TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT (Thai)	v
ABSTRACT (English)	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Rationale	6
1.3 Objectives	8
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Role of organic materials for soil maintenance and improvement	9
2.2 Limitation and challenges to organic matters application	12
2.3 Defining adoption	14
2.4 Adoption process	14
2.5 Factors affecting on the adoption of technologies	15
2.6 Farmers' knowledge on adoption technologies and soil	21
2.7 Model for adoption behavior	23
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODS	
3.1 Site selection	25
3.2 Sampling technique	27
3.3 Data collection	28

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
3.3.1 Primary data	28
3.3.2 Secondary data	29
3.4 Data analysis	29
3.4.1 Adoption model	29
3.4.2 Hypotheses	36
a. Personal factors	37
b. Economic factors	38
c. Biophysical factors	40
d. Farmers' knowledge	42
e. Cropping intensity and types of crop grown	43
f. Extension Activities	44
CHAPTER IV PROFILE OF STUDY AREA	
4.1 Land characteristics and biophysical conditions	45
4.2 Climate	45
4.3 Soil	49
4.4 General characteristics of the study area	50
4.4.1 Land cover and land utilization	50
4.4.2 Major crops sown area and their yields	5 51
4.4.3 Varieties of some major crops	52
4.4.4 Cropping systems and cropping patterns	53
4.5 Socio-economic profile of Magway township	56
4.6 The characteristics of the sampled farm households	59

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

		Page
	4.6.1 Socio-economic characteristics of sampled respondents	59
	4.6.2 Bio-physical factors facing the sampled households	62
	4.6.3 Technological factors among the sampled households	66
	4.7 Farmers' knowledge on soil conservation	69
	4.8 Comparison the adopters and non-adopters of conservation	
	practices using organic matters according to the cropping	
	patterns	72
53	4.9 Estimated amount of production and utilization of crops'	
	biomass	76
	4.10 The problems and constraints to use organic materials for soil	
	conservation	77
CHAPTER V	FACTORS AFFECTING THE ADOPTION ON SOIL	
	CONSERVATION MEASURES USING ORGANIC	
	MATERIALS	
5	5.1 Logistic regression models for the adoption of soil conservation	
	using organic materials	79
	5.2 Model I: Factors affecting the adoption of soil conservation	
	using crop residues lang Mai Univers	82
	5.2.1 Significance of model I's variables	83
	5.2.2 Goodness-of-fit of model I	87
5.	.3 Model II: Factors affecting the adoption of soil conservation	
	using compost	89

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	Page
5.3.1 Significance of model II's variables	90
5.3.2 Goodness-of-fit of the model II	94
5.4 Model III: Factors affecting the adoption of soil conservation	
growing green manure	97
5.4.1 Significance of model III's variables	97
5.4.2 Goodness-of-fit of model III	100
5.5 Summary	102
CHAPTER VI DISCUSSIONS	
6.1 Crop residues application	104
6.2 Compost application	107
6.3 Green manure growing	110
6.4 Overall discussions	111
CHAPTER VII CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
7.1 Conclusions	115
7.2 Policy recommendations	120
7.3 Recommendations for further study	121
REFERENCES	123
CO CURRICULUM VITAE Chiang Mai Unive	131

LIST OF TABLES

Table	· MARION 8	Page
3.1	Distribution of sampled households by the cropping patterns	28
3.2	The proposed explanatory variables and measurements	31
4.1	The wind direction of the study area for five years (2005-2009)	48
4.2	Land utilization of Magway township	50
4.3	Yield, sown area and production of major crops sown (2009-2010)	51
4.4	Varieties of some major crops	53
4.5	Intercropping and sequential cropping patterns in Magway township	54
4.6	Major cropping patterns in the study area	55
4.7	Production cost, total income and net income of major crops (May, 2010)	58
4.8	Age, sex, ethnic group, education and experience in farming of sampled	
	households	60
4.9	Farm size, soil fertility, crop residues used as fodder and fuel of	
	sampled households	63
4.10	Knowledge, cropping intensity and extension visit of sampled households	68
4.11	The percentage, average, minimum and maximum score of farmers'	
	knowledge on each question	68
4.12	Comparison the adopters and non-adopters of crop residues application,	
	compost application and green manure growing by the cropping patterns	74
4 13	Estimated amount of production and utilization of total biomass	76

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table		Page
5.1	Logistic regression analysis for the adoption of soil conservation using	
	crop residues	83
5.2	Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficient	87
5.3	Model Summary	88
5.4	Classification table	88
5.5	Hosmer and Lemeshow Test	89
5.6	Logistic regression analysis for the adoption of soil conservation applyi	ng
	compost	91
5.7	Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficient	94
5.8	Model Summary	95
5.9	Classification table	96
5.10	Hosmer and Lemeshow Test	96
5.11	Logistic regression analysis for the adoption of soil conservation growing	ıg
	green manure	98
5.12	Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficient	100
	Model Summary	101
5.14	Classification table	102
5.15	Hosmer and Lemeshow Test	102
6.1	Summary of effects on soil and yield for three conservation practices	114

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Location map of Dry Zone area, Myanmar	2
3.1	Location of study area, Magway township, Myanmar	26
4.1	The average monthly temperature (°C) of the study area (2000-2009)	46
4.2	Distribution of average monthly rainfall (mm) and rainy days of the study	y area
	area (2000-2009)	47
4.3	The average monthly humidity (%) of the study area (2000-2009)	47
4.4	The average monthly wind speed of the study area (2000-2009)	48
4.5	Soil map of Magway division	49
4.6	Socio-economic profile of Magway township	58
4.7	The percentage of sampled farmers' farm and off-farm income level	60
4.8	The percentage of sampled farmers' farming status and land tenure	61
4.9	The percentage of livestock rearing	61
4.10	O Frequency distribution of cattle numbers	61
4.11	1 Frequency distribution of farm size	64
4.12	2 The percentage of sampled farmers having sandy soil	64
4.13	3 The percentage of sampled farmers having different farm slope types	64
4.14	4 The percentage of sampled farmers having water scarcity	65
4.15	5 The percentage of sampled farmers having different irrigation access	65
Δ 1 <i>e</i>	6. The percentage of sampled farmers having different soil erosion status	65

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure	Page
4.17 The percentage of demonstration by extension workers	69
4.18 The percentage of farmers' knowledge on soil conservation practices	72



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่ Copyright[©] by Chiang Mai University All rights reserved