | 0.582 |
| 26 | 0.665 | 0.753 | 1.418 | 0.022 | 0.531 |
| 27 | 0.563 | 0.825 | 1.388 | 0.022 | 0.595 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 121 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=54)(A)$ and karyogram $(B)$ of PY Peliosanthes teta Andr.

### 6.1.2.8 Phrae (PH) samples

Chromosomes were sorted out into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were 6.995-4.229 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, i.e. metacentric chromosomes of the $1^{\text {st }}$ pair and acrocentric of the $2^{\text {nd }}$. Medium chromosomes were 4.228-3.498 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, included the $3^{\text {rd }}$ of acrocentric, and the $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ of submetacentric. Small chromosomes were $3.497-1.463 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, composed of 22 pairs. The $6^{\text {th }}, 14^{\text {th }}$ and $26^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric and the rest were metacentric (Tables 31 and 32; Figure 122). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{38}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 31 Size (length) and type of PH Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes


Table 32 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (Ll), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of PH Peliosanthes teta Andr. chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.465 | 3.530 | 6.995 | 0.103 | 0.505 |
| 2 | 1.293 | 3.125 | 4.418 | 0.065 | 0.707 |
| 3 | 1.180 | 2.898 | 4.078 | 0.060 | 0.711 |
| 4 | 1.170 | 2.698 | 3.868 | 0.057 | 0.697 |
| 5 | 1.158 | 2.490 | 3.648 | 0.054 | 0.683 |
| 6 | 1.070 | 1.780 | 2.850 | 0.042 | 0.625 |
| 7 | 1.073 | 1.380 | 2.453 | 0.036 | 0.563 |
| 8 | 0.965 | 1.393 | 2.358 | 0.035 | 0.591 |
| 9 | 1.080 | 1.240 | 2.320 | 0.034 | 0.534 |
| 10 | 0.940 | 1.298 | 2.238 | 0.033 | 0.580 |
| 11 | 0.968 | 1.228 | 2.195 | 0.032 | 0.559 |
| 12 | 0.935 | 1.245 | 2.180 | 0.032 | 0.571 |
| 13 | 0.895 | 1.263 | 2.158 | 0.032 | 0.585 |
| 14 | 0.788 | 1.330 | 2.118 | 0.031 | 0.628 |
| 15 | 0.833 | 1.198 | 2.030 | 0.030 | 0.590 |
| 16 | 0.868 | 1.158 | 2.025 | 0.030 | 0.572 |
| 17 | 0.945 | 1.073 | 2.018 | 0.030 | 0.532 |
| 18 | 0.805 | 1.198 | 2.003 | 0.030 | 0.598 |
| 19 | 0.893 | 1.105 | 1.998 | 0.029 | 0.553 |
| 20 | 0.840 | 1.128 | 1.968 | 0.029 | 0.573 |
| 21 | 0.865 | 0.948 | 1.813 | 0.027 | 0.523 |
| 22 | 0.773 | 1.013 | 1.785 | 0.026 | 0.567 |
| 23 | 0.730 | 1.005 | 1.735 | 0.026 | 0.579 |
| 24 | 0.725 | 1.000 | 1.725 | 0.025 | 0.580 |
| 25 | 0.688 | 1.028 | 1.715 | 0.025 | 0.599 |
| 26 | 0.660 | 0.993 | 1.653 | 0.024 | 0.601 |
| 27 | 0.648 | 0.815 | 1.463 | 0.022 | 0.557 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Figure 122 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=54)(A)$ and karyogram (B) of PH Peliosanthes teta Andr.

The range of chromosome size and the karyotypic formula obtained from different Peliosanthes teta Andr. accessions gathered from 8 provinces were concluded in Tables 33 and 34, respectively. Chromosome size ranged from 7.395 to $1.313 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The largest chromosome was that of LP sample while the smallest was of NA.

Difference in size and configuration of the chromosomes belonging to different plant accessions within species can be clearly figured from karyotypic formula, providing qualitative comparison among them.

Table 33 Range of chromosome size concluded from samples of Peliosanthes teta Andr. from different locations

| Accession <br> code | Size $(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large | Medium | ST $\pm \mathbf{s d}$ |  |  |
| $(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | CI $\pm \mathbf{s d}$ |  |  |  |  |
| CM | $6.613-4.085$ | 3.723 | $3.143-1.315$ |  | $2.347 \pm 1.367$ |
| CR | $5.918-3.693$ | $3.590-3.085$ | $2.840-1.315$ |  | $2.358 \pm 1.052$ |
| LP | $7.395-4.428$ | 4.063 | $3.393-1.430$ | $2.423 \pm 1.378$ | $0.616 \pm 0.071$ |
| LN | $4.893-3.238$ | $3.055-2.553$ | $2.120-1.373$ | $2.056 \pm 0.851$ | $0.609 \pm 0.067$ |
| MH | $6.358-4.605$ | $3.795-3.208$ | $2.790-1.343$ | $2.642 \pm 1.313$ | $0.623 \pm 0.068$ |
| NA | $5.963-3.653$ | $3.210-3.020$ | $2.908-1.313$ | $2.247 \pm 1.114$ | $0.600 \pm 0.062$ |
| PY | $6.555-4.090$ | 3.488 | $3.208-1.388$ | $2.387 \pm 1.179$ | $0.611 \pm 0.075$ |
| PH | $6.995-4.418$ | $4.078-3.648$ | $2.850-1.463$ | $2.511 \pm 1.182$ | $0.591 \pm 0.054$ |

Table 34 Karyotypic formula of Peliosanthes teta Andr. collected from different sites

| Accession code | Karyotypic formula |
| :---: | :---: |
| CM | $\mathrm{L}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\text {sm }}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}+\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{S}_{16}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{24}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| CR | $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\text {mm }}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}+\mathrm{M}_{4}{ }^{\text {a }}+\mathrm{S}_{22}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{22}{ }^{\text {sm }}$ |
| LP | $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\text {sm }}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}+\mathrm{S}_{4}{ }^{\text {a }}+\mathrm{S}_{36}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{\text {sm }}$ |
| LN | $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{20}{ }^{\text {sm }}+\mathrm{S}_{22}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| MH | $\mathrm{L}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}+\mathrm{M}_{6}{ }^{\text {sm }}+\mathrm{S}_{18}{ }^{\text {sm }}+\mathrm{S}_{22}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| NA | $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{4}{ }^{\text {sm }}+\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{S}_{14}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{26}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| PY | $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{\text {a }}+\mathrm{S}_{14}{ }^{\text {sm }}+\mathrm{S}_{26}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| PH | $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{M}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{38}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ |

### 6.2 Basella alba L.

### 6.2.1 Root tip preparation

Experiments on root-tip preparation were done in the same way as those of Peliosanthes teta Andr. as stated in 6.1.1. The results are as follows:

### 6.2.1.1 Sampling

Root-tips sampled at 10.00 a.m. obtained more dividing cells at metaphase stage than other treatments. Prophase cells and pro-metaphase cells were found in a large number in treatments sampled at $7.00 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and 8.00-9.00 a.m., respectively, while anaphase cells occurred more in treatments of 11.00 a.m. and 12.00 a.m. (Figure 123).


Figure 123 Root-tip chromosome of Basella alba L. sampled at different time

### 6.2.1.2 Pre-treatment

Root-tip samples taken at 10.00 a.m. pre-treated in PDB for $1-8$ hours at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed different results of obtaining contracted chromosomes. The best treatment was that of 8 hours in PDB producing taut chromosomes with observable configurations (Figure 124).


Figure 124 Root-tip chromosome of Basella alba L. pre-treated with different duration

### 6.2.1.3 Staining

The best staining treatment among those of 30 minutes, 1 , $2,3,4,6,8$ and 10 hour(s) of staining duration was that of 8 hours since the chromosomes showed good and thorough colouration as seen in Figure 125.

Concluding from the results showed in 6.2.1.1 to 6.2.1.3 the suitable technique of root-tip preparation for chromosome investigation of Basella alba L. comprised sampling of the root-tips at 10.00 a.m., pre-treating for 8 hours in PDB and staining in carbol fuchsin for 8 hours.

Chromosome counts from more than 10 cells per specimens of each accession revealed the chromosomes number of Phak Plang Khao and Phak Plang Daeng being 38 and 44 , respectively.


Figure 125 Root-tip chromosome of Basella alba L. stained for different duration

### 6.2.2 Chromosome configuration and karyogram

Chromosome configuration and karyogram of 2 varieties of Basella alba L. were studied, following the same procedure as done with Peliosanthes teta Andr. in 6.1.2. The results are as follows:

### 6.2.2.1 Phak Plang Khao

### 6.2.2.1.1 Chiang Mai (CM) samples

Chromosomes were allocated into 3 groups.
Large chromosomes were $3.295-2.366 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length found in 9 pairs. The $1^{\text {st }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ pairs were metacentric while $2^{\text {nd }}-4^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}-9^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric. Medium chromosomes were 2.365-1.648 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, found in submetacentric chromosomes of the $10^{\text {th }}$ and $14^{\text {th }}-16^{\text {th }}$ pairs and metacentric of the $11^{\text {th }}-13^{\text {th }}$. Small chromosomes were $1.647-1.438 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long in the $17^{\text {th }}-19^{\text {th }}$ pairs. They were all submetacentric (Tables 35 and 36; Figure 126). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{14}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{8}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}$.

Table 35 Size (length) and type of CM Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| Large $\text { (3.295-2.366 } \mu \mathrm{m})$ | Medium $(2.365-1.648 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ | Small $(1.647-1.438 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# 1 metacentric | \# 10 submetacentric | \# 17 submetacentric |
| \# 2 submetacentric | \# 11 metacentric | \# 18 submetacentric |
| \# 3 submetacentric | \# 12 metacentric | \# 19 submetacentric |
| \# 4 submetacentric | \# 13 metacentric |  |
| \# 5 metacentric | \# 14 submetacentric |  |
| \# 6 submetacentric | \# 15 submetacentric |  |
| \# 7 submetacentric | \# 16 submetacentric |  |
| \# 8 submetacentric |  |  |
| \# 9 submetacentric |  |  |

Table 36 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (Ll), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of CM Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.410 | 1.885 | 3.295 | 0.112 | 0.572 |
| 2 | 1.083 | 1.945 | 3.028 | 0.103 | 0.642 |
| 3 | 1.013 | 1.920 | 2.933 | 0.100 | 0.655 |
| 4 | 1.045 | 1.788 | 2.833 | 0.096 | 0.631 |
| 5 | 1.183 | 1.615 | 2.798 | 0.095 | 0.577 |
| 6 | 0.930 | 1.660 | 2.590 | 0.088 | 0.641 |
| 7 | 0.928 | 1.625 | 2.553 | 0.087 | 0.637 |
| 8 | 0.888 | 1.575 | 2.463 | 0.084 | 0.640 |
| 9 | 0.885 | 1.488 | 2.373 | 0.081 | 0.627 |
| 10 | 0.883 | 1.430 | 2.313 | 0.079 | 0.618 |
| 11 | 0.903 | 1.318 | 2.220 | 0.076 | 0.593 |
| 12 | 0.880 | 1.290 | 2.170 | 0.074 | 0.594 |
| 13 | 0.870 | 1.258 | 2.128 | 0.072 | 0.591 |
| 14 | 0.715 | 1.275 | 1.990 | 0.068 | 0.641 |
| 15 | 0.693 | 1.218 | 1.910 | 0.065 | 0.637 |
| 16 | 0.683 | 1.188 | 1.870 | 0.064 | 0.635 |
| 17 | 0.510 | 1.108 | 1.618 | 0.055 | 0.685 |
| 18 | 0.543 | 1.053 | 1.595 | 0.054 | 0.660 |
| 19 | 0.473 | 0.965 | 1.438 | 0.049 | 0.671 |



Figure 126 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=38)(A)$ and karyogram (B) of CM Phak Plang Khao

### 6.2.2.1.2 Chiang Rai (CR) samples

Chromosomes were classified into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were 2.885-1.998 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length of which the $1^{\text {st }}, 4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ pairs were metacentric chromosomes while those of the $2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}, 6^{\text {th }}$ to $9^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric. Medium chromosomes were $1.997-1.442 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, i.e. the $10^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}, 16^{\text {th }}-17^{\text {th }}$ pairs of submetacentric and the $15^{\text {th }}$ of metacentric. Small chromosomes were 1.441$1.110 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. They were submetacentric and metacentric chromosomes of the $18^{\text {th }}$ and $19^{\text {th }}$ pairs, respectively, (Tables 37 and 38; Figure 127). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{14}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 37 Size (length) and type of CR Phak Plang Khao chromosomes


Table 38 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of CR Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{l}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.230 | 1.655 | 2.885 | 0.112 | 0.574 |
| 2 | 0.963 | 1.805 | 2.768 | 0.108 | 0.652 |
| 3 | 0.955 | 1.510 | 2.465 | 0.096 | 0.613 |
| 4 | 1.040 | 1.408 | 2.448 | 0.095 | 0.575 |
| 5 | 1.028 | 1.395 | 2.423 | 0.094 | 0.576 |
| 6 | 0.795 | 1.530 | 2.325 | 0.090 | 0.658 |
| 7 | 0.758 | 1.503 | 2.260 | 0.088 | 0.665 |
| 8 | 0.805 | 1.405 | 2.210 | 0.086 | 0.636 |
| 9 | 0.835 | 1.360 | 2.195 | 0.085 | 0.620 |
| 10 | 0.725 | 1.155 | 1.880 | 0.073 | 0.614 |
| 11 | 0.710 | 1.130 | 1.840 | 0.072 | 0.614 |
| 12 | 0.653 | 1.108 | 1.760 | 0.068 | 0.629 |
| 13 | 0.633 | 1.100 | 1.733 | 0.067 | 0.635 |
| 14 | 0.510 | 1.053 | 1.563 | 0.061 | 0.674 |
| 15 | 0.630 | 0.920 | 1.550 | 0.060 | 0.594 |
| 16 | 0.473 | 1.043 | 1.515 | 0.059 | 0.688 |
| 17 | 0.505 | 0.968 | 1.473 | 0.057 | 0.657 |
| 18 | 0.445 | 0.693 | 1.138 | 0.044 | 0.609 |
| 19 | 0.463 | 0.648 | 1.110 | 0.043 | 0.583 |
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Figure 127 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=38)(A)$ and karyogram (B) of CR Phak Plang Khao

### 6.2.2.1.3 Lampang (LP) samples

Chromosomes were grouped in three. Large chromosomes were $2.628-1.756 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. The $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 4^{\text {th }}$ to $7^{\text {th }}$ pairs were metacentric chromosomes while the $3^{\text {rd }}$ was submetacentric. Medium chromosomes of the $8^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$
pair were 1.755-1.314 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long and submetacentric. Small chromosomes were 1.313$0.883 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, comprised 7 pairs altogether. The $13^{\text {th }}-16^{\text {th }}$ and $18^{\text {th }}-19^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric while the $17^{\text {th }}$ was metacentric (Tables 39 and 40; Figure 128). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{10}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 39 Size (length) and type of LP Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Large } \\ (2.628-1.756 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medium } \\ (1.755-1.314 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ (1.313-0.883 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# 1 metacentric | \# 8 submetacentric | \# 13 submetacentric |
| \# 2 metacentric | \# 9 submetacentric | \# 14 submetacentric |
| \# 3 submetacentric | \# 10 submetacentric | \# 15 submetacentric |
| \# 4 metacentric | \# 11 submetacentric | \# 16 submetacentric |
| \# 5 metacentric | \# 12 submetacentric | \# 17 metacentric |
| \# 6 metacentric |  | \# 18 submetacentric |
| \# 7 metacentric |  | \# 19 submetacentric |

Table 40 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of LP Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.155 | 1.473 | 2.628 | 0.122 | 0.560 |
| 2 | 0.955 | 1.303 | 2.258 | 0.105 | 0.577 |
| 3 | 0.865 | 1.295 | 2.160 | 0.100 | 0.600 |
| 4 | 0.860 | 1.215 | 2.075 | 0.096 | 0.586 |
| 5 | 0.850 | 1.158 | 2.008 | 0.093 | 0.577 |
| 6 | 0.828 | 1.138 | 1.965 | 0.091 | 0.579 |
| 7 | 0.823 | 1.105 | 1.928 | 0.090 | 0.573 |
| 8 | 0.695 | 1.045 | 1.740 | 0.081 | 0.601 |
| 9 | 0.660 | 1.008 | 1.668 | 0.078 | 0.604 |
| 10 | 0.563 | 1.005 | 1.568 | 0.073 | 0.641 |
| 11 | 0.540 | 0.973 | 1.513 | 0.070 | 0.643 |
| 12 | 0.508 | 0.855 | 1.363 | 0.063 | 0.628 |
| 13 | 0.495 | 0.813 | 1.308 | 0.061 | 0.621 |
| 14 | 0.463 | 0.795 | 1.258 | 0.058 | 0.632 |
| 15 | 0.433 | 0.743 | 1.175 | 0.055 | 0.632 |
| 16 | 0.430 | 0.693 | 1.123 | 0.052 | 0.617 |
| 17 | 0.410 | 0.608 | 1.018 | 0.047 | 0.597 |
| 18 | 0.373 | 0.595 | 0.968 | 0.045 | 0.615 |
| 19 | 0.345 | 0.538 | 0.883 | 0.041 | 0.609 |



Figure 128 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=38)(A)$ and karyogram $(B)$ of LP Phak Plang Khao

### 6.2.2.1.4 Lamphum (LN) samples

Chromosomes were allocated into 3 groups.
Large chromosomes were $3.938-2.582 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length. They were in 8 pairs, the $1^{\text {st }}$ was acrocentric while the $2^{\text {nd }}-8^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric. Medium chromosomes of the $9^{\text {th }}-$ $10^{\text {th }}$ pair were 2.581-1.969 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, and submetacentric. Small chromosomes were $1.968-1.225 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, composed of 9 pairs. The $11^{\text {th }}$ and $13^{\text {th }}-17^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric and the rest were metacentric (Tables 41 and 42; Figure 129). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{L}_{14}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 41 Size (length) and type of LN Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Large } \\ (3.938-2.582 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | Medium <br> (2.581-1.969 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Small $\text { (1.968-1.225 } \mu \mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# 1 acrocentric | \# 9 submetacentric | \# 11 submetacentric |
| \# 2 submetacentric | \# 10 submetacentric | \# 12 metacentric |
| \# 3 submetacentric |  | \# 13 submetacentric |
| \# 4 submetacentric |  | \# 14 submetacentric |
| \# 5 submetacentric |  | \# 15 submetacentric |
| \# 6 submetacentric |  | \# 16 submetacentric |
| \# 7 submetacentric |  | \# 17 submetacentric |
| \# 8 submetacentric |  | \# 18 metacentric |
|  | $\square$ | \# 19 metacentric |

Table 42 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of LN Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.180 | 2.758 | 3.938 | 0.121 | 0.700 |
| 2 | 1.178 | 2.418 | 3.595 | 0.111 | 0.672 |
| 3 | 1.348 | 2.153 | 3.500 | 0.108 | 0.615 |
| 4 | 1.303 | 2.108 | 3.410 | 0.105 | 0.618 |
| 5 | 1.285 | 2.003 | 3.288 | 0.101 | 0.609 |
| 6 | 1.115 | 1.903 | 3.018 | 0.093 | 0.630 |
| 7 | 1.033 | 1.608 | 2.640 | 0.081 | 0.609 |
| 8 | 1.020 | 1.590 | 2.610 | 0.080 | 0.609 |
| 9 | 0.923 | 1.503 | 2.425 | 0.075 | 0.620 |
| 10 | 0.693 | 1.458 | 2.150 | 0.066 | 0.678 |
| 11 | 0.660 | 1.215 | 1.875 | 0.058 | 0.648 |
| 12 | 0.780 | 1.073 | 1.853 | 0.057 | 0.579 |
| 13 | 0.555 | 1.118 | 1.673 | 0.052 | 0.668 |
| 14 | 0.535 | 0.973 | 1.508 | 0.046 | 0.645 |
| 15 | 0.558 | 0.905 | 1.463 | 0.045 | 0.619 |
| 16 | 0.518 | 0.883 | 1.400 | 0.043 | 0.630 |
| 17 | 0.525 | 0.803 | 1.328 | 0.041 | 0.605 |
| 18 | 0.520 | 0.770 | 1.290 | 0.040 | 0.597 |
| 19 | 0.508 | 0.718 | 1.225 | 0.038 | 0.586 |



Figure 129 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=38)(A)$ and karyogram (B) of LN Phak Plang Khao

### 6.2.2.1.5 Mae Hong Son (MH) samples

Chromosomes were classified into 3 groups. Large chromosomes of the $1^{\text {st }}-10^{\text {th }}$ pair were 3.163-2.174 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long and submetacentric. Medium chromosomes were 2.173-1.582 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, found in the $11^{\text {th }}-15^{\text {th }}$, being submetacentric.

Small chromosomes were $1.581-1.185 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, i.e. the $16^{\text {th }}-19^{\text {th }}$ of submetacentric. (Tables 43 and 44; Figure 130). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{20}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{10}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{8}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}$.

Table 43 Size (length) and type of MH Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Large } \\ (3.163-2.174 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medium } \\ (\mathbf{2 . 1 7 3 - 1 . 5 8 2 ~} \mu \mathrm{m}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ (1.581-1.185 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# 1 submetacentric | \# 11 submetacentric | \# 16 submetacentric |
| \# 2 submetacentric | \# 12 submetacentric | \# 17 submetacentric |
| \# 3 submetacentric | \# 13 submetacentric | \# 18 submetacentric |
| \# 4 submetacentric | \# 14 submetacentric | \# 19 submetacentric |
| \# 5 submetacentric | \# 15 submetacentric |  |
| \# 6 submetacentric |  |  |
| \# 7 submetacentric |  |  |
| \# 8 submetacentric |  |  |
| \# 9 submetacentric |  |  |
| \# 10 submetacentric |  |  |

Table 44 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of MH Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L I}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.058 | 2.105 | 3.163 | 0.115 | 0.666 |
| 2 | 0.968 | 1.828 | 2.795 | 0.101 | 0.654 |
| 3 | 0.955 | 1.775 | 2.730 | 0.099 | 0.650 |
| 4 | 0.865 | 1.755 | 2.620 | 0.095 | 0.670 |
| 5 | 0.905 | 1.658 | 2.563 | 0.093 | 0.647 |
| 6 | 0.828 | 1.723 | 2.550 | 0.093 | 0.675 |
| 7 | 0.753 | 1.605 | 2.358 | 0.086 | 0.681 |
| 8 | 0.795 | 1.503 | 2.298 | 0.083 | 0.654 |
| 9 | 0.738 | 1.483 | 2.220 | 0.081 | 0.668 |
| 10 | 0.715 | 1.468 | 2.183 | 0.079 | 0.672 |
| 11 | 0.685 | 1.390 | 2.075 | 0.075 | 0.670 |
| 12 | 0.578 | 1.293 | 1.870 | 0.068 | 0.691 |
| 13 | 0.638 | 1.138 | 1.775 | 0.064 | 0.641 |
| 14 | 0.660 | 1.058 | 1.718 | 0.062 | 0.616 |
| 15 | 0.578 | 1.040 | 1.618 | 0.059 | 0.643 |
| 16 | 0.553 | 1.020 | 1.573 | 0.057 | 0.649 |
| 17 | 0.510 | 1.043 | 1.553 | 0.056 | 0.671 |
| 18 | 0.505 | 0.905 | 1.410 | 0.051 | 0.642 |
| 19 | 0.418 | 0.768 | 1.185 | 0.043 | 0.648 |



Figure 130 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=38)(A)$ and karyogram (B) of MH Phak Plang Khao

### 6.2.2.1.6 Nan (NA) samples

Chromosomes were sorted out into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were submetacentric, 3.785-2.716 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length. They were those of the $1^{\text {st }}-6^{\text {th }}$ pairs. Medium chromosomes were 2.715-1.892 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, comprised 10 pairs. The $7^{\text {th }}, 9^{\text {th }}-16^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric while the $8^{\text {th }}$ was metacentric. Small chromosomes were $1.891-1.648 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, i.e. the $17^{\text {th }}$ of submetacentric and the $18^{\text {th }}$ and $19^{\text {th }}$ of acrocentric (Tables 45 and 46; Figure 131). Karyotypic formula was $L_{12}{ }^{\text {sm }}$ $+\mathrm{M}_{18}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$.

Table 45 Size (length) and type of NA Phak Plang Khao chromosomes


Table 46 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of NA Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{(} \boldsymbol{\mu \mathbf { m } )}$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.430 | 2.355 | 3.785 | 0.123 | 0.622 |
| 2 | 1.208 | 2.105 | 3.313 | 0.107 | 0.635 |
| 3 | 1.078 | 2.003 | 3.080 | 0.100 | 0.650 |
| 4 | 1.075 | 1.958 | 3.033 | 0.098 | 0.646 |
| 5 | 1.003 | 1.780 | 2.783 | 0.090 | 0.640 |
| 6 | 0.973 | 1.765 | 2.738 | 0.089 | 0.645 |
| 7 | 0.890 | 1.655 | 2.545 | 0.083 | 0.650 |
| 8 | 1.030 | 1.483 | 2.513 | 0.082 | 0.590 |
| 9 | 0.890 | 1.520 | 2.410 | 0.078 | 0.631 |
| 10 | 0.795 | 1.553 | 2.348 | 0.076 | 0.661 |
| 11 | 0.763 | 1.508 | 2.270 | 0.074 | 0.664 |
| 12 | 0.745 | 1.495 | 2.240 | 0.073 | 0.667 |
| 13 | 0.718 | 1.453 | 2.170 | 0.070 | 0.669 |
| 14 | 0.655 | 1.430 | 2.085 | 0.068 | 0.686 |
| 15 | 0.633 | 1.390 | 2.023 | 0.066 | 0.687 |
| 16 | 0.605 | 1.328 | 1.933 | 0.063 | 0.687 |
| 17 | 0.548 | 1.255 | 1.803 | 0.058 | 0.696 |
| 18 | 0.495 | 1.250 | 1.745 | 0.057 | 0.716 |
| 19 | 0.430 | 1.218 | 1.648 | 0.053 | 0.739 |



Figure 131 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=38)(A)$ and karyogram (B) of NA Phak Plang Khao

### 6.2.2.1.7 Phayao (PY) samples

Chromosomes were divided into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were $2.380-1.726 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, found in 10 pairs. The $1^{\text {st }}-4^{\text {th }}$ and $9^{\text {th }}-10^{\text {th }}$ pairs were metacentric while the $5^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric. Medium chromosomes,
metacentric, of the $11^{\text {th }}-18^{\text {th }}$ pairs were $1.725-1.190 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Small chromosomes were 1.189-1.073 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, metacentric, found in the $19^{\text {th }}$ pair (Tables 47 and 48; Figure 132). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{8}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{16}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 47 Size (length) and type of PY Phak Plang Khao chromosomes


Table 48 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (Ll), chromosome length (LT), relative
length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of PY Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.090 | 1.290 | 2.380 | 0.108 | 0.542 |
| 2 | 1.005 | 1.230 | 2.235 | 0.102 | 0.550 |
| 3 | 0.860 | 1.275 | 2.135 | 0.097 | 0.597 |
| 4 | 0.848 | 1.253 | 2.100 | 0.095 | 0.596 |
| 5 | 0.800 | 1.233 | 2.033 | 0.092 | 0.606 |
| 6 | 0.803 | 1.218 | 2.020 | 0.092 | 0.603 |
| 7 | 0.770 | 1.205 | 1.975 | 0.090 | 0.610 |
| 8 | 0.755 | 1.133 | 1.888 | 0.086 | 0.600 |
| 9 | 0.730 | 1.085 | 1.815 | 0.082 | 0.598 |
| 10 | 0.728 | 1.003 | 1.730 | 0.079 | 0.579 |
| 11 | 0.708 | 0.995 | 1.703 | 0.077 | 0.584 |
| 12 | 0.725 | 0.865 | 1.590 | 0.072 | 0.544 |
| 13 | 0.703 | 0.843 | 1.545 | 0.070 | 0.545 |
| 14 | 0.700 | 0.805 | 1.505 | 0.068 | 0.535 |
| 15 | 0.695 | 0.798 | 1.493 | 0.068 | 0.534 |
| 16 | 0.633 | 0.760 | 1.393 | 0.063 | 0.546 |
| 17 | 0.603 | 0.718 | 1.320 | 0.060 | 0.544 |
| 18 | 0.583 | 0.690 | 1.273 | 0.058 | 0.542 |
| 19 | 0.465 | 0.608 | 1.073 | 0.049 | 0.566 |



Figure 132 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=38)(A)$ and karyogram (B) of PY Phak Plang Khao

### 6.2.2.1.8 Phak Plang Khao from Phrae (PH)

Chromosomes were grouped in three. Large chromosomes were 2.675-1.984 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long. They were those of the submetacentric of the $1^{\text {st }}-4^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ pairs and the metacentric of the $5^{\text {th }}, 7^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$. Medium chromosomes were 1.983-1.338 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, composed of 10 pairs. The $9^{\text {th }}-10^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric while the $11^{\text {st }}-18^{\text {th }}$ were metacentric. The only small metacentric chromosomes of the $19^{\text {th }}$, pair were 1.337-1.293 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long (Tables 49 and 50; Figure 133). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{10}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{L}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{M}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{16}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 49 Size (length) and type of PH Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Large } \\ (2.675-1.984 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medium } \\ (1.983-1.338 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | Small $(1.337-1.293 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# 1 submetacentric | \# 9 submetacentric | \# 19 metacentric |
| \# 2 submetacentric | \# 10 submetacentric |  |
| \# 3 submetacentric | \# 11 metacentric |  |
| \# 4 submetacentric | \# 12 metacentric |  |
| \# 5 metacentric | \# 13 metacentric |  |
| \# 6 submetacentric | \# 14 metacentric |  |
| \# 7 metacentric | \# 15 metacentric |  |
| \# 8 metacentric | \# 16 metacentric |  |
|  | \# 17 metacentric |  |
|  | \# 18 metacentric |  |

Table 50 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of PH Phak Plang Khao chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.968 | 1.708 | 2.675 | 0.112 | 0.638 |
| 2 | 1.003 | 1.510 | 2.513 | 0.105 | 0.601 |
| 3 | 0.913 | 1.388 | 2.300 | 0.096 | 0.603 |
| 4 | 0.788 | 1.453 | 2.240 | 0.094 | 0.648 |
| 5 | 0.905 | 1.308 | 2.213 | 0.092 | 0.591 |
| 6 | 0.858 | 1.285 | 2.143 | 0.089 | 0.600 |
| 7 | 0.860 | 1.263 | 2.123 | 0.089 | 0.595 |
| 8 | 0.830 | 1.218 | 2.048 | 0.085 | 0.595 |
| 9 | 0.753 | 1.198 | 1.950 | 0.081 | 0.614 |
| 10 | 0.738 | 1.158 | 1.895 | 0.079 | 0.611 |
| 11 | 0.770 | 1.083 | 1.853 | 0.077 | 0.584 |
| 12 | 0.720 | 1.020 | 1.740 | 0.073 | 0.586 |
| 13 | 0.685 | 0.978 | 1.663 | 0.069 | 0.588 |
| 14 | 0.653 | 0.930 | 1.583 | 0.066 | 0.588 |
| 15 | 0.650 | 0.910 | 1.560 | 0.065 | 0.583 |
| 16 | 0.608 | 0.853 | 1.460 | 0.061 | 0.584 |
| 17 | 0.558 | 0.790 | 1.348 | 0.056 | 0.586 |
| 18 | 0.585 | 0.758 | 1.343 | 0.056 | 0.564 |
| 19 | 0.548 | 0.745 | 1.293 | 0.054 | 0.576 |



Figure 133 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=38)(A)$ and karyogram $(B)$ of PH Phak Plang Khao

### 6.2.2.2 Phak Plang Daeng

### 6.2.2.2.1 Chiang Mai (CM) samples

Chromosomes were allocated into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were 2.965-2.099 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, metacentric, of the $1^{\text {st }}-6^{\text {th }}$ pairs. Medium chromosomes were 2.098-1.482 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, involved 11 pairs, i.e. the $7^{\text {th }}-9^{\text {th }}$ and $14^{\text {th }}$ of submetacentric and the $10^{\text {th }}-13^{\text {th }}, 15^{\text {th }}-17^{\text {th }}$ of metacentric. Small chromosomes were 1.481-1.233 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, and metacentric in the $18^{\text {th }}-22^{\text {nd }}$ pairs (Tables 51 and 52 ; Figure 134). Karyotypic formula was $L_{12}{ }^{m}+M_{8}{ }^{\text {sm }}+M_{14}{ }^{m}+S_{10}{ }^{m}$.

Table 51 Size (length) and type of CM Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Large } \\ (2.965-2.099 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medium } \\ (2.098-1.482 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ (\mathbf{1 . 4 8 1 - 1 . 2 3 3 \mu \mathrm { m } )} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# 1 metacentric | \# 7 submetacentric | \# 18 metacentric |
| \# 2 metacentric | \# 8 submetacentric | \# 19 metacentric |
| \# 3 metacentric | \# 9 submetacentric | \# 20 metacentric |
| \# 4 metacentric | \# 10 metacentric | \# 21 metacentric |
| \# 5 metacentric | \# 11 metacentric | \# 22 metacentric |
| \# 6 metacentric | \# 12 metacentric |  |
|  | \# 13 metacentric |  |
|  | \# 14 submetacentric |  |
|  | \# 15 metacentric |  |
|  | \# 16 metacentric |  |
|  | \# 17 metacentric |  |

Table 52 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of CM Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.305 | 1.660 | 2.965 | 0.120 | 0.560 |
| 2 | 1.078 | 1.510 | 2.588 | 0.105 | 0.584 |
| 3 | 1.058 | 1.425 | 2.483 | 0.101 | 0.574 |
| 4 | 1.025 | 1.413 | 2.438 | 0.099 | 0.579 |
| 5 | 0.980 | 1.305 | 2.285 | 0.093 | 0.571 |
| 6 | 0.945 | 1.235 | 2.180 | 0.088 | 0.567 |
| 7 | 0.808 | 1.260 | 2.068 | 0.084 | 0.609 |
| 8 | 0.770 | 1.225 | 1.995 | 0.081 | 0.614 |
| 9 | 0.750 | 1.170 | 1.920 | 0.078 | 0.609 |
| 10 | 0.778 | 1.110 | 1.888 | 0.077 | 0.588 |

Table 52 (Continued)

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 0.860 | 0.993 | 1.853 | 0.075 | 0.536 |
| 12 | 0.740 | 1.050 | 1.790 | 0.073 | 0.587 |
| 13 | 0.780 | 0.975 | 1.755 | 0.071 | 0.556 |
| 14 | 0.628 | 0.950 | 1.578 | 0.064 | 0.602 |
| 15 | 0.643 | 0.910 | 1.553 | 0.063 | 0.586 |
| 16 | 0.680 | 0.850 | 1.530 | 0.062 | 0.556 |
| 17 | 0.645 | 0.858 | 1.503 | 0.061 | 0.571 |
| 18 | 0.638 | 0.828 | 1.465 | 0.059 | 0.565 |
| 19 | 0.583 | 0.813 | 1.395 | 0.057 | 0.582 |
| 20 | 0.530 | 0.778 | 1.308 | 0.053 | 0.595 |
| 21 | 0.523 | 0.760 | 1.283 | 0.052 | 0.593 |
| 22 | 0.513 | 0.720 | 1.233 | 0.050 | 0.584 |



Figure 134 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=44)(A)$ and karyogram $(B)$ of CM Phak Plang Daeng


### 6.2.2.2.2 Chiang Rai (CR) samples

Chromosomes were divided into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were 2.158-1.478 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, comprised 10 pairs altogether. The $1^{\text {st }}-4^{\text {th }}$, $6^{\text {th }}-10^{\text {th }}$ were metacentric while the $5^{\text {th }}$ was submetacentric. Medium chromosomes were $1.477-1.079 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, i.e. the $11^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}, 16-17^{\text {th }}$ of submetacentric and the $15^{\text {th }}$ of metacentric. Small chromosomes were $1.078-0.798 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length. The $18^{\text {th }}-20^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric while the $21^{\text {st }}-22^{\text {nd }}$ were metacentric (Tables 53 and 54; Figure 135). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{18}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 53 Size (length) and type of CR Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Large } \\ (2.158-1.478 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | Medium <br> (1.477-1.079 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Small $(1.078-0.798 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# 1 metacentric | \# 11 submetacentric | \# 18 submetacentric |
| \# 2 metacentric | \# 12 submetacentric | \# 19 submetacentric |
| \# 3 metacentric | \# 13 submetacentric | \# 20 submetacentric |
| \# 4 metacentric | \# 14 submetacentric | \# 21 metacentric |
| \# 5 metacentric | \# 15 metacentric | \# 22 metacentric |
| \# 6 submetacentric | \# 16 submetacentric |  |
| \# 7 metacentric | \# 17 submetacentric |  |
| \# 8 metacentric |  |  |
| \# 9 metacentric |  |  |
| \# 10 metacentric |  |  |

Table 54 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of CR Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.963 | 1.195 | 2.158 | 0.114 | 0.554 |
| 2 | 0.910 | 1.143 | 2.053 | 0.108 | 0.557 |
| 3 | 0.825 | 1.070 | 1.895 | 0.100 | 0.565 |
| 4 | 0.780 | 1.060 | 1.840 | 0.097 | 0.576 |
| 5 | 0.685 | 1.020 | 1.705 | 0.090 | 0.598 |
| 6 | 0.630 | 1.035 | 1.665 | 0.088 | 0.622 |
| 7 | 0.650 | 0.958 | 1.608 | 0.085 | 0.596 |
| 8 | 0.638 | 0.928 | 1.565 | 0.083 | 0.593 |
| 9 | 0.628 | 0.918 | 1.545 | 0.082 | 0.594 |
| 10 | 0.605 | 0.883 | 1.488 | 0.079 | 0.593 |
| 11 | 0.558 | 0.870 | 1.428 | 0.075 | 0.609 |
| 12 | 0.478 | 0.880 | 1.358 | 0.072 | 0.648 |
| 13 | 0.533 | 0.810 | 1.343 | 0.071 | 0.603 |
| 14 | 0.488 | 0.793 | 1.280 | 0.068 | 0.619 |
| 15 | 0.510 | 0.745 | 1.255 | 0.066 | 0.594 |
| 16 | 0.430 | 0.735 | 1.165 | 0.061 | 0.631 |
| 17 | 0.410 | 0.680 | 1.090 | 0.058 | 0.624 |
| 18 | 0.415 | 0.640 | 1.055 | 0.056 | 0.607 |
| 19 | 0.378 | 0.605 | 0.983 | 0.052 | 0.616 |
| 20 | 0.363 | 0.560 | 0.923 | 0.049 | 0.607 |
| 21 | 0.355 | 0.528 | 0.883 | 0.047 | 0.598 |
| 22 | 0.340 | 0.458 | 0.798 | 0.042 | 0.574 |



Figure 135 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=44)(A)$ and karyogram $(B)$ of CR Phak Plang Daeng

### 6.2.2.2.3 Lampang (LP) samples

Chromosomes were grouped in three. Large chromosomes were 2.323-1.589 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, 10 pairs in number. The $1^{\text {st }}-3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ pairs were metacentric while the $4^{\text {th }}-10^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric. Medium chromosomes, were 1.588-1.162 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, i.e. the $11^{\text {th }}-17^{\text {th }}$, being submetacentric. Small chromosomes were $1.161-0.855 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, i.e. the $18^{\text {th }}, 19^{\text {th }}, 21^{\text {st }}$ and $22^{\text {nd }}$ of submetacentric and the $20^{\text {th }}$ of acrocentric (Tables 55 and 56; Figure 136). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+$ $\mathrm{L}_{14}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{14}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{8}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$.

Table 55 Size (length) and type of LP Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Large } \\ (2.323-1.589 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Medium $(1.588-1.162 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ (1.161-0.855 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# 1 metacentric | \# 11 submetacentric | \# 18 submetacentric |
| \# 2 metacentric | \# 12 submetacentric | \# 19 submetacentric |
| \# 3 metacentric | \# 13 submetacentric | \# 20 acrocentric |
| \# 4 submetacentric | \# 14 submetacentric | \# 21 submetacentric |
| \# 5 submetacentric | \# 15 submetacentric | \# 22 submetacentric |
| \# 6 submetacentric | \# 16 submetacentric |  |
| \# 7 submetacentric | \# 17 submetacentric |  |
| \# 8 submetacentric |  |  |
| \# 9 submetacentric |  |  |
| \# 10 submetacentric |  |  |

Table 56 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (Ll), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of LP Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.985 | 1.338 | 2.323 | 0.114 | 0.576 |
| 2 | 0.875 | 1.283 | 2.158 | 0.105 | 0.594 |
| 3 | 0.850 | 1.265 | 2.115 | 0.103 | 0.598 |
| 4 | 0.775 | 1.230 | 2.005 | 0.098 | 0.613 |
| 5 | 0.700 | 1.203 | 1.903 | 0.093 | 0.632 |
| 6 | 0.675 | 1.063 | 1.738 | 0.085 | 0.612 |
| 7 | 0.673 | 1.040 | 1.713 | 0.084 | 0.607 |
| 8 | 0.625 | 1.068 | 1.693 | 0.083 | 0.631 |
| 9 | 0.578 | 1.070 | 1.648 | 0.081 | 0.649 |
| 10 | 0.583 | 1.028 | 1.610 | 0.079 | 0.638 |
| 11 | 0.560 | 0.990 | 1.550 | 0.076 | 0.639 |
| 12 | 0.525 | 0.980 | 1.505 | 0.074 | 0.651 |
| 13 | 0.513 | 0.935 | 1.448 | 0.071 | 0.646 |
| 14 | 0.475 | 0.918 | 1.393 | 0.068 | 0.659 |
| 15 | 0.455 | 0.908 | 1.363 | 0.067 | 0.666 |
| 16 | 0.400 | 0.893 | 1.293 | 0.063 | 0.691 |
| 17 | 0.383 | 0.808 | 1.190 | 0.058 | 0.679 |
| 18 | 0.378 | 0.783 | 1.160 | 0.057 | 0.675 |
| 19 | 0.355 | 0.765 | 1.120 | 0.055 | 0.683 |
| 20 | 0.300 | 0.708 | 1.008 | 0.049 | 0.702 |
| 21 | 0.310 | 0.635 | 0.945 | 0.046 | 0.672 |
| 22 | 0.278 | 0.578 | 0.855 | 0.042 | 0.675 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 136 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=44)(A)$ and karyogram $(B)$ of LP Phak Plang Daeng

### 6.2.2.2.4 Lamphun (LN) samples

Chromosomes were allocated into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were 2.353-1.498 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long. The $1^{\text {st }}-5^{\text {th }}$ pairs were metacentric
while the $6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric. Medium chromosomes were $1.497-1.176 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, metacentric in the pairs of $9^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$. Small chromosomes were $1.175-0.643 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, i.e. the $15^{\text {th }}-22^{\text {nd }}$ of metacentric (Tables 57 and 58; Figure 137). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{10}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{6}{ }^{\text {sm }}+\mathrm{M}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{16}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 57 Size (length) and type of LN Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Large } \\ (2.353-1.498 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medium } \\ (1.497-1.176 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ (1.175-0.643 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# 1 metacentric | \# 9 metacentric | \# 15 metacentric |
| \# 2 metacentric | \# 10 metacentric | \# 16 metacentric |
| \# 3 metacentric | \# 11 metacentric | \# 17 metacentric |
| \# 4 metacentric | \# 12 metacentric | \# 18 metacentric |
| \# 5 metacentric | \# 13 metacentric | \# 19 metacentric |
| \# 6 submetacentric | \# 14 metacentric | \# 20 metacentric |
| \# 7 submetacentric |  | \# 21 metacentric |
| \# 8 submetacentric |  | \# 22 metacentric |

Table 58 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of LN Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.083 | 1.270 | 2.353 | 0.118 | 0.540 |
| 2 | 1.005 | 1.230 | 2.235 | 0.112 | 0.550 |
| 3 | 0.978 | 1.190 | 2.168 | 0.109 | 0.549 |
| 4 | 0.880 | 1.130 | 2.010 | 0.101 | 0.562 |
| 5 | 0.858 | 1.078 | 1.935 | 0.097 | 0.557 |
| 6 | 0.628 | 1.158 | 1.785 | 0.090 | 0.648 |
| 7 | 0.580 | 1.138 | 1.718 | 0.086 | 0.662 |
| 8 | 0.553 | 0.953 | 1.505 | 0.076 | 0.633 |
| 9 | 0.680 | 0.805 | 1.485 | 0.075 | 0.542 |
| 10 | 0.625 | 0.758 | 1.383 | 0.069 | 0.548 |
| 11 | 0.603 | 0.728 | 1.330 | 0.067 | 0.547 |
| 12 | 0.605 | 0.703 | 1.308 | 0.066 | 0.537 |
| 13 | 0.560 | 0.683 | 1.243 | 0.062 | 0.549 |
| 14 | 0.588 | 0.630 | 1.218 | 0.061 | 0.517 |
| 15 | 0.528 | 0.608 | 1.135 | 0.057 | 0.535 |
| 16 | 0.508 | 0.580 | 1.088 | 0.055 | 0.533 |
| 17 | 0.478 | 0.528 | 1.005 | 0.050 | 0.525 |
| 18 | 0.433 | 0.490 | 0.923 | 0.046 | 0.531 |
| 19 | 0.383 | 0.435 | 0.818 | 0.041 | 0.532 |
| 20 | 0.353 | 0.405 | 0.758 | 0.038 | 0.535 |
| 21 | 0.345 | 0.388 | 0.733 | 0.037 | 0.529 |
| 22 | 0.313 | 0.330 | 0.643 | 0.032 | 0.514 |



Figure 137 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=44)(A)$ and karyogram $(B)$ of LN Phak Plang Daeng

### 6.2.2.2.5 Nan (NA) samples

Chromosomes were sorted out into 3 groups.
Large chromosomes were $2.610-1.746 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, found in 8 pairs. The $1^{\text {st }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ pairs were acrocentric chromosomes while the $2^{\text {nd }}, 5^{\text {th }}-9^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric. Medium chromosomes were $1.745-1.305 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, i.e. the $10^{\text {th }}-11^{\text {th }}, 13^{\text {th }}-15^{\text {th }}$ of metacentric and the $12^{\text {th }}$ of submetacentric. Small chromosomes were $1.304-0.883 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length. The $16^{\text {th }}, 18^{\text {th }}-22^{\text {nd }}$ were submetacentric and the $17^{\text {th }}$ was metacentric (Tables 59 and 60; Figure 138). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{L}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{10}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{M}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}$ $+\mathrm{S}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 59 Size (length) and type of NA Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Large } \\ (\mathbf{( 2 . 6 1 0 - 1 . 7 4 6 ~} \mu \mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | Medium <br> (1.745-1.305 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ (\mathbf{1 . 3 0 4 - 0 . 8 8 3 ~ \mu \mathrm { m } )} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# 1 acrocentric | \# 10 metacentric | \# 16 submetacentric |
| \# 2 submetacentric | \# 11 metacentric | \# 17 metacentric |
| \# 3 acrocentric | \# 12 submetacentric | \# 18 submetacentric |
| \# 4 acrocentric | \# 13 metacentric | \# 19 submetacentric |
| \# 5 submetacentric | \# 14 metacentric | \# 20 submetacentric |
| \# 6 submetacentric | \# 15 metacentric | \# 21 submetacentric |
| \# 7 submetacentric |  | \# 22 submetacentric |
| \# 8 submetacentric |  |  |
| \# 9 submetacentric |  |  |

Table 60 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of NA Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{l}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\mu \mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.673 | 1.938 | 2.610 | 0.114 | 0.742 |
| 2 | 0.778 | 1.805 | 2.583 | 0.113 | 0.699 |
| 3 | 0.658 | 1.710 | 2.368 | 0.104 | 0.722 |
| 4 | 0.628 | 1.633 | 2.260 | 0.099 | 0.722 |
| 5 | 0.735 | 1.328 | 2.063 | 0.090 | 0.644 |
| 6 | 0.780 | 1.213 | 1.993 | 0.087 | 0.609 |
| 7 | 0.760 | 1.180 | 1.940 | 0.085 | 0.608 |
| 8 | 0.758 | 1.168 | 1.925 | 0.084 | 0.606 |
| 9 | 0.705 | 1.085 | 1.790 | 0.078 | 0.606 |
| 10 | 0.678 | 1.013 | 1.690 | 0.074 | 0.599 |
| 11 | 0.655 | 0.935 | 1.590 | 0.070 | 0.588 |
| 12 | 0.608 | 0.965 | 1.573 | 0.069 | 0.614 |
| 13 | 0.638 | 0.895 | 1.533 | 0.067 | 0.584 |
| 14 | 0.608 | 0.858 | 1.465 | 0.064 | 0.585 |
| 15 | 0.568 | 0.803 | 1.370 | 0.060 | 0.586 |
| 16 | 0.520 | 0.780 | 1.300 | 0.057 | 0.600 |
| 17 | 0.513 | 0.758 | 1.270 | 0.056 | 0.596 |
| 18 | 0.450 | 0.778 | 1.228 | 0.054 | 0.633 |
| 19 | 0.430 | 0.758 | 1.188 | 0.052 | 0.638 |
| 20 | 0.400 | 0.705 | 1.105 | 0.048 | 0.638 |
| 21 | 0.363 | 0.580 | 0.943 | 0.041 | 0.615 |
| 22 | 0.330 | 0.553 | 0.883 | 0.039 | 0.626 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 138 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=44)(A)$ and karyogram $(B)$ of NA Phak Plang Daeng

### 6.2.2.2.6 Phayao (PY) samples

Chromosomes were divided into 3 groups. Large chromosomes were $3.940-2.595 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. The $1^{\text {st }}-3^{\text {rd }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ pairs were metacentric
while the $4^{\text {th }}, 6^{\text {th }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric. Medium chromosomes were 2.594-1.970 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long, including the $8^{\text {th }}, 10^{\text {th }}, 12^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$ of submetacentric, and the $9^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ of metacentric. Small chromosomes were 1.969-1.250 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, comprised 8 pairs. The $15^{\text {th }}, 16^{\text {th }}, 21^{\text {st }}$ and $22^{\text {nd }}$ were submetacentric while the rest were metacentric (Tables 61 and 62; Figure 139). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{8}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{10}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{S}_{8}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+$ $\mathrm{S}_{8}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 61 Size (length) and type of PY Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Large } \\ (3.940-2.595 \mu \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | Medium <br> (2.594-1.970 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Small $(1.969-1.250 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# 1 metacentric | \# 8 subetacentric | \# 15 submetacentric |
| \# 2 metacentric | \# 9 metacentric | \# 16 submetacentric |
| \# 3 metacentric | \# 10 submetacentric | \# 17 metacentric |
| \# 4 submetacentric | \# 11 metacentric | \# 18 metacentric |
| \# 5 metacentric | \# 12 submetacentric | \# 19 metacentric |
| \# 6 submetacentric | \# 13 submetacentric | \# 20 metacentric |
| \# 7 submetacentric | \# 14 submetacentric | \# 21 submetacentric <br> \# 22 submetacentric |

Table 62 Average length of short arm (Ls) and long arm (LI), chromosome length (LT), relative
length (RL) and centromeric index (CI) of PY Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes

| Number | $\mathbf{L s}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L l}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{L T}(\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | $\mathbf{R L}$ | $\mathbf{C I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.745 | 2.195 | 3.940 | 0.124 | 0.557 |
| 2 | 1.488 | 2.115 | 3.603 | 0.114 | 0.587 |
| 3 | 1.335 | 1.853 | 3.188 | 0.101 | 0.581 |
| 4 | 1.080 | 2.068 | 3.148 | 0.099 | 0.657 |
| 5 | 1.160 | 1.630 | 2.790 | 0.088 | 0.584 |
| 6 | 1.040 | 1.703 | 2.743 | 0.087 | 0.621 |
| 7 | 0.878 | 1.818 | 2.695 | 0.085 | 0.674 |
| 8 | 0.770 | 1.788 | 2.558 | 0.081 | 0.699 |
| 9 | 1.030 | 1.378 | 2.408 | 0.076 | 0.572 |
| 10 | 0.808 | 1.560 | 2.368 | 0.075 | 0.659 |
| 11 | 0.910 | 1.355 | 2.265 | 0.071 | 0.598 |
| 12 | 0.780 | 1.453 | 2.233 | 0.070 | 0.651 |
| 13 | 0.768 | 1.425 | 2.193 | 0.069 | 0.650 |
| 14 | 0.758 | 1.345 | 2.103 | 0.066 | 0.640 |
| 15 | 0.653 | 1.303 | 1.955 | 0.062 | 0.666 |
| 16 | 0.630 | 1.255 | 1.885 | 0.059 | 0.666 |
| 17 | 0.870 | 0.978 | 1.848 | 0.058 | 0.529 |
| 18 | 0.863 | 0.908 | 1.770 | 0.056 | 0.513 |
| 19 | 0.805 | 0.828 | 1.633 | 0.051 | 0.507 |
| 20 | 0.710 | 0.758 | 1.468 | 0.046 | 0.516 |
| 21 | 0.480 | 0.903 | 1.383 | 0.044 | 0.653 |
| 22 | 0.438 | 0.813 | 1.250 | 0.039 | 0.650 |



Figure 139 Somatic chromosome $(2 n=44)(A)$ and karyogram $(B)$ of PY Phak Plang Daeng

### 6.2.2.2.7 Phrae ( $\mathbf{P H}$ ) samples

Chromosomes were grouped in three. Large chromosomes were $1.998-1.230 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, found in 9 pairs. The $1^{\text {st }}, 3^{\text {rd }}, 5^{\text {th }}, 6^{\text {th }}, 7^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ were metacentric while the $2^{\text {nd }}, 4^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}, 9^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric. Medium chromosomes were $1.229-0.999 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, i.e. the $12^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$, being submetacentric. Small chromosomes were $0.998-0.463 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. The $15^{\text {th }}-19^{\text {th }}$ were submetacentric and the $20^{\text {th }}-22^{\text {nd }}$ were metacentric (Tables 63 and 64 ; Figure 140). Karyotypic formula was $\mathrm{L}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{L}_{10}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{M}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{10}{ }^{\mathrm{sm}}+\mathrm{S}_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Table 63 Size (length) and type of PH Phak Plang Daeng chromosomes


