

Table of Contents

	Page
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract in English.....	v
Abstract in Thai.....	vii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 System, model and simulation in agriculture	4
2.1.1 System define	4
2.1.2 Simulation.....	4
2.1.3 Crop growth models in agriculture	5
2.2 Testing model.....	9
2.3 Previous studies related to determine genetic coefficients	12
2.4 Methods of model evaluation.....	13
2.5 Environmental factors effect on soybean development and yield	14
2.5.1 Environment factors and crop yield	14
2.5.2 Sowing date and crop yield	15
2.5.3 Cultivars and crop yield	16
CHAPTER 3 CROPGRO-SOYBEAN MODEL	17
3.1 History of CROPGRO- Soybean model.....	17
3.2 Inputs and output of CROPGRO-Soybean model.....	18
3.3 Feature of CROPGRO-Soybean model	20
3.4 CROPGRO soybean simulation.....	20
CHAPTER 4 MATERIALS AND METHODS	26
4.1 Field survey.....	26
4.2 Field experiment	27

4.3 Data analysis	30
4.4 Estimation of genetic coefficients.....	32
CHAPTER 5 RESULTS OF FIELD SURVEY.....	35
5.1 Description of field survey.....	35
5.2 Characteristics of households sample	38
5.3 Land use in Thanh Ha farm.....	39
5.4 The role of soybean in cropping systems.....	40
5.4.1 Cropping pattern	40
5.4.2 Soybean in cropping systems	41
5.5 Soybean management practice.....	43
5.5.1 Soybean variety.....	43
5.5.2 Fertilizer application.....	46
5.5.3 Irrigation application.....	47
5.5.4 Soybean yield	48
CHAPTER 6 RESULTS OF FIELD EXPERIMENT.....	49
6.1 Experiment results.....	49
6.1.1 Observed phenological events	49
6.1.2 Growth analysis	50
6.2 Results of the Bragg (7) coefficients	55
6.2.1 Phenology events	55
6.2.2 Growth parameters and yield components.....	57
6.3 Results of modified coefficients	58
6.4 Testing modified coefficient	61
6.4.1 Phenological events	61
6.4.2 Model testing for growth and yield components	68
6.5 To utilize CROPGRO-Soybean model in Hoa Binh province.....	82
CHAPTER 7 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	86
REFERENCES	90
APPENDICES	100
CURRICULUM VITAE.....	126

List of Tables


Table	Page
1.1 Soybean productions in Hoa Binh province (1995-1999)	1
3.1 General process diagrams for CROPGRO-soybean model.	19
3.2 Development stages of soybean.....	23
4.1 Treatment combinations used in the experiment.	28
4.2 Soil data for San Sai series.....	29
4.3 Genetic coefficients coded in CROPGRO-Soybean model.	33
5.1 Soil data in Thanh Ha farm in Kim Boi district by layers.	37
5.2 Demographical information in three brigades in study site.	38
5.3 Arable land and sown area of household in Thanh Ha farm.....	39
5.4 Assessment of role of soybean in farming system.....	41
5.5 Sowing areas per household by rotation patterns in Thanh Ha Farm.	43
5.6 Sources of supplying soybean variety in Thanh Ha farm.	44
5.7 Soybean varieties used in the study area as provided by government organization.	44
5.8 Soybean varieties used, sowing methods, and planting dates in brigades.....	45
5.9 Fertilizer applications for soybean.	46
5.10 Water management in soybean production.	47
5.11 Yield of soybean varieties in surveyed brigade.	48
6.1 Observed phenological events*of soybean varieties in field experiment.	49
6.2 Analysis of variance for LAI _{max}	52
6.3 LAI _{max} of soybean varieties across two planting dates.	52
6.4 Analysis of variance for the final harvest.	53
6.5 Change of yields and yield components of four soybean varieties	

across two planting dates.	54
6.6 Bias and RMSE of comparisons between simulated and observed days to anthesis, first pod, first seed and maturity date	57
6.7 Bias and RMSE of comparisons between simulated and observed grain yield, pod weight, biomass and LAI _{max}	58
6.8 Genetic coefficients of AK06, TN12, DT84 and CM60 estimated from experiment data.	60
6.9 Bias and RMSE of comparisons between simulated and observed days to anthesis date.	64
6.10 Bias and RMSE of comparisons between simulated and observed days to first pod of four soybean varieties.....	65
6.11 Bias and RMSE of comparisons between simulated and observed days to first seed date of four soybean varieties	66
6.12 Bias and RMSE of comparisons between simulated and observed days to physiological maturity date of four soybean varieties.....	67
6.13 Bias and RMSE of comparisons between simulated and observed LAImax by modified and Bragg (7) coefficients.....	71
6.14 Bias and RMSE of comparisons between simulated and observed above ground biomass at final harvest	74
6.15 Bias and RMSE of comparisons between simulated and observed pod weight at final harvest.	77
6.16 Bias and RMSE of comparisons between simulated and observed grain yield at final harvest by modified and Bragg (7) coefficients.	79
6.17 Bias, RMSE, standardized bias, and standardizes mean square error of yield and yield components August and September plating dates.....	81
6.18 Mean and SD of simulated grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹) of four soybean varieties in twelve planting dates in the Thanh Ha farm, Hoa Binh Province.	84

List of Figure

Figure	Page
5.1 Map of study site in Kim Boi district, Hoa Binh province.....	35
5.2 Climate in Kim Boi District, mean monthly data from 1990-2000.....	36
5.3 Crops and cropping systems in the study area.....	40
6.1 Comparisons of LAI of soybean variety between August and Sept planting dates (a) AK06, (b) TN12, (c) DT 84 and (d) CM 60.....	51
6.2 Comparisons between observed and simulated days to anthesis, first pod, first seed and maturity of AK06 (a), TN12 (b), DT84 (c) and CM60	56
6.3 Comparisons between observed and simulated number of days to anthesis, first pod, first seed and maturity of AK06.	62
6.4 Comparisons between observed and simulated number of days to anthesis, first pod, first seed and maturity of TN12.....	62
6.5 Comparisons between observed and simulated number of days to anthesis, first pod, first seed and maturity of DT84.....	63
6.6 Comparisons between observed and simulated number of days to anthesis, first pod, first seed and maturity of CM60.....	63
6.7 Comparisons between simulated and observed data of LAI of four varieties (a) AK06, (b) TN12, (c) DT 84, and (d) CM60 in planting dates.....	69
6.8 Comparisons between observed and simulated LAI _{max} by the Bragg (7) coefficient(●) and modified coefficient (Δ).....	70
6.9 Simulated and observed above ground biomass of four varieties.....	72
6.10 Comparisons between observed and simulated above ground biomass by Bragg (7) coefficient (●) and modified coefficient (Δ)	73
6.11 Comparison of simulated and observed pod weight of four varieties	75
6.12 Comparisons between observed and simulated pod weight by Bragg (7) coefficient (●) and modified coefficient (Δ)	76

6.13	Comparison between simulated and observed grain weight of four varieties	78
6.14	Comparison between simulated and observed of yield components	80
6.15	Soybean strategies simulation for 8 years using Hoa Binh weather data and soil data.....	83



ลิขสิทธิ์มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved

List of Appendix

	Page
Appendix A. Layout of the Experiment.....	100
Appendix B. Data of field experiment	101
B1. Chemical properties of soil, MCC station field experiment, 2002.....	101
B2. LAI of AK06 in August and September planting dates	101
B3. LAI of TN12 in August and September planting dates.....	102
B4. LAI of DT84 in August and September planting dates.....	102
B5. LAI of CM60 in August and September planting dates	103
B6. Pod weight of AK06 in August and September planting dates	103
B7. Pod weight of TN12 in August and September planting date	104
B8. Pod weight of DT84 in August and September planting dates	104
B9. Pod weight of CM60 in August and September planting dates	105
B10. Biomass of AK06 in August and September planting dates	105
B11. Biomass of TN12 in August and September planting dates.....	106
B12. Biomass of DT84 in August and September planting dates.....	106
B13. Biomass of CM60 in August and September planting dates.....	107
Fig C1. Maximum of leaf are index in AK06, TN12, DT84 and CM60 in August planting date by using curve fitting.....	107
Fig C2. Maximum of leaf are index in AK06, TN12, DT84 and CM60 in September planting date by using curve fitting	108
Appendix C. ANOVA analysis for field experiment	109
C1. Analysis of variance table for Leaf area index at R4 stage	109
C2. Analysis of variance table for Leaf area index at R6 stage	109
C3. Analysis of variance table for Leaf area index at R8 stage	110
C4. Analysis of variance table for Grain weight at final harvest (R8)	110
C5. Analysis of variance table for seed per pod at final harvest (R8)	110

C6.	Analysis of variance table for seed m ⁻² at final harvest	111
C7.	Analysis of variance table for pod weight at final harvest.....	111
Appendix D.	Simulation model.....	112
D1.	Input soil data in San Sai series for model calibration and testing model	112
D2.	Phenology event of four soybean varieties these used initial Bragg (7).....	112
D3.	Grain yield, pod weight. Above ground biomass and LAI from calibration model, that used initial Bragg (7)	113
D4.	Simulated and Observed data of leaf area index for AK06 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates.	113
D5.	Simulated and Observed data of leaf area index for TN12 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates	114
D6.	Simulated and Observed data of leaf area index for DT84 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates.	114
D7.	Simulated and Observed data of leaf area index for CM60 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates.	115
D8.	Simulated and Observed data above ground biomass for AK06 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates	115
D9.	Simulated and Observed data above ground biomass for TN12 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates	116
D10	Simulated and Observed data above ground biomass for CM60 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates	116
D11	Simulated and Observed data above ground biomass for CM60 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates.	117
D12	Simulated and Observed data above pod weight for AK06 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates	117
D13	Simulated and Observed data above pod weight for TN12 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates	118
D14	Simulated and Observed data above pod weight for DT84 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates	118
D15	Simulated and Observed data above pod weight for CM60 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates	119

D16	Simulated and Observed data grain yield for AK06 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates	119
D17	Simulated and Observed data grain yield for TN12 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates.	120
D18	Simulated and Observed data grain yield for DT84 soybean variety in Aug and Sep planting dates.	120
D19	Simulated and Observed data grain yield for CM60 soybean variety in August and September planting date.	121
D20.	Yield and yield components at harvest	121
D21.	Result of Model simulation for AK06 and TN12 in August and September planting dates.	122
D22.	Result of Model simulation for DT84 and CM60 in August and September planting dates	123
Appendix E.	Trial model in Thanh Ha, Hoa Binh Viet Nam.	124
E1.	Soil data input for trial model in Thanh Ha farm.	124
E2.	Yield of four soybean varieties from trial model in Thanh Ha, Hoa Binh province (1994 –2001)	124

ສຶກສົດທະນາວຍາລຸຍເຊື້ອໃນ
Copyright © by Chiang Mai University
All rights reserved