


## Table of contents

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract (English)</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Abstract (Thai)</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Appendix A Tables</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>List of Appendix B Figures</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>List of Appendix B Tables</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xxii</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>xxix</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 2 Literature reviews</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Classification and origin of rose cultivars	2
2.2 Rose breeding	4
2.3 Breeding technology	7
2.4 Rose genetics	11
2.5 Selection evaluation	19
<b>Chapter 3 Material and methods</b>	<b>28</b>

3.1 Germplasm collection	28
3.2 Pairing of parents	30
3.2.1 Pre-hybridization stage	30
3.2.2 Hybridization stage	31
3.2.3 Post-hybridization stage	34
3.3 Selection	35
3.3.1 Seedling stage	35
3.3.2 Small plant size stage (4 inch pot)	36
3.3.3 Medium plant size stage (6-inch pot)	36
3.3.4 Large plant size stage (12-inch pot)	36
3.3.5 First budding stage	36
3.3.6 Second budding stage	37
3.3.7 Grouping of colours	38
3.4 Inheritance of parents	38
3.4.1 Cytological studies	38
3.4.2 DNA fingerprint	39
3.4.3 Heritability	39
3.5 Yield trial	39
3.6 Market response trial	43
<b>Chapter 4 Results</b>	<b>44</b>
4.1 Germplasm collection	44
4.1.1 Parentage data	44

4.1.2 Genetic relationship of parents	46
4.2 Pairing of parents	50
4.2.1 Pre- hybridization stage	50
4.2.2 Hybridization stage	54
4.2.3 Post- hybridization stage	57
4.3 Selection	59
4.3.1 Seedling stage	59
4.3.2 Small plant size stage (4 inch pot)	62
4.3.3 Medium plant size stage (6-inch pot)	73
4.3.4 Large plant size stage (12-inch pot)	83
4.3.5 First budding stage	91
4.3.6 Second budding stage	98
4.3.7 Grouping of colours	119
4.4 Inheritance of parents	121
4.4.1 Cytological studies	121
4.4.2 DNA fingerprint	123
4.4.3 Heritability	125
4.5 Yield trial	131
4.6 Market response trial	146
<b>Chapter 5 Discussions</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>Chapter 6 Conclusion and recommendation</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>184</b>

<b>Appendices</b>	203
Appendix A	204
Appendix B	215
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	217



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่  
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University  
All rights reserved

## List of Tables

Table	Description of Table	Page
2.1	Inheritance of traits in roses	9
3.1	Rose cultivars and their details for breeding program	28
3.2	Scoring method for yield and quality of roses	38
3.3	Standardized grading for cut-rose of Royal Project Foundation	40
3.4	Selection criteria for overall plant performance	41
3.5	Selection criteria for quality	42
3.6	Selection criteria for yield	42
4.1	Pedigree data of parents	45
4.2	28 primers used for PCR amplification of 28 HT-roses in breeding program	47
4.3	Screening of the 27 HT-rose for the selection of male parents	52
4.4	Cross-compatibility between 27 varieties combinations	54
4.5	Pollination index	56
4.6	Pollination efficiency	57
4.7	Hybridization results	58
4.8	Plants discarded during seedling stage	59
4.9	Four growth traits of 150 sample plants by using bud size criteria	62
4.10	Growth traits from small to medium plant size of 150 sample plants	64

4.11	Correlation of various traits	65
4.12	The minimum level of growth stage of small plants and medium plants stage	68
4.13	Simulation model for finding out the optimal level for selection criteria (data of 150 sample individuals)	70
4.14	Plants discarded during small plant size stage	71
4.15	Growth of medium plant size before selection from 300 sample plants	73
4.16	Growth of medium to large plants size stage	75
4.17	Corelation between traits and stage of growth	77
4.18	Simulation model for finding out the optimal intensity of level selection criteria (data of 300 sample individuals)	79
4.19	Plants discarded during medium plant size stage	80
4.20	Descriptive statistics of 1027 selected plants	83
4.21	Correlation between traits of growth	84
4.22	Simulation model for finding out the optimal level	87
4.23	Plants discarded during large plants stage	88
4.24	Descriptive statistics of 208 selected plants	91
4.25	Correlation between growth traits	92
4.26	Simulation model for finding out the optimal level for using as selection criteria	94
4.27	Plants discarded during first budding stage	95
4.28	Descriptive statistics of 74 selected plants	98

4.29	Correlation of various traits in second budding stage	99
4.30	Simulation model for finding out the optimal level	100
4.31	Plants discarded during first budding stage	101
4.32	Comparison of seven traits of selected original plants and their first budded plants	103
4.33	Prediction formula from the relation between the original and first budded plants	104
4.34	Coefficients of correlation between the characteristics of 208 selected offsprings, on their second budded plants.	105
4.35	Comparison for seven traits of selected original plants and their first budded plants	106
4.36	Prediction formula on the relation between the original plants and first budded plants	107
4.37	Coefficients of correlation between the characteristics of 74 selected offspring, on their second budded plants.	108
4.38	Comparison of seven traits of selected original plants and their first budded plants	109
4.39	Prediction formula on the relation between the first and second budded plants	110
4.40	Coefficients of correlation between the characteristics of 74 selected first budded and second budded plants.	111
4.41	Comparison of seven traits of selected original plants and their budded plants	112

4.42	Prediction formula on the relation between the first and second budded plants	112
4.43	Coefficients of correlation between the characteristics of 74 selected offspring, on their first and second budded plants	114
4.44	Selected plants, their yield and quality	117
4.45	Grouping of colours for yield trial	119
4.46	Chromosome number of 12 selected offspring and their parents	121
4.47	Mean of traits for 6 crosses from same male and different females	125
4.48	Female heritability, computed from parent-offspring regression	126
4.49	Mean of traits for 6 crosses from same female and different males	128
4.50	Male heritability, computed from parent-offspring regression	129
4.51	Yield (stems/m <sup>2</sup> ) of 6 red offspring and standard check varieties over 3 seasons, in the same location for 2 consecutive years.	132
4.52	Flush of 6 selected red roses and standard check over 3 seasons, in the same location for 2 consecutive years	134
4.53	Overall plant performance of 6 selected numbers and standard check	137
4.54	Summary of 5 major characteristics of 6 selected numbers and standard check	138
4.55	Yield (stems/m <sup>2</sup> ) of 6 selected pink offspring and standard check varieties over 3 seasons, in the same location for 2 consecutive years.	139




4.56	Flushes of 6 pink offspring and standard check varieties over 3 seasons, in the same location for 2 consecutive years	141
4.57	Overall plants performance of 6 pink selected numbers and standard check	144
4.58	Summary of 5 major characteristics of 6 pink offspring and standard check	145

### List of Appendix A Tables

<b>Table</b>	<b>Description of Appendix A Tables</b>	<b>Page</b>
1	Pollinated flowers on diallel crossing scheme of 27 HT rose varieties (20 Feb. 2003 to 16 Aug. 2004)	204
2	Number of hips on diallel crossing scheme of 27 HT rose varieties	205
3	Percentage of fruit setting on diallel crossing scheme of 27 HT rose varieties	206
4	No. of seeds/crosses on diallel crossing scheme of 27 HT rose varieties	207
5	No. of seed/hips on diallel crossing scheme of 27 HT rose varieties	208
6	Pollination index (PI) on diallel crossing scheme of 27 HT rose varieties	209
7	Percentage of germination on diallel crossing scheme of 27 HT rose varieties	210
8	Number of germinated plants per cross on diallel crossing scheme of 27 HT rose varieties	211
9	Pollination efficiency (PE) on diallel crossing scheme of 27 HT rose varieties	212
10	Number of healthy plants per cross on diallel crossing scheme of 27 HT rose varieties	213

11 Percentage of healthy plants on diallel crossing scheme of 27 HT 214  
rose varieties



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่  
Copyright© by Chiang Mai University  
All rights reserved

### List of Appendix B Figures

Figure	Description of Appendix Table	Page
1	<p>Photos showing required descriptions of variety to be patented:</p> <p>1.young shoot; 2. bud before sepals open; 3. bud when sepals open;</p> <p>4. bud when petals open; 5. fully open flower; 6. top of flower in bloom; 7.underside of flower in bloom; 8. top of flower, end of bloom; 9.bottom of flower, end of bloom; 10. receptacle: stamens and pistils; 11. receptacle: pistils; 12. floral stem; 13.principal branch; 14.3 folioles: front and back; 15.undersides of folioles; 16. 7 tops of folioles.</p>	215

### List of Appendix B Tables

Table	Description of Appendix B Table	Page
1	Characterization of two selected offspring based on 42 traits of CPVO and UPVO list of description guideline for roses TG/11/7	216

## List of Figures

Figure	Description of Figure	Page
2.1	The proposed scheme for selecting “random samples” or targeted group for selection. $Sd$ =standard deviation, $\bar{x}$ =mean, model 1=mean- $sd$ was the lower selection intensity, model 2=mean was standard to select the plants and model 3 was the common truncation points. Under truncation selection the uppermost (most or lowermost) fraction $p$ of a population is selected to be saved. Alternatively, one could set a threshold level for $T$ which individuals are allowed to be saved. To predict response given either $p$ or $T$ , it is necessary to know the mean of the selected $p$ ( $p_1, p_2, p_3$ ), from which selection differentials could be computed.	22
2.2	The relationship between 3 traits against stem length in small plant stage	23
2.3	The relationship between stem length of small and medium plant size stage	24

2.4	The differentials effects of phenotypic and genetic correlation on selection response. The dotted circle is the jointed distribution of the phenotypes for traits X and Y, the solid ellipse was the phenotype correlation and the points were the mean-sd (sd<0), mean(sd=0) and mean+sd (sd>0). Truncation selection between individuals with X <sub>1</sub> , X <sub>2</sub> , X <sub>3</sub> and Y <sub>1</sub> , Y <sub>2</sub> , Y <sub>3</sub> in same satge saved, with mean $\mu_1$ (the mean-SD; SD<0) , $\mu_2$ (the mean; SD=0) and $\mu_3$ (the mean+SD; SD>0). Phynotypic traits in same or different stage correlation were measured. Following simulation selection, the mean, sd, selection of both X and Y have changed, all parameters could be considered for using as the optimum of minimum level selection.	25
2.5	The relationship between multiple traits or multistage selection	26
3.1	Pollen germination test	31
3.2	Pollen preparation A) blooming stage, B) collected anther, C) anther incubation, D) pollen grains	32
3.3	Pollination	33
3.4	Removing seeds from hips A) by hand, B) by blender	34
4.1	HAT-RAPD profile of 28 varieties using different primer showed different number of bands appearance between parents A) OPA 04 primer amplified 104 band, range 250-900 bp, B) OPA 09 primer amplified 152 band, range 300-2,000 bp. M represents 100 bp DNA ladder.	46

4.2	Dendrogram for 28 cut-rose cultivars generated by the neighbor-joining method from the HAT-RAPD	49
	A) All primer dendrogram    B) Optimum primer dendrogram	
4.3	Pollen germination A) Azure Sea, B) Dallas, C) Diplomat, D) First Red	50
4.4	Germinated pollen	51
4.5	Dendrogram of 8 optimized primer combined with data from Table 4.3 A) pollen releasing, B) pollen germination	53
4.6	Dendrogram of 8 optimized primer combined with data of fruit set (left) and fruit drop (right)	55
4.7	Discarded percentage of seedling stage	60
4.8	The number of germinated seedlings	61
4.9	The distribution of 150 random sampling data A) Small plant size stage B) Medium plant size stage	63
4.10	Correlation of multi-traits selection at small plant size stage	66
4.11	Correlation of multi-trait between small and medium plant size A) Bud size, B) Stem length, C) Flower size, D) Number of petals	67
4.12	The distribution of plants before and after selection at small plant size stage	72
4.13	The distribution of 300 sample plant at medium plant size stage	74
4.14	The distribution of 300 sample plant at large plant size stage	76



4.15	Correlation of multi-trait selection at medium plant size stage	78
4.16	Correlation of medium plant size and large plant size at various selections	78
4.17	The distribution of 150 random sampling data in medium plant size stage	81
4.18	3D scatterplot combining 3 traits	85
4.19	3D scatterplot of strong cane against stem length and stem size (one point per one plant) A) Stem length, B) Stem size, C) No. of strong canes, D) Type of rose, E) Overall appearance, F) Toughness of petals, G) After selection	86
4.20	The distribution of 150 random sampling data in medium plant size stage A) Stem length, B) Stem size, C) Strong cane, D) Type of rose, E) Overall appearance, F) Toughness of petal	89
4.21	Sample of multi-traits correlation at first budding stage	93
4.22	Mixed growth habit of garden rose and cut rose	94
4.23	The distribution before and after as affected by criteria	96
4.24	Sample of multi-traits correlation at second budding stage	99
4.25	The distribution before and after as affected by criteria	101
4.26	Bent peduncles of roses A) 05-466, B) 05-183	115
4.27	Transverse section showing general features of the xylem of mid-region of peduncle. A) weak peduncle, B) semi-vigorous peduncle, C) vigorous peduncle, Bar = 300 $\mu$ m	116


- 4.28 Selection stages A) Seedling stage, B) Small plant size stage, C) 118  
Medium plant size stage, D) Large plant size stage, E) First budding  
stage, F) Second budding stage
- 4.29 Five colour groups of 17 selected numbers 120
- 4.30 Appearance of rose chromosomes A) Tineke, B) Ravel, C) 04-301 122  
D) 04-330
- 4.31 HAT-RAPD profile of 16 varieties using different primer showed 124  
different number of bands appearance between parents A) OPAU 08  
primer amplified 111 band, range 250-1600 bp, B) OPAH 03 primer  
amplified 90 band, range 300-1,500 bp. M represents 100 bp DNA  
ladder. The rose parents were 1=SP, 2=DL, 3=04-283, 4=04-298,  
5=04-301, 6=04-318, 7=BM, 8=04-171, 9=04-310, 10=04-330,  
11=04-329, 12=04-129, 13=04-116, 14=04-297, 15=04-161,  
16=DPM.
- 4.32 Scatter plots showing the relation between the mean offspring value 127  
and the mid-parent value for (fixed female), A) Size of flower bud,  
B) flower diameter, C) peduncle length, D) peduncle/flower ratio, E)  
number of petals, F) stem length
- 4.33 Scatter plots showing the relation between the mean offspring value 130  
and the mid-parent value (fixed male) for, A) Size of flower bud, B)  
flower diameter, C) peduncle length, D) peduncle/flower ratio, E)  
number of petals, F) stem length

- 4.34 Greenhouse yield trial, A) Selected red rose, B) Selected pink rose 131
- 4.35 Graded yields of 6 red offspring and standard check varieties over 3 133  
seasons, in the same location for 2 consecutive years. A ) Season, B)  
Year
- 4.36 Vase life in various harvesting stages of 6 red offsprings and 135  
standard check varieties tested over 3 seasons, in the same location  
for 2 consecutive years. A) Season, B) Year
- 4.37 Vase life evaluation at various harvesting stages: (T1 = unripe, T2 = 136  
minimum open, T3 = medium open, T4 = maximum open, T5 = fully  
open), 10 days after test, A) R-CK, B) 04-283, C) 04-301, D) 04-298,  
E) 04-310, F)04-318, G) 04-330
- 4.38 Graded yields of 6 pink offspring and standard check varieties over 3 140  
seasons, in the same location for 2 consecutive years, A) Season, B)  
Year
- 4.39 Vase life in various harvesting stages of 6 pink offspring and 142  
standard check varieties over 3 seasons, in the same location for 2  
consecutive years, A) Season, B) Year
- 4.40 Vase life evaluation at various harvesting stages:(T1 = unripe, T2 = 143  
minimum open, T3 = medium open, T4 = maximum open, T5 = fully  
open), 10 days after test, A) P-CK, B) 04-116, C) 04-129, D) 04-161,  
E) 04-171, F) 04-297, G) 04-329
- 4.41 The information of market response from two customer groups 148

4.42	The weighted difference between the mean of each trait on polar plot for the comparison of sensory profile of 2 selected red offspring and standard check, A) General public, B) Flower shop	149
4.43	Market response of selected red rose	150
4.44	The weighted difference between the mean of each trait on polar plot for the comparison of sensory profile of 2 selected pink offspring and standard check, A) General public, B) Flower shop	150
4.45	Market response of selected pink rose	151
6.1	New roses from this study	180
6.2	Suggested blueprint for rose breeding in Thailand	181

## Abbreviations

ABA	Absciscic acid
AFLP	Amplified fragment length polymorphisms
ARS	American Rose Society
AZ	Azure Sea
BDP	Bridal Pink
BM	Black Magic
CPVO	The community plant variety office
DL	Dallas
DPM	Diplomat
EMB	Emblem
EMR	Emerald
FC	Fragrant Cloud
FR	First Red
FSC	Frisco
GA	Gibberellic acid
HAT-RAPD	High annealing temperature randomly amplified polymorphic DNA
JSP	Josephine Charlotte
KDN	Kardinal
NOM	Naomi



OSN	Osiana
PCR	Polymerase chain reactions
PE	Pollination Efficiency
PI	Pollination Index
PNB	Pink Noblesse
PR	Paris
QTL	Quantitative trait locus
RAPD	Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA
RPL	Raphaella
RV	Ravel
SD	Sundance
SP	Saphir
SSR	Simple sequence-repeat
TNK	Tineke
TS	Top Secret
TX	Texas
UPOV	The Union pour la Protection des Obtentions Végétales
VDL	Vendela
VVD	Vivaldi
WNB	White Noblesse